LEARNED HAND,

ALBANY, N.TYH E

C.

HISTORY AND PRACTICE

OF

nov.12

CIVIL ACTIONS,

PARTICULARLY IN THE

COURT of COMMON PLEAS.

BEING

AN HISTORICAL ACCOUNT

OF THE

PARTS AND ORDER OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS, viz.

WRITS,
APPEARANCES,
BAIL,

0

DECLARATIONS, PLEADINGS, ISSUES, TRIALS, VERDICTS, JUDGMENTS, ERROR, AND COSTS;

WITH

The feveral Changes introduced into these Proceedings and Practice by the several Statutes of Amendments, Jeofails, and Costs:

And containing a general Account of the

Principles of Special-Pleading in all Civil Suits:

WITH AN

INTRODUCTION

ONTHE

CONSTITUTION OF ENGLAND.

BY THE LATE LORD CHIEF BARON GILBERT

THE THIRD EDITION,

Carefully corrected from the many Errors in the former Impressions; With the Addition of many Notes and References.

DUBLIN:

PRINTED FOR JAMES MOORE, No. 45, COLLEGE-GREEN.

M .DC C.xCII.

UK

614

LEARINGS HAND,

GAGACO ed 3

Rec. May 13, 1903.

ADVERTISEMENT

TO THE

READER.

THE copy-proprietors of this excellent work having made known their intention of publishing a THIRD edition, received intimations from feveral gentlemen well acquainted with the writings of the late lord chief baron GILBERT, that the INTRO-DUCTION which stands prefixed to the two preceding editions, was not to be found in feveral manuscripts which they had feen of the HISTORY AND PRACTICE OF THE COM-MON PLEAS, that from other particulars there was reason to conclude it was not written by the learned chief, and therefore, in juftice to the memory of fo great a luminary of the law, ought not to be reprinted without previously undergoing a critical revisal and correction.

In

ADVERTISEMENT.

In confequence of this admonition, the proprietors requested a gentleman who is possessed of considerable knowledge in the Saxon laws and ancient history of this kingdom, to undertake the revifal and correction of the Introduction; which they flatter themselves, he has executed in such a manner as will merit the approbation of the learned and judicious; he has moreover added notes and corrected fuch errors as occurred to him in the HISTORY AND PRAC-TICE, which part of the book has likewife undergone the perusal and received the additional advantage of correction from the hand of a gentleman particularly eminent in that practice which is the subject of the work; upon the whole, it is prefumed that this valuable book is now in a great measure freed from the imputation of incorrectness, and rendered much more worthy the reputation of its author.

THE

PREFACE.

AT the institution of the court of Common Pleas, (as well as of all other courts of justice) there was established a fure method or form of practice, whereby the procedure of the plea should be conducted till it met with a final determination: this method or form of practice, however confonant to the rules of justice, was not altogether free from inconveniencies; for by the artful contrivances of evil disposed persons, the authority of the court was too often turned to oppression, and its indulgences to delay and vexation: this obliged the justices of the court to regulate and amend the practice in many points, as they faw occasion; nay, even the legislature have fometimes been forced to interpose their authority to put a stop to and remedy such mischiess as occurred

The PREFACE.

occurred in the practice. Thus by feveral regulations and amendments almost every branch of that method or form of practice, which was at first established, is in a great measure inverted; and the present method or form of practice fo effentially differs from the former, that the original intent of its inflitution is with great difficulty to be attained to, and a superficial treatise of the practice could be of little use. It was upon this account, and with a view to facilitate the practice of the law, that our author undertook this work; which was formerly intitled The History and Practice of the Court of Common Pleas. And herein he hath laid down the manner and reasons of establishing almost every particular branch of the practice, which he hath traced from the fountain head, giving an historical account of the several alterations made therein fince the time of its first establishment: then he descends into the present state of each particular branch of the practice; and lays down the fundamental rules by which the judges directed their opinions in matters relating to the practice, with various distinctions and exceptions: and throughout this whole work he generally refers to cases in some of the books of reports for corroborating his affertions: nor is he altogether unmindful of the courts of King's Bench and Exchequer, but frequently compares the practice of those whereby the practice in general is rendered more perspicuous and clear. The

The PREFACE.

The method which our author hath here purfued (we are in hopes) will in a great measure advance the true understanding of the practice of the law, and answer the good intent of our author, who, though he might reasonably expect great advantage to himfelf in composing a treatise of this nature for his private use, yet (we have the greatest reason to believe) had principally an eye to the publick good. And we have been advised this little treatise will be of fingular use to all the professors of the law; wherefore we were prevailed on to communicate it to the publick; and besides, we hold it to be of the utmost importance to the honour of the law, that the channel, through which it is conveyed, should run pure and undifturbed.

The PREFACE

condition to discondition and the first fi

THE

INTRODUCTION.

TO understand the constitution of England, we must consider it under a fourfold period.

First, At the coming of the first Saxons before they were civilized, which was in clans and troops, and is already described in the history of the feuds.

Secondly, Their erection into a firm flate and kingdom under Alfred.

Thirdly, † The great alteration at the conquest.

Fourthly,

[†] The alteration that took place at the conquest respected only the tenure of military lands, which before this great epoch in the English history were holden of the Saxon and Banish kings by the respective tenants either for years or life, but by our first William were granted to the respective tenants and THEIR I HEIRS in perpetuum; but no alteration whatsoever took place, in regard to the court of Common Pleas, for the Conqueror having confirmed the laws of Edward the Confessor in omnibus rebus, (as be tay \$ lex 63) there was necessarily no alteration in the court of Common Pleas.

TVid. Leges Gulielmi primi artic 59. "Statuimus & firmitur præcipimus ut omnes comites et barones & milites, & servientes (servientes, id est, per magnam et parvam serjeantiam) etuniverse liberi homines totius regni nostri habeant et teneant se semper in armis et in equis ut decet et oportet, et quod sint semper prompti

Fourthly, The prefent scheme and establishment of the law, begun by Edward the first.

· Page 2.

We shall begin with the second, (viz.) the erection into a firm state or kingdom under Alfred.

The mean † order of government, by which Alfred civilized the English, was making the men of feveral ‡ clans compurgators of each other, whereby each clan was turned into a decennary: and the manner of doing it was thus:

He took from the people the power of electing their § thanes, and appointed them himself;

and

§ Pracipimus ut omnes habeant et teneant leges Edwardi regis in omnibus rebus.

† This order of government is not of the inflitution of ALFRED, he has the honour indeed of collecting together the former laws of Iva, Offa, and ETHELBERT into one code, as we learn from his own words. "Ic da Elped cyning day regader gegaderod. I appiran ker, monega g dana de une popegengan heal don." Thus Alfred may in one tense be called the founder of these laws, for until his time they were an unwritten code, but he expressly says, "that I Alfred collected the good laws of our fore-stathers into one code, and also I wrote them down appeara her," which is a decisive fact in the history of our laws well worth noting.

The word class is not known in our Saxon laws; nor were the class (as this author calls them) indifcriminately compurgators for each other; for only the three nearest decennaries, together with that decennary where the offence was committed, were responsible for the damages done by the offender; and these four decennaries are what we at this day call the vicenage. A decennary confissed of ten families, the head of each family being a Borzermon, or a kibor-zermon, which is synonimous to a free-holder at present. The head of the decennary was called kpibor-zerheakod: the word is nearly retained at this day in our head-borough-man: he was the head or capital judge of the decennary, and the whole decennary had cognisance de pascuis pratis, messibus, et de litigationibus inter vicines, et innumerabilibus hujusmedi decentationibus: ut apparet per leges Edwardisance regis 32.

§ The author by the word Toane, would here be understood to mean the Eaploopman, or the Hlakopo, or the gerekan, or

et bene parati ad servicium suum integrum nobis explendum & peragendum cum semper opus ad suerit, secundum quod nobis debent de seodis et tenementis suis de jure facere, et sicut illis statuimus per commune constitum totius regni nostri et illis DEDIMUS et CONCESSIMUS in seodo jure HEREDITARIO,

and according as the people of the same manors cohabited together, he divided them into shires;

ove

the kiborgerheer's of the Sexens, but is millaken, for the Thane was not a title of dignity per le, it was an honorary appellation. yet the honour proceeded not from the Thane himfelf, but on the contrary from the person to whom he chanced to be the upper fer-vant. There were three degrees of Thanes: Thus, if he was the upper-fervant of the king, he was called the king's thane: if of an earl or of a sheriff, he was then called a thane of the second degree: but if of a head-borough, he was then called a thane of the lowest order. But none of these thanes were annually elected in the full folcomete, as the Earls therills, and head-boroughs were s nor did king Afred (as this author fuggetts) deprive the people of the election of those last mentioned magistrates and nobles, much less did he appoint them himself: nor can it frietly be said, that he divided the kingdom into faires, he only renovated the old divisions of this kingdom, which the Remans had, many centuries before his reign, established, as appears by the 12th of king Edward's laws, " qued mode vocatur comitatus, olim apud Britones temporibus komanorum in Regno Britannia vocabatur confulatus."

The author is also militaren when he mentions the earl's being the proper thanist of the clan. for in the Saxon times there was no such mag strate either nulitary or judicial as the Thane, nor were there any clans here as in Sectional, nor was the Brelon law known here at that period of time, though the injustice and opposition of it was in after-times severely felt in Ireland, and very justiy com-

plained of as a national grievance.

This author is likewise mistaken, when he says, that the earl and his shire receive were to array all the several persons within their respective shires: for it was the proper especial province of the ealdorman or earl to attend the styre-meeting twice a year, and there officiate as the county-judge in teaching and expounding the secular laws, as appears by the 5th of Edgar's laws, "Jeep agpentacian ge Irodernine ge peopulopihate." Besides, it did not belong to the thire-reeve ex-opicio to array the several persons within the county; this office properly belonged to the heretoche, who was an officer similar in power to the Lord-Lieutenant of the county, and was not appointed by the king, but annually elected in full county-court or tolemate, wid. leger Edwardi 35. "in quosibet comitatus semper futt unus beretoch per electionem electus ad conducendum exercitum compitatus sur et issi ordinabant acies dencissmas in praliis et alia constituebant prout docuit, et prout melius eis wisum est, ad honerem corona, et ad utilitatem regni."

Nor was this array niually made after Michaelmas and Lady-day, for the election of the heretoch was annually voted at the first county court, which was always holden on the first of May, and the arraying of the county militia, Co. was made at the second county-court, which was annually holden on the first of October, wid. Leg. Ed. Statutem of quad for provincias et patriat universas et per singulas comitatus, populi emnes et gentes universa, singuis annis, scilicet in capite kal. Maii debent convenire,

over every shire was the earl, which was the proper Thanist of the clan; for by the Brehon law the caput comitatus was the eldeft and most worthy, the earl of the county, and his thire-reeve were to array all the feveral persons within their respective shires; and therefore the perambulation was through the county twice every year, in which " the shire-reeve made the civil array of all the men in the county; which was usually done after Michaelmas and Lady-day; and if any + perfon was found that had no compurgators, he was put into prison till he could obtain some decennary to admit him. The earl or sheriff on these law-days was used to give in charge the several articles of the crown-law; and if any person was guilty of a breach of any of them, he was deliyered up by the compurgators; fo that the Saxon law confifted of the several articles that were given in charge at the feveral † torns; and the kings

et ibi, inter alia, eligere in pleno polemore beretochies suosaliud autem folomote debet effe, scilicet in capite kal. Odeb. ad

providendum ibi quis erit vicecomes, et qui erunt eorum here-sochii, et ad audiendum ibi justa eorum præcepta confilio et asfensu procerum, et junicio folkesmote."

+ None but freemen were admiffable into decennaries, and fuch persons who were not in any decennary were classed amongst perfons of a fervile condition, which was the only punishment, or rather the only difgrace, they underwent, unless indeed they had been found guilty of some crime or offence, which was punished according to the nature of the delinquency, as may be seen in the seeond, third, and sourth laws of Atbelfan, and in the third of Ethelfred, in none of which laws is there the least mention of pu-

nishment by imprisonment.

There is no fuch court, nor even any fuch word as "Torn" in the Saxon laws, nor indeed is there any word in the whole lawlanguage to little understood, or that now requires so much correction as this. In order therefore to trace it up to its real original and frue meaning, it is necessary to observe to our readers, that King John in one of the articles of the great charter grants " qued nec aliquis vicecomes vel balivus suus faciat TERMINUM suum per bundredum nisi bis in anno." It is here in this article we first meet with that nonienfical phrase of fberiff's Tourn, supposed to be conveyed in the word terminum. The antiquity of the character in which the original charter of king John was written occasioned

* Page 3.

kings at their feveral accessions published by \$
proclamation their feveral laws; as appears by
Lambert's

many ignorant copyifts of it to write turundi inflead of terminum; other copyists too there were, who though they understood the true meaning of the word terminum, yet by an abbreviation wrote it terun: thus between these two models of copying this article of the great charter, the word terminum was fo usually written or abbreviated into the word turnum, that when this great charter came to be confirmed in the ninth year of Henry the third, which was only eleven years after it had been first obtained from king John, the word turnum was inferted not only in the original conhirmation itself, but in all the copies of this renovated charter. I have been more particular in refloring this word to its true and unabbreviated orthography, because (tays the annotator) I find that Mr. Justice Blackstone, in his elaborate edition of the great charter, hath not only omitted the whole article in which the word terminum is inserted by king John, but he has also in Henry the third's confirmation of it copied the word turnum, not as an abbreviated, but as an entire, radical word itself. If we take the word turnum as an abbreviation of terminum, as most undoubtedly it is, there will not be found either in John's great charter or in Henry the third's confirmation of it one fingle technical law-term but what had been in common use, in this kingdom, not only at the time those two most important grants or declarative warranties were promulgated, but for centuries before their promulgation. On the other hand, if we are to confider turnum as an unabbreviated, radical word, we must then suppose our ancestors totally ignorant of the Latin technical words then in common ule in all their law-inftruments, and that they stept out of the road of pure latinity, which the word terminum is, merely to introduce a barbarous phrase, unauthenticated by any preceding Latin writers either in this or in any other country, and that too at a time when either the word iter or perambulatio (both of them then in common use) would have een technically cloffical, and fully expressive of the meaning they intended to convey. But our own annalist Matthew Paris, a Benedictine friar in the monastery at St. Albans, who was contemporary with John during his whole reign, and who also lived to fee fortytwo years of the reign of Henry the third, hath put this matter patt all dispute. For in the copy of John's great charter which this accurate annalist hath given us, he writes the word terminum at full length. In the first printed edition of this author's works, the same accuracy hath been happily observed, and here lies the difference between the manuscripts of our antient law Latin authors (such as Glanvil, Braden, and Fleta) and those of our antient Latin historians, namely, that in the former, their words are generally abbreviared, while in the latter, they are as generally written at full This difference in the manner of writing one and the same word fufficiently accounts for the blundering adoption of the word turnum for terminum, which mistake will still appear more liable to happen, when we call to mind that in our ancient court-hand

Lambert's Saxon laws under the respective Saxon kings.

(Where

writing there is very little difference between the form of the feveral letters t, u, m, n, e, i, and that the letter " i" is written without any tittle over it. That terminum, and not that son-fenfical word "turnum," is strict orthography is further and incontrovertibly confirmed by a transcript of Henry the FIRET's charter, which was the batis of king John's Magna Charta. This transcript is directed to Samplen, buthop of Worcefter, urion of sionet, and to all the French and English barons of Worcesterfbire, and runs in the following worde: " Scratis qued concedo et precipio, ut a modo comitatus mei et bundreda in 14418 Locis et regis Edwardi, et non aliter." Belider, if we had neither this record of our first Henry, nor Matthew Paris's copy of the great charter to affift us in detecting this error, we might eafily discover it in the word terminum post pasche et iterum past factum sandi Michaelis, which we literally translate, and even at this day call Eafier and Michaelmas term. And as those terms were in those days begun and ended in one day by the theriff per hundredum (in the fingular number) to it was emphatically called the theriff's day, agreeable to which denomination we still call an entire term only one day, in law, or one law-day. Judge Britton, who was contemporary with this Henry the third, in his book of the law of England in the French language expressly calls it " jour de visc" " journe de vife," and then, giving the reason why it is so called, adds " ces que eft apelle devaunt le vife jour DE vise eft appelle veux de frank plegge en court de fraunk home, et en fraun-chifes et à hundres. A quet jour le viscote face jurer XII. des plus fages, et plus leaix et plus suffisaunte de tout le bundred." But as there at first could be very few copies of this book, it feems very probable that the few perions who were in possession of it mistook the word iour ne de visiconte for tourne de visconte, and thus confirmed the egregious blunder that had arisen before by abbreviating the Latin word terminum into that of turnum, or tournum as it has ever fince been indiscriminately written. It is on this account, I take it, that it became necessary about 200 years afterwards to explain what was meant by that barbarous, unintelligible word " tournum" which accordingly was done, in the first year of Edward the fourth in which statute it is clearly explained to be a LAW-DAY, and thus the word was restored to its true and origi-- nal meaning, and confequently the true and original orthography of it ought to have been readopted by Mr. Justice Blackstone in his edition of Henry the third's confirmation of king John's magna charta; but I must (without offence) beg leave to observe, that the confirmation before mentioned, from which he took his copy, is a fourious one, and sourious in every article which either makes an addition to, or diminution of, king John's great charter. For Matthew Paris expreisly inhits that the charter of King John and the confirmation of it (eleven years afterwards) by his fon Henry

(Where there were feveral clans in one shire, the earldom was placed in the person who had the greatest clan in number and riches;) † but if there were other lesser clans not under the same head or confiny, they were divided into § sutrapia's, or hundreds, and the lord of such sutrapia or hundred arrayed his own men, which were therefore

the third, were is NULLO definites. And the authority of that English historian, who from his local fituation as a monaftic refident all his life-time to near the feat of action as the monattery of St. Albans was, must necessarily have seen both the one and the other, and who, as the most accurate as well as celebrated historian of his own time, hath carefully transmitted to posterity, an exact faithful copy of the great charter itself, and yet hath not handed down to us one lingle article of Henry the third's confirmation of it, adding, for a very good reason of such his filence and total emission, that " charte utrorumque regum in nullo inveniuntur diffiniles." The authority, I say, of an historian, whose veracity in the simple flatement of fuch facts as came within his immediate knowledge and his own coular conviction hath hitherto very jully flood unshaken and unimpeached, ought not to be weakened by groundless fuggestions or improbable conjectures. If spurious copies have of late I een mistaken for the authentic copies of the charters, it was no more than what Sir Edward Coke had done before, which fatal error, together with his superficial tincture of the Saxon laws, led that great lawyer (great, I mean, in the knowledge of mere flatute law) into many errors, as may be frequently feen in his exposition of (what he calls) the Creat Charter, and also in his fummary histories of the several courts of Parliament, Chancery, King's Bench, Common Pleas, and in particular of his Court of the Tourn (as after Lambard and Kitchen he writes it) and his Court of the Leet.

I Not all the Saxon kings, for we have only the laws of eleven Saxon monarchs, and those of king Canute the Dane, all which feveral laws were not published by proclamation; but, on the contrary, were promulgated either in tome great national fynod of the clergy, or in the Beneduyppe, which was a national council, in contradiffinction to the Followore, which was only a provincial parliament.

'So much of this paragraph, which I have enclosed between the parenthesis, hath been resuted in a preceding annotation.

S There was no fuch nominal division of dominion or territory as that of a fatrapia, and what gave rife to any such notion, is the Latin translation of the word "eorle" which in the laws of Attelflan is translated by the word satrapa; but the word eorle in Saxon signified the first civil magistrate or judge in the county, and it was not his office to array his own or any other men either in the county-court, or hundred ccurt, as I have already observed.

fore leets, | and faid to be taken out of the torns; and in this array the articles were given in charge

as

I True it is, there was in some few provinces such a territorial division, and territorial jurisdiction as by the Saxon was called a I.ed, but this divition always contained the third part of the county or province, and consequently bears no resemblance or affinity to what we call a court-leet at present, nor does Kitchen, who has written an express treatise on this court, give us the least idea of its institution, or or its real and true name. Sir Edward Coke, who has also written a summary history of this court, advances nothing but inconfishencies, contradictions, and puerile conjectures, having no foundation in historical truth, and his whole comment upon it may truly be faid to be an ignotum per ignotius: but the true name of this court, if orthographically written, is the court of the lit, that is to fay the court of the little (or petty) jury : for lit, in the old Saxon language, is a diminutive of the adjective little. The institution of this court, under its present denomination, is immediately subsequent to king John's great charter, for the counties, barons and other great-landholders of those times, having compelled their respective villains and slaves, to unusual and unaccustomed task-work, and no persons of servile condition being then deemed worthy to be admitted to take an oath in open court, and being thus deprived of the protection of the law against their respective lords or masters, a new article was devised and inserted in the great charter in their favor, the article is the 23d, in which it is established for ever, that "nec bomo distringatur facere pontes ad riparius, nisi qui ab antiquo et de jure facere debent." the reader is here to be informed, that the word "homo" standing without the adjunct of liber, probus legalior melior, legalis or capitalis, always fignifies a person of servile condition, which class as in the laws of Alfred may be feen comprised " the Jeop" and " the Ene-nihoum." Now the'e base and servile persons becoming by this great charter admiffable into the hundred court on certain occasions, it drew down a kind of contempt and derision upon the court itielf, infomuch that the majores barones would not attend at all, and therefore they afterwards obtained an act of Parliament to be excused from their attendance; and the next class of freeholders imitating, in some measure, the amajores barones, would not roll with the leffer freeholders, and thus the court became divided into classes of jurymen, namely the Grand. jury, which as Briton clearly informs us was composed of twelve persons des plus fages, et plus lealx, et plus sufffauntz de tont le bundred : which the jary, whom we now call the petty jury. were fworn (as the fame learned author observes) par decennas et par villes queux leut presentement serrount as primers xii jurours sur les articles dont ils serront charges par eux." and here we have the origin or first institution of our petty which jury, as they were now and then obliged to admit villains menial fervants, and other perions of base and servile condition am ough them, and that too upon the oath of vile flaves, it turned

as at the torn. § In boroughs where there were great numbers of men in walled towns, they were arrayed by the confinies and heads of the clan within the town; and therefore every ward had its proper alderman, who was chosen and not imposed by the prince.

In every decennary there was a prapositus or constable chosen in the torn, and respective leets, who was head of the decennary during the year; these were those that had the staff; and therefore sometimes called + borsholders, 1 tith-

ng

this jury into such contempt, that in derision it was called the court of the lit, that is to say, not the little, but the less than little court, which by corruption is called the court of the leet.

S A borough or burgh as it is written in the Saxon language, was the proper appellation of what in latin is called decenna or decuria, and what we in English, at this day call a decennary which always consisted of ten samilies, each person in the decennary being what we now understand by the word freeholder, and accordingly in the Saxon tongue he was called a fri-borges-mon; if more than one decennary lived in the same town, it then generally came to be a walled town, and the chief magistrate of such walled town had the title of Eastonnmane, and had the same rule in such walled town as the Eople had in the county.

If The constable was not the headman of the decennary, for the tithingman or headboroughman was a civil magistrate, whereas, on the contrary, the constable was a military officer, and had always the custody and guard of some castle or other, and to him, exosficio, belonged what the French call baute moyenne, et basse justice: but these constables or Castellains, having grossly abused their judicial authority, there was afterwards an article in king John's magna charta, injoining that nullus constabilities tonat placita corona,—it likewise explains a passage in another article of the same charter, where it is established, that "nullus constabilities distringat aliquam militem ad dandam denaries procusted castri: and lastly, it explains another passage in the same charter in which the king binds himself, that he will not make any constables but of such persons qui sciant legem regis et eam bene welint observare: for it seems these constables before this charter, governed their castles and the several persons within their jurisdiction by the laws of the dutchy of Anjou, as I have observed above, and not per legem terra.

The errors of this paragraph have been pointed out already, and amended, but I must observe, the Saxons had no general court under the denomination of a Witena-gemote, the style of their general Courts was either mycelne rommunge Iroder beopens or the mycelne rommunge in the mycelne rommunge i

or de mycelne panod or de geræddysse."

† The errors of this paragraph have already been corrected in a preceding annotation.

* Page 12. ing-men, and headborough, as the head of the

decennary.

Those in the county at large were chosen in the torn, before the earl or sheriff, and in the leet before the lord or his steward; in the boroughs they were chosen before the aldermen in their proper ward, which was called the and the aldermen did themward-mote; felves annually chuse their head or prapositus; because being several in their respective wards it was necessary that there should be one head in each township; and therefore there was an annual magistrate among the aldermen, who made up one decenna in each town, and their prapofitus was the constable, or head of fuch decenna; the laws were made at the witena-gemotes, which was a general court of the whole kingdom, as the torns were of the counties.

* Page 12.

* The torns were the folc-motes, and to them were summoned all the decennary of the counties, unless in leets, to which they summoned the

boroughs of the respective counties.

To the witena-gemote were fummoned the earls of each county, and the lords of each leet; and likewife representatives of the township, who were chosen by the burghers of the town; and they appeared (by the king's writ issuing out of his own court) at the † witena gemote once a year at the least, and generally twice, (viz.) about Easter and Michaelmas.

This Law of the decennary continued some time after I William the conqueror came over,

and

[†] The witena-gemote, as it is here called, was not holden once a year at least; on the contrary they were only holden when the kings (and not even all of them) ascended throne;

[†] William the conqueror, as appears by his own code of laws, expressly confirms the laws of Edward the Confessor, and consequently in them this law of the decennary: and this law, though it be not carried into execution at present, yet it stands unrepealed to this very hour: and happy were it for this kingdom, if this law, which, in the above-mentioned code, is emphatically, and very justly called the "fumma et maxima securitar regni,"

and there is a shadow of it till this day; for when the people grew numerous, it was impossible to put every man into a decennary; and therefore on the least offence given they made them find sureties, which by the Saxon * law were previous- * Page 13- ly found So where any person was charged with a debt, he might purge himself by his proper Vid. 12. Mod. sureties, and this was the † original of the law- 669 the history of it.

The torn perambulated twice every year through each hundred, (unless there was a leet, from whence the t sheriff was excluded) and twelve men were impanelled to inquire of all offences, so that the whole inquest might not come from one decenna; and it seems that if any person

>

n

e

S

d

0

d

ot

ut

ne

r,

 \mathbf{p}

nce

the

W.S.

and

aw,

nds

om,

lly,

was, instead of daily multiplying our penal laws, which we learn by daily and hourly experience hath answered no other end but of filling our criminal code, multiplying felons, and depopulating the land by transportation of convicts, or by the more unmerciful and inhuman policy of public executions of them here at home, happy I say were this decennary law revived, and carried again, with vigour and effect, into execution throughout the whole British empire.

The marginal note here referred to does by no means give the reader any thing like a hift ry of the original of the law-wager for this mode of determining fuits and actions by battle or duel is not confined to actions of debt, but to any actions in which the plaintiff hath called the defendant a liar, or a traytor. The origin of it was founded upon the true principles of a military government, in which it was deemed more politic, and more for the public good, that a coward should lose his debt, or other action, than that a man of tried courage, and military dexterity should appeal to any other judge but his own quarter-staff; for that was the weapon the combatants sought with on these occasions.

N. B. This mode of determining all forts of actions of debt without deed, may still be infisted upon, except in such cases where it is takenaway by some special act of parliament; and it is at the option of every defendant (except as above excepted) to be tried, either by his country or by his God: the latter trial is this waging war or wager of law.

The Sheriff could not be excluded from the leet or Lit, because it was holden, as Britton rightly observes, devant le visc. en journe de visc. It the author of this introduction has any meaning, it is, that the sheriff's authority in the lit ceased, and was instantly superseded by the justices in eyre coming into the county.

The trial by simple ordeal was for thest, but not for other crimes.

person was presented by the jury of his hundred he was to purge himself by † ordeal, in the room of which the petit jury was substituted after the conquest; and if there was favour or affection shewn by the sherist, or the steward, there was an appeal to the king's court; and it appears by the Mirrour, that † Alfred animadverted very serverely on the judges of courts that had been guilty of injustice.

• Page 14.

* The Alteration upon the Conquest.

When the conqueror came in, every person found in arms against him forfeited his whole estate, in which he placed his Normans, and compelled all those who were not in arms against him, to take out patents of their lands to hold of himfels: in order to this he made a general survey of the whole kingdom, which was called the domesday; and from hence it is, that we have such an innovation

† By the word judges we must not here understand the judges of the king's-bench, Common-pleas, in eyre, or of affize; but the judges here alluded to, were the zenorans, who had judicial authority in county-courts, and hundred-courts, and of these judges no less than 35 did king Alfred, in one year, sentence to be hanged, besides degrading or imprisoning seven others; for the several crimes of which Alfred s and them gailty, vid. Andrew Horn's Mirrour in his article of the abuses of the common law.

I William, duke of Normandy, on his conquest of this kingdom, found the landed property already parcelled out into three divisions, one thousand five hundred thri-things or leths, hundreds, wapentacs, and burks were the proper, unalienable lands of the crown, about twice the number of lands were, by this ancient division, allotted to the church and churchmen, the churchmen held one third of these lands, for the support of themselves and their respective dignities; and, for the expenditure of which, they were accountable to no man; as sor the two remaining thirds, the clergy held them in trust, for the special purposes of giving doles or charity to the full amount of one-third, and of expending the other third inbuilding new, or in beautifying, or repairing old churches; as to the third division of property, which comprised all the lands not otherwise appropriated to the crown or the churches, it was allotted to the secular nobles, which word included every secular person, who was not of servile condition.

vation of the law in this period; and whereas the Saxon property was either allodia, which the Civilians call in folido, and descended to all their children and collaterals, and was by them called Bockland, and was held by charters; which was originally invented by the clergy in fecuring lands to their monasteries; or else † Folcland, which were estates for life at most, * and were held by * Page 15. vaffals from the great lords by certain fervices, or works; or elfe were earldoms of counties, which were held by the king without special charter, for the gathering in of his profits, and administration of justice, and were generally for life, unless forfeited for misdemeanor, and often granted to children, where the ancestor was meritorious.

The crown-lands were carefully registered in a book, and therefore they were called Bockland, which is the same thing as Bookland, These Bocklands as I said above, were, by their original confliction, unal enable from the crown. But the early Saxon kings, by the help of their lawyers, found out an easy method of eluding this institution. For they gave or devised certain portions of these lands to their own male relations for life, with specific remainders over, and by always referving the reversion to themselves, and making the grant in the most public manner possible, and that too by a written transcript from the crown-books or register; it was deemed the kings had not departed from, or divested themselves of, any part of their Bocland, because the crown still had an estate therein, The last will and testament of king Ælfrid is a confirmation that Bocland could only be given or devised by a king, and sub mode as I have said before. The domesday book of the conqueror, was nothing more than a new Bocland, and a new one became absolutely necessary, because the several denominations and descriptions of the crown-lands, underwent a total reformation or alteration, and consequently new patents became necessary.

rolcland was only a subdivision of that landed property, which a person of free estate demised either to some ceorl at an annual rent, or which he apportioned out for the support of his own hon!hold, and also for that of his Theowas. afterwards called villeins. these in the Norman reigns, and especially in this, the earldoms of counties were always holden by special grants in writing I have feen many of these charters, they are very thort, and are generally, couched in the following form: " I William, firnamed the Baffard, king of England, do give and grant the county of A. to B. and his heirs for ever to be holden of me and my heirs after the fame man-ner as it was holden by D. his predeceffor.

First, Charter Land. Second, Allo-Third, Sheriffwicks. Second, Incorporated to the State.

All these the conqueror made feudal, and to hold of himself hereditarily, generally by knightsfervice, which had the fruits of wardships, marriage, and relief, that he might keep up the dependance on himfelf.

Where a township had lands, and sublisted before with a † provost or mayor, as the city of London and cinque ports, these lands the conqueror gave to fuch and their fuccessors, by the tenure of contributing * a reasonable tribute to-* Page 16. wards the wars.

He also confirmed to the monasteries, and to the bishops, lands either in frankalmoigne or per baroniam, according as the charter runs.

From hence it was, that all lands were held mediately or immediately from the king, because every body was obliged to pass patents for their estates; and this the conqueror made to be held by fealty, that even the property of their estates might depend upon their allegiance to him. This prevailed every-where fave only in Kent, where amongst other customs they had that of the father to the bough, and the fon to the plough, which first extended to fave them from all forfeitures; but afterwards was construed to extend to felony only; and these privileges were allowed to Kentish men by the grant of William the conqueror. Page 17. Whether * they opposed him and made this an article of their fubmission, or whether it was an act of grace obtained by Lanfranc, archbishop of Canterbury, and Odo bishop of Bayeux, who were fettled in Kent, is uncertain.

Those that held immediately from the king were called his head tenants or in Capite, ‡ as hold-

ing

The title of the chief magistrate of London was not that of provoft but Pontenekan or port reeve.

Theie tenants in capite were such persons as held the crownlands, immediately under the king: if they held an escheat, they were not properly tenants in capite, because the king himself was not the chief lord of fuch escheat, he was only lord per accident.

out under-charters to their vassals; but still they were under subjection to the king; and upon any treason committed, the seuds were forfeited to the king, and not to the lords, because they ought not to receive any into their lands, but

what were faithful to the king.

In the court above he altered the manner of proceeding: in the Saxon times in the witena-gemote, all matters both civil and criminal † were then debated, as likewise the revenue; yet for civil and criminal matters * they were only a court * Page 18. (in the first instance) for facts arising within the county, where they fat; but by way of appeal from the injustice of other officers they heard causes from all counties. But William the conqueror only caused the states to recognize him, and had not those annual parliaments t, fearing that being all English they might prove dangerous; but he established a constant court in his own hall, made up of the officers of his palace, and they transacted the business both criminal and civil, and likewise the matters of the revenue; and as they fat in the hall they were a court criminal, and when up flairs a court of revenue; the civil N. B. Two pleas they heard in either court. Courts.

These courts consisted of the justiciarius, who was in nature of president, and called capitalis justiciarius totius Anglia; it was he who * chiesly * Page 19.

† Matters civil and criminal were debated in the free-borough gemote, the hundred gemote, the trithingha or leth gemote, and in the feyre gemote, but in this witena gemote (as it is errone-oufly called) the business was to promulgate a constitution or whole code of laws at one and the same time.

The contrary of this position appears throughout the whole of William the Conqueror's own constitution, which is an ample compleat confirmation and re-establishment of all the laws, as well as the annual, or rather semestral, parliaments of Edward the Confessor. Hoe quoque precipimus (saith he) ut omnes babeant et tenent leges Edwardi rezis in omnibus rebus, adjunctis his quas CONSTITUIMUS ad utilitatem Anglorum.

determined all pleas, and with him fat the charcellor, † the treasurer, constable, † marshal, senes-

chal, and the chamberlains.

No cause of consequence was determined without the king's writ: for even in the county courts, of the debts, which were above 40s. there issued a justicies to the sheriff, to enable him to hold such plea, where the suitors are judges of the law and sact.

So likewise the writ of right issued out to enable the lord to hold plea of lands within his jurisdiction, for it grew a maxim among the Normans, that no one could hold lands without the king's patent,

nor plea above 40s. without the king's writ.

These writs were tested by the justiciar, and generally returnable into the king's court, and wherever the court sat either in aula regis, where they sat on the *criminal side, or on the revenue, which was above stairs; if the writ was returnable at either of those sittings, as the party appeared they proceeded to hear the cause, and give their judgment: the civil proceedings were minuted by the officers attending, either in the criminal court, or in the revenue; so that civil pleas are formed both in the sovereign seyre of the king, and in the Exchequer.

Though

The constable was an officer attendant on the king's residentiary or itinerant palace, as well as the marshal, steward and high chamberlain, were household officers introduced into this kingdom

by William the Conqueror.

* Page 20.

The chancellor was not then the great law-officer he now is a for his province was that of a notary-royal, as the very name itself imports, can or con fignifying the king, and seller or rather fealer fignifying an officer authorized to seal all public documents, and the office itself, was called the Chancery, because the king's wax or cera was there kept in safe custody.

This fovereign eyre of our Saxon kings in propriis personis was only made once in every seven years, and 48 days notice was always previously published. See William Florilegium sub anno 1261; but this septennial perambulation of the kingdom running into disuse through the indolence of our Norman kings, Henry the

Though both the civil and criminal business was dispatched by these officers, yet they had some bufiness that was peculiar to each of them; the justiciar prefided in the court as the head, and therefore called capitalis; all patents were formed by the chancellor, and the feal put to them, and he had the custody of the feal of the court both for writs and patents; all manner of accounts were chiefly audited by the treasurer; and therefore * in his office the great roll, now called the pipe- * Page 21. roll, was made up, and was a rent-roll of the king's whole effate; from thence they fent extracts, now called the fummons of the pipe, to each sheriff, who was his bailiff in each county, to gather his revenue; and the sheriff came in and accounted at Michaelmas and Eafter, and brought in the money from each bailiffwick; the fheriff let the king's demesnes, and likewise gathered his rents and fines all but the wardship and escheats, which belonged to the escheator, a proper officer appointed for that purpose in every county.

The sheriff accounted likewise for the profits of his torns, hundred courts, and wapentakes, and fometimes they farmed them at facertain rent from

the crown.

To the conftable and marshal chiefly belonged the care of matters of honour, war, and peace; * * Page 22. and therefore all t foreign facts committed by the king's fubjects were referred to them to determine

fecond, in the year 1176 revived it in some degree, and inflead of enjoining our kings to go this septennial circuit in their proper persons, at a great council then holden at Nottingbam, " Ex assensu filis sui Henrici junioris et magnatum Anglia regnum in fex divisit partes, carumque singulis tres constituit justiciaries." And their judges in eyre were to go the circuit for the future inflead of our kings; and here we have the origin of the inflitution of juffices in eyre.

The citizens of London farmed the fheriffwick of the county of Middlesex at the rent of 300% per ann. which is the precise

rent they pay for it at this day.

I These great officers of state had confince of all trials per battail between fubject and fabject within the realm.

termine according to the law of nations and of arms.

From the beginning of the holy war, which was foon after the conquest, there was a common law of nations and arms that went thro' all christendom, and the honour of knighthood was universal. So likewise in Richard the first's time the laws of † Oleron, transcribed with some variation from the lex Rhodia, were brought into this kingdom.

The common law of nations and arms was supposed to be best known to the constable and marshall as attending the king's armies; and therefore these matters were referred to them.

• Page 23. menial fervants were * determined by the fenefchal and marshal.

† The marshal was also to keep prisoners, and take care that no indecency was committed in the king's house.

The

+ The laws of Oleron were abolished by king John's Magna Charta. For our early Norman kings having very great and extenfive dominions in France, and Oleron lying within that dominion, and being entirely under the government of French laws, those laws were declared to have no force nor authority here in England. For, when it is faid in the great charter that nemo imprisonetur, &c. nifi per legem terræ, and in another article of the same charter, nifi per LEGEM REGNI, the phrases lex terre, and lex regni, are to be taken and understood emphatically there used in express contradistinction to the lex Normandie : or lex Acquitanie, or the lex Andinogavia (i. e. Anjou) all which French laws as well as the French modes of pleading had as it were oufted the lex REGNI. But as only these French laws and not the French modes of pleading in our law courts were abolished by this great charter, fo the French modes of pleading having not been literally abolished at the same time, this charter was afterwards interpreted according to the letter of it, and this is the reason that the French mode of pleading hath continued in a great measure even to the present times. N. B. Py the lex terre, and lex regni is underflood the laws of Edward the Confessor confirmed and enlarged as they were py William the Conqueror: and this conflitution or code of laws is what even to this day we call " the com-

The marshal was also to fit in the palace of the king, and, thus seated, hear and determine all pleas of the crown arising within the verge of the court: but neither the marshal nor seneschal were to hold pleas of frank-tenement.

The chamberlains were to count the king's money, as it came in and issued out of the treasury.

The king's fovereign eyre or court removed with the king wherever he went, and wherever he

went the torn in that county ceafed.

f

n

e

s

d

ie

12

(e

d.

,

7-X-

e,

28

x

6 at

ly

rhe

'n

is

n-

1-1.

On coming into any county, all matters depending in the torn were brought into the eyre, and when there, the record was never afterwards parted with; but because the eyre was only ambulatory in the county where the king removed, they found it necessary that there should be eyres that should go the circuits, through the feveral counties in England; and those in * their circuits * Page 24. fuperfeded the torn, wherever they came, and Poft 28. transacted all manner of civil and criminal bufinefs.

They went the † circuits from feven years to feven years; and thus the justice of the nation stood till about the time of the barons wars.

When the court received any plea, if it was matter of fact, it was tried by a jury of the county, impanelled before the justiciar, or by the law wager in debts upon simple contract; for they thought, if the plaintiff trufted to the honefly of the defendant in lending his money without specialty, he ought to trust his conscience in the discharge; but if it was denied, then the witnesses were joined with the jury to attest the truth of the deed; this was according to the feudal inflitution, the pares curia figned the investiture, and, wherever it was questioned, attested it : therefore * in all pleas of land the * Page 25. method was to produce the investiture figned by the pares curia; but where the investiture could not be found they joined iffue by battle. On the writ of right, which was often the Saxon form, the defendant had his choice to try it either by a Jury,

These justices in eyre were laid aside by Edward the art, who in their stead appointed justices of affize.

jury, or a battle; but because the battle was of uncertain event, the affifes were afterwards in vented.

The battle feems to be a very uncertain way of trying property; and therefore it was in the defendant's choice, who was in possession, whether he would try it in that manner or not, and if he could produce his investiture, he was fure to prevail coram paribus; and if any person was got into possession by forcible disseisins, they removed fuch trespass in the torn by an inquisition, that fo he who had jus possessionis might defend Page 26. the title in the action: but because the trial * was to be per pares, the fovereign eyre feldom took conuzance of causes out of the counties; and therefore it was necessary to fend justices in eyre, that the causes in each county might be tried; if they did not take conuzance of causes out of the county where the court fat, they were forced to fend inquilitions to sheriffs, and afterwards to justices in eyre to try the fact, and afterwards to fend it into the king's court.

On the criminal fide, crimes were prefented on articles of inquiry, as in the Saxon times, but they did not on such presentments put them to their + ordeal, but introduced a petit jury in the flead to try the prisoner, and therefore the priioners did not use to produce their evidence to the first jury, as they had formerly done, when

they were put to their ordeals.

* Page 27. * From thence they only gave the grand 1 jury fuch evidence as was fufficient to accuse. This was

a great

g

W

ce te ju

fp

themselves from the county court as I have mentioned already, it

[†] I have already explained this trial by ordeal, and also the in-Ritation of the petit jury, or jury of the court lit, I shall only further observe, that the law-proceedings, at the time this court was instituted, being all in the French tongue, and the letter i being founded in that language like the English.ce. is another reason for writing the word " leet" instead of the true orthography " let."

This jury was called grand, because, before they separated

a great reformation of the law; for in the Saxon times the greater offences were tried by the ordeal, and the leffer by compurgators; both of which were infufficient methods of determining causes; and therefore the changing this into a petit jury was of great advantage. When these judges in eyre itinerant returned, they lodged their records in the king's court of Exchequer, many of which still remain; and for the fines and amerciaments, which were in fuch courts, process went from the Exchequer; and therefore on the divition of the courts the record of the fines and amerciaments were kept in the feveral courts, and only extracts out of them were transmitted into the Exchequer.

* But the justices in eyre had a larger autho- * Page 28. rity than any of the other courts; for when the cause concerned the king's revenue, they could give day into the king's fovereign courts. So that the justiciarii itinerantes were supposed to communicate with the justiciarii residentes, and to supply their places in all the counties where the

lovereign eyre was not.

As the torn was ambulatory through the whole Ante 24. county, fo the king's court originally perambulated through the whole kingdom: and after the conquest, when the kings discontinued the method of making their circuits through the whole kingdom, they then appointed justices in eyre, who went in their stead with a delegated power, but were always effeemed part of the king's court exercifing their jurifdiction within the counties; but being no more than a # dele- * Page 29. gated power, there was still a writ of error from them

was composed of all the episcepi, comites, vicidomini, vicarii, centuarii, aldermanni, prafecti, prapesti, barones, vavasores, tengrevii, & ceteri terrarum domini comitatus; but this grand jury being afterwards exouted their attendance on this court by a special act of parliament, the grand jury of the present times is composed only of knights and other freeholders.

them before the king himself, and in the palace of Westminster, where the seat of his chief residence was, there were residentiary justices, who heard and determined all causes in the king's abfence; but there were often commands given to

bring fuch plea before the king himfelf.

The justices in eyre had several articles, which they gave in charge, and proceeded upon, and lords of liberties came the first day and made their claims, that they might hold pleas within their franchife at the fame time, and that the jurifdic-Continual claims tion of the eyre might appear, and when the charters were lost those claims were allowed as evidence of their franchise.

> The marshal (as has been said) being to take care of the prisoners, did attend the king's court; but when the justices became * stationary, there was likewife a flationary prison, which they called the Fleet.

The justiciar of England's + power was that, which united the king's courts under one head, and being fo great an officer he was dangerous to the government, and obnoxious to the baronage,

towards the end of the former period.

Jeffry Peterson, made justiciar totius regni, and continued till 15 John, got so great a power, that he became uneafy to the crown. So that king John, from his death, swore he then began to be king of England, and though there were two justiciars afterwards in his reign, they continued but for a short time; for † Peter de Rocher was not long in his office, and being a Poitivin was not grateful

The power of this JUSTICIAR, in the absence of the king from England, was that of a vice-roy, and in his fingle person centered the whole regency of the kingdom.

* Page 30.

⁺ King John, on his going to Poictiers in the year 1213, conflituted in his place Peter bifbop of Winchester (by lay name Peter de Rape) justician of all England; Hubert de Bergo was also appointed to the same high office in the year 1214, as William Rarl of Pembroke also was in the year 1216.

grateful to the English, and as to Hugo de Burgo, it is uncertain, whether he was jufticiar, or not.

* It plainly appears king John had a defign to * Page 31. lay atide the power of the justiciar; and therefore he readily granted an article in the grand charter, that there should be residentiary justices, and that Common Pleas should not follow his court, but be held in some † certain place : whereupon

This article of king John's magna charta hath been totally misunderstood. For when he says " communia placita teneantur in alique certo loco," he only means to restore to the people their ancient manner of holding the county and hundred courts, which had been interrupted by there being two different kings then acknowledged in England, namely king John and Lewis the Dauphin of France. In these turbulent times, the county and hundred courts followed the temporary local palace of the respective kings. The king had this power of removing these great courts upon any very urgent occasions, as appears by the following confirmation of a most ancient institution by Henry the first, " Sic ut antiqua fuerat institutione formatum, falutari regis imperio vera nuper est recordatione firmatum generalia comitatuum placita et definito tempere CERTIS LOCIS per singulas Anglia provincias convenire debere, nec ullis ultra fatigationibus apitari, nifi propria regis necessitas, vel commune regni commodum sapius adficiat.

0

d

it

e

ſ-

ıt

ot

ot

ul

ng

on

nter

lio

47

Agreeably to this ancient Institution, the barons required of king John, that the county and hundred-courts, which in those times were the real and only parliaments of the kingdom, should not follow the king, wherever he should be pleased to command their attendance, but that on the contrary they should be holden, conformably to the ancient custom of the realm, in some certain place in every county, throughout the whole kingdom. But the customs of France prevailing then, and being still more adapted to the temper of Henry the third, who was fon and successor of this John, he formed a plan to abolish these provincial parliaments, and the first step he took to accomplish his design, was to remove part of the business of these provincial parliaments near his own refidence. Accordingly he erected a new court in West minster-Hall for this special purpose, well knowing that it he could draw one part of the provincial business immediately under his own eye, (where consequently it would be more subject to his influence.) that every other part, worth notice, would foon follow, and thus a residentiary court, now styled the Court of Common Pleas was erected in Henry the third's reign, (and not in that of his father John) and was opened on the 6th of July 1233, which tallies with the 19th year of Henry the third, Robert de Ros being appointed the first chief justice, Robert de Bellebamp, Regin de Moyun & Robert de Rokely, the other three judges

whereupon a residentiary court was established at Westminster as a standing seat of justice; for the determination of such pleas as were merely civil, and belonged to the subjects between themselves; and thus began that court now called the Common Pleas.

THE

S

AND

PRACTI

OFTHE

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS.

HAP. I.

Of the Court.

THE stile of this court is, PLACITA apud Westmonasterium coram Petro King milite, & Sociis suis, Justiciariis Domini Regis de Banco, de Termino Sancti Michaelis Anno Regni Domini Georgii, Dei Gratia, Magnæ Britanniæ, Franciæ, & Hiberniæ, Rex, Fidei Defenfor', &c. fecundo. And because all civil causes between subject * and * Page 2. subject were to be determined there, therefore † this

† During all the reigns of our Saxon and Danish Kings, and even to the seventeenth year of Henry III. when this court was instituted as a residentiary national court in Westminster Hall, all pleas, civil as well as criminal, were tried and determined in the respective counties where the cause of action arose : per fingular Anglia provincias nec ullis ultrà fatigationibus agitari. Vide Leg. Hen. primi. And as every county in all judicial matters was a separate distinct community, and as all causes were heard and (if not too difficult) determined by a majority of fuch community, fo

The History and Practice

this court was stiled Communia Placita, or Common Pleas: and the word pleas anciently signified the convention of states in campis; and because in those conventions of the states, all causes were heard, debated and determined, therefore by corruption the causes got the name of pleas from the court where they were decided; and therefore the court, that was particularly erected to hear and determine civil causes, was called the Court of Common Pleas.

This court's authority is founded on original writs issuing out of *Chancery*, which are the King's mandates for them to proceed to determine such

and fuch causes; for it was a maxim among the Normans, that there should be no proceedings in

Normans, that there should be no proceedings in the king's court in Common Pleas, without the king's writ; therefore a writ always issued to

warrant this court's proceedings; and those issued out of Chancery, because when the courts were but one, the Chancellor had the seal, therefore

when they were divided, he still keeping the seal, fealed all original writs: by this method the seal was a check on the other courts to know what

cause was there, and likewise that the fines for having justice in the king's court should be an-

fwered in the court of Chancery before there were any proceedings; and therefore * Fleta fays, Dum

Fleta 58.

Reg. 179. b.

tamen

the causes, thus adjudged, were called placita communia or common pleas or the pleasure of the community, that is to say, they were determined judicio communitatis islius, by the judgment of that community; the accustomed mode of pronouncing that judgment was expressed in a very sew words, as may be seen in king Alfred's laws "Him licode eallum," which in modern English is "that it liked them all." Where note, that the word licode, or liked, is the same word as licet, in Latin. In sact, this concide mode of pronouncing judgment still continues to this day in the House of Peers, and is expressed by the word "contents" From these observations I conclude that the word "pleas" is an abbreviation of the word pleasure, which is synonimous to that of content in English, licode in Saxon, licet in Latin, and placart in Dutch, which is only a corruption of the word placet, or in France as well as here in England, when judgment is given by the king. "Le Rey le weut."

of the Court of Common Pleas.

tamen warrantum per brevium regis cognoscendi, nam fine warranto jurisdictionem non habent neque coertionem.

And Britton fays, on the establishment of this Britton, c. 4. court, that they shall plead such * Common Pleas as we shall command them by our writ, so that the proceedings on our writs may be recorded.

But this is to be understood when the cause is to be between common persons; for when an attorney, or any person † belonging to the court is plaintist, he sues by writ of privilege, and is sued by bill, which is in nature of a petition; both which originally commence in the court of Common Pleas, and have no foundation in Chancery.

1

n

e

o d e e

1

ıt

r

-

e

25

71-

ev

of

g-

ng is

or

ile he

m

e-

11-

in

ig.

There are two forts of writs, viz. † Breve nominatum & innominatum; the first contains the B 2 time.

* It is well worth observing that Britton, who was cotemporary with Henry the third, in whose reign this court of Common Pleas was erected, does not say, the Common Pleas must absolutely and ne essay be holden in Westminster Hall: on the contrary, he expressly says, they shall be holden at Westminster, "Ou ailleurs, la on nous voustrons ordiner," or any where also, where we (the King) will ordain."

† This mode of suing a person belonging to this court by writ of privilege is conformable to and grounded upon the common law of the land, properly so called. This common law is no other than the laws of Edward the Confessor confirmed and enlarged by William the Conqueror, in which code, Law 35. it is inter alia established for ever, ut omnis homo pacem habeat eundo ad gemetum,

vel redicus de gemoto, nist probatus fur suerit.

‡ See the origin as well as the grounds and reason of these wries, in the laws of Hen prim. cap. 29. Si de nominatis placitis swel brevibus) implacitatus non erat, tunc termino congruo causes [well prosequende facultatem babeat. Si de nominatis placitis terminum non susceperat (nist competens Soiners, i.e. Essoien) eum detineat. Si non venerit, omnium reus sit, de quibus placitum nominatum suscepit, and again cap. 46. Si quis a domino suo, vel justicia per suam, vel alterius suggestionem implacitetur, submoneatur ad septem dies in eodem comitatu, de nominatis vel innominatis placitis. Si si lex domino vadietur, disferat cætera placita (nist sint capitalia) donec lex deducatur per burgi-legum, i.e. per legem decennæ vel frie-burgi, et nominentur ei placita, et inde ad septem dies respondeat, quod velit. And again cap. 50-Si quis a domino vel pralato suo de nominatis placitis secundum

time, place, and demand, very particularly; as the other contains only a general complaint, without the expression of time or damage; as the action of trespass, which might have been at any time done, and was intended to defend the estate itself against the invasion of the neighbours, and seems to have been allowed thus general originally before the distinction of bounds; and therefore the vill only was alledged where the trespass was supposed to be done; the plaintist also might count of any trespass committed before the suing

Page 4. * Every thing, t

* Every thing, that comes within the compass of the writ, may be comprehended within the declaration; but the declaration cannot in any manner be extended beyond the writ; for in this the common law differs from the civil law; they begin with a libel, from thence there iffued a citation mentioning the plaintiffs and defendants names, and the name of the judge; and the apparitor having cited the party, he was to take out a copy of the libel. In the beginning he had solemnes formæ, so that they held qui cadit in libella cadit in causa; but afterwards they changed it with leave of the court: but there could be nothing of this at common law, where the Chancery iffued the writ, which gave the Common Pleas authority to proceed; and therefore they could not alter or change the nature of the action, because the original

† The Vill seems to be alledged of necessity in conformity to the 23d article of king John's great charter, where it is established that nec VILLA nec homo distringular, &c.

legem (i. e. legem Edwardi, alias legem-communem, vulgo diclum) placitatus ad diem condictum et non venerit, omnium placitorum de quibus nominatim implacitabatur, incurrit emendationes, nifi competens aliquid respectayerit. Aliud enim est si quis a domino suo submoneatur ut ilio vel illa die sit ad eum et placitum ei nominetur, et aliter si ita expresse sit mannitus (i. e. summonitus) ut ci placitum non nominetur, &c. N. B. These laws of Henry the sirst, and the incidental mode of pleading, were the groundwork and basis of king John's Magna Charta, and therefore are of the highest authority.

ginal writ was the ground of the whole proceedings; fo that whatfoever could be comprifed in the writ, however multifarious, might be comprifed in one declaration; but whatever could not be contained in one writ, could not be comprehended in the declaration, because the declaration was to be conformable to the writ.

These original writs were contained in the Regifter, which was a book preferved in the Chancery, where the forms of the writs, as well those that were brought from * Normandy as those which * Page 5. were added by mafters in Chancery, were tranfcribed: they divided the writs relating to lands into droitural and possessory; and writs relating to persons into those ex contractu, & ex delicto. Under ex contractu was couched debt, which was to restore the same in numero; and the other the fame in specie, or damages; and also actions of account, covenant, and annuity, &c. Actions ex delicto were either for trespasses founded on force, which were trespasses vi & armis; or upon fraud, which were † actions upon the case.

These, whether they were the best divisions that could possibly be formed, yet gave the rule B 3

Actions upon the case were not limited to fraud only : they comprised all species of actions, for which as there was no remedy at common-law, i.e. by the laws of Edward the Confessor as enlarged and confirmed by William the Conqueror, fo confequently there could be no form of writs for such actions found in the regifter, hence, when the common-law came thus to be broken through, it became necessary that there should be new writs formed to tally and square with such offences and crimes, and the forming these writs according to the nature of the offence or crime was affigned to the proper officer and clerks belonging to the register office. But this practice being confidered as an innovation on the COMMON-LAW, it was thought necessary to declare or enact it law, which was accordingly done in the 30th year of Edward the first. But this king did not venture to authorife those actions on the case, except it were in cases where there was no remedy at common-law. Vid. 13 Ed. I. c. 50. Super vero flatutis in Di-FECTU LEGIS et ad REMEDIA editis, ne diutius querentes cum ad curiam venerint, recedant de remedio desperati, babeant brevia sua " in suo casu provisa." It is in this statute we find the origin, grounds, and boundaries of actions on the cafe.

of what could, or could not, be contained in one declaration.

Cro. Car. 316. 1 Vent. 366. 3. 36. 4. 13: Broke, Joindure in action Register 139. 7. H. 7. 4. Broke. Joinaure in action. 90.

* Page 6.

1 Vent. 223. 365, vid. 1 Salk. 10 cont. 1 Sid. 244.

2 Show. 250. fays they were forced to declare in the deceipt only.

2 Lev. 101; Raym. 233.1 3 Lev. 99. 1 Keb. 870.

Thus debt on an obligation and on a mutuatus may be joined in the same declaration; because the writ is general; and the declaration upon both will be warranted by the authority given in the general words in the writ: So you may join debt and detinue in the same declaration, because there are writs in the register in which both are comprized in the fame writ: fo you may join debt upon a leafe, and for cloaths, they being in the words of the fame writ: but debt and account, or debt and trespass, cannot be joined in the same declaration, as there are for each feveral * writs, and one does not warrant a declaration upon the other.

Several trespasses may be joined, because they likewife are comprised in one writ; and so several actions on the case, where the case is of the same kind, may be joined in the fame declaration; as an per Holt cont. action for a fraud on the delivery of the goods, and on the warranty of the same goods, being both on the contract: fo against a common carrier, on the cultom of the realm, and trover may be joined, because both on the tort, it being a violation of them not to deliver the charge, held contra Dalfton v. Janson. The first count being on contract, lord Ray. 58. &c. S. C.

But an Assumpfit and Trover cannot be joined; for the mutuatus was turned into the affumpfit, yet it is still on the contract, and different in its nature from a tort; and fo they have never conceived one writ on cases so distinct as on a contract and a tort which though they come under the general head of actions on the cafe, yet are more distinct cafes than debt and account, which cannot be joined. It is doubted in Lev. if being separated it be cured by the verdict, as it is clearly ill on demurrer.

The true reason why an action may, or may not be joined, is not the difference of the defendant's pleas; for if that was the reason, they could not join a debt upon * obligation and a mutuatus; for the general iffue upon obligation is non eft

* Page 7.

of the Court of Common Pleas.

fact', and upon a mutuatus, nil debet: but one reafon of joining actions feems to be, where the process and the fine upon the original are of the same fort. Now in debt the old process was summons, attachment, and diffress; and there was no capias, because the man that committed a tort might be supposed to fly from justice; now as soon as a writ was returned it was filed, and then the Filazer was to iffue process upon that writ; and therefore they were to continue the writ, so that the Filazer upon every writ might issue his proper process. There were likewife fines upon originals in debt upon the taking them out, which were in the nature of Compositions for private persons suing in the king's courts, in order to have the best and Fine. most speedy and proper justice; but the fines upon trespass were not upon taking out the writs, but they were according to the nature of the tort in the judgment: hence it was, that all matters of debt might be put in the same action, because the fine upon the original was in proportion to the fum demanded; but they did not mingle debt and trespass, because the fine upon the trespass was in the judgment; also the judgment in debt and trespass was different, and therefore they could not be mingled in the fame original; for * upon the action of debt they had only an amerciament which was affeered in the county; but upon trespass the court set a fine, ‡ and levied it by a capiatur.

B 4

Thefe

For the cap igtur in trefpal, fee the note in page 14.

There was no capias in debt, as the first or leading proces, not for the reason here given, but because such a capias is a direct and manifest violation of the 39th article of King John's Magna Charta, "nullus liber homo capiatur nisi per legali judicium parium fuorum, vel per legen terra. The lex terræ is William the conqueror's conflictation, Edwardi fandli nullatenus in patria remanebunt, sed statim jurabunt se ituorus ad mare infra terminum a justicia eis constitutum & mox transfretaturos quam cito babuerint navem et ventum, et quisquis eos invenire, poterit post certos dies, faciat de eis justitiam une judicie. Vid. leges. Ed. Sanc. c. 19.

These writs were delivered to the sherist, who was the king's bailiff in every county, and to be by him returned into the Common Pleas; but the sheriff before the return of fuch writ was obliged to take pledges of profecution, which (when the fines and amerciaments were confiderable) were real and responsible persons, and anfwerable for those amerciaments; but those I amerciaments being now fo inconfiderable, there are only formal pledges entered, viz. Johannes Doe and Richardus Roe: but there is a difference in trespass and in debt; for in trespass the attachment on the goods is the first process, and by which the defendant is hurt, therefore the writ commands that the sheriff should first take pledges before he executes the process; but in debt they begin with a fummons, and the party is not injured in the first instance, therefore there is no command in the writ to the sheriff to take pledges; but unless he does, there is not fufficient authority from the return to warrant further process, unless pledges be put in above, as in the King's Bench they always do on the bill; the reason why pledges were not taken in Chancery, but committed to the sheriss, was, that he living * in the county was supposed to know who were fufficient fecurity, and being to levy the amerciament afterwards, they were to take ample fecurity for them.

* Page 9:

The

These amerciaments are not inconsiderable per se, they are only become so per accidens: That is to say, the value of money being at this day, encreased two or three hundred fold to what it was in the reigns of our Saxon, Danish, and early Norman Kings, the amerciaments (which in those days were fixed and certain) now bear a proportion to the decreased value of gold and silver. Thus a horse, which in the payment of a were-gild was then valued at twenty shillings, would now be valued at twenty pounds, and an ox, which was valued at ten shillings only, would now be valued at ten pounds. See the laws of William the conqueror chap to. En la Were purra il rendra chival que ad la cuille, per xx solz, e tor per x solz' in modern French "en redemption de sa guerre (were) il peut estimer un cheval qui a sa queue, a vingt shillings, et un beuf a dix shillings."

of the Court of Common Pleas.

The original is returned to the cuftos brevium, who is the Common Pleas officer appointed to keep them; fo that that which was an authority for the court to proceed, might be lodged with a certain officer, in order that fuch authorities might be always forth-coming; and therefore to keep these original writs returned was his only bulineis.

These writs have fifteen days between the teste Booth. 5. and return, exclusive of them both, in order that there may be time for the sheriff to make the fummons, and that the party might come up, though it were in the remotest part of England.

The law days, which were formerly i from three weeks to three weeks in the Saxon courts, are now reduced into terms, which are all one day. That the business of the sessions might be transacted at once in the King's Courts, the terms were so appointed in winter and fummer, as that proper vacations were left for t Holy-days, for feed-time and harvest, and for the justiciari restdentes to keep the affizes in the counties after Hillary and Trinity Terms, which are called issuable terms.

The terms themselves were divided into several return-days, and the writs were to be made returnable at each of those days; and intersitia were then made, that the buliness of one return might be dispatched before that of another began, and therefore Fleta, fol. 86. fays, et provisum est quod justiciarii de utroque banco placita ad unum diem adjornata perficiant antequam de plucitis dici sequentis quicquam

1

0

e

y

it s,) r . 2-

s. ve.

111

X

la

1

Page 10.

The bare holy-days exclusive of Sundays, were 53 in num ber, as appears by the laws of King Alfred, cap. 39.

The Saxon law days (see p. 52) were from four weeks to four weeks, see the laws of Edward the elder, Ch. 11. in modern Englith word for word, " I will that each sheriff have the mote (or meeting) at every four weeks."

quicquam pl'itare incipiant, hoc tamen excepto quod esson' illius diei supervenientis admittantur & adjuceantur & reddantur.

CHAP. II.

Of Process.

PROCESS is twofold, (viz.) real and Personal. First.

Real. This was a fummons to make the tenant appear at the lord's court, and afterwards, when the process is to be returned into the king's court, if the party did not appear, or fend an excuse to the lord's fummons, to answer in a plea of lands, this was reckoned a breach of feudal duty; because he was obliged to attend his lord's court, so that the lands in question were immediately † feized into the lord's hands; * and if he did not appear on that feizure, they were by judgment awarded to the demandant, as the person taking on himself the feudal duties which the former tenant had refused: but if he came in on the feizure, he might excuse his non-appearance, either by shewing that the bailist of the court had not fummoned him, or inundation, tempest, imprisonment, or other invincible necessity, that his non-appearance was not want of duty in him.

And if after appearance, he made default at a day given, process went out to seize his lands; and if he did not appear on the seizure, and ex-

cuse

ſ

1

f

h

f

n

f

ti

d

a

n

12

il

d

n

17

to

n

if

th

ei

hi

* Page 11.

Booth. 21.

[†] The lands were not seized either into the king's or landlord's hands upon the sirst desauit, as appears by the lex terræ, c. 65. "Requiratur bundredus et comitatus (sicut antecessores siatuerunt) et qui juste venire debent et noluerint, summoneantur semel, et si secundo non venerint accipiatur unus bos; et si tertio, alius bos; et si quarto, reddatur de rebus bujus hominis quod calumnistum est quod dicitur evere-gel, et insuper regis for s fa Aura."

od

n-

al.

int

nen

ırt,

to

ds,

be-

, fo

y t

not

ent

ing

te-

fei-

el-

had

im-

his

at a

ds;

ex-

cuse

ord's . 65

tialu-

lemel. alius

mnia-

2."

cufe his default, it was a breach of duty in him, and his lands were adjudged to the demandant.

When the lord remitted his right to the king, and it came to the king as lord paramount, the procefs in the king's court was formed upon the process in the feudal courts below, and therefore the magnum cape, (i. e. grand cape) iffued to feize the lands into the king's hands, and to warn the detendant to come to excuse his default : if he did not on the return appear, and fave his default, by fliewing he was not lummoned, or fome invincible necessity as aforefaid, judgment was given for the demandant, unless he released the defaults; and then the grand cape was no more than the first fummons, and the demandant *declared against * Page 12. him as if he had appeared on the first fummons. This was often done, when there had been any fault in fummoning the tenant; but if the demandant justified on his default, and the tenant faved his default, the writ abated; because he puts the whole cause on the tenant's failure in his seudal duties, by not attending the court: if after appearance, day was given, and the defendant made default, a petit cape went out to feize the lands, and for the tenant to hear judgment; and if on the return, the defendant did not fave his default, by the wing an invincible necessity, judgment was given for the demandant, because this was a breach of his feadal duty; but when the tenant appeared and prayed an imparlance, if he made default, there should not be a petit cape iffued, but the lands should be seized, because it was a day given him at his own expence.

Second.

Personal. According to the antient inflitution the theriff was to fummon; and this was done either personally, or else the summons was lest at his house; and therefore the sheriff was to return either

m

no

th

an

m

pa

ki

or

the

lun

eve

M

of

acc

wa

+

the I

as B here

first .

chatt diffre

HE

thele

ant h take

the c

retur de ju

ufqu

bridg

lord :

capia

tachn the ac

appea his bo

becau bound

ing FI ing to

Marle

but it

经次集团的关

either summoneri feci, or nil habet in balliva mea per quod fummoneri potest; on this summons the party * Page 13. either appeared, or essoined, or made * default; if he appeared, they proceeded against him, and the defendant pleaded; of which hereafter.

> There is an officer in the court, that is called the clerk of the effoins, and he keeps the roll on which were entered the effoins; and if the defendant on this roll has a day given him to a fubfequent term, he cannot enter his appearance of the term in which the excuse is given; for the plaintiff by the effoin-roll had the fame day given him as the defendant had: And they will not allow the defendant to appear and plead in the † absence of the plaintiff.

Cro. El. 367.

If he effoined, (that is, he fent his excuse by a fervant for not appearing,) the excuse was to be fent on the day the writ was returnable; for if he omitted that day, your exception might be entered the next day to his non-appearance, and you might have an order that the defendant's effonium non recipiatur; and from this exception fo taken and entered, the fecond day after the return of the writ was called the day of exception. third day the sheriff returned his writs into court, which were delivered into the custody of the custos brevium, and from thence this day was called the day of returna brevium; and then it was that the court was feized of the cause by the possession of the writ. The fourth day was called the appearance-day, or dies amoris, which was * the time granted ex gratia for the party to appear; if the party did not then appear, the plaintiff offered himself, and the filazer recorded his appearance, and that the sheriff had returned, he either summoned

Page 14.

This is a very just rule, it is grounded on the laws of Henry the first, in which it is ordained, cap. 31. " Quicquid adverfus a'fentes agitur in omni loco vel negotio agitur, penitus evacu-

of the Court of Common Pleas.

y

d

d

n

e-

b-

of

he

en

ot

he

ya be he

red ou

um

cen of

he

irt,

ftos

the the 1 of

earime

the

ered

nce,

um-

ned

Henry us alevacu-

moned the defendant, or that the defendant had nothing by which he could be fummoned; and if the sheriff returned summoneri feci, and the defendant did not appear, then he awarded an attachment and diffress infinite in debt; † but in trefpass, because there was a fine to the king, the king's process (which was a capias) was awarded, or a diffress, as the court thought proper; but if the sheriff returned nil habet in balliva mea per quod summoneri potest, then the t capias was awarded even in debt, and this came in by the statute of Marlbridge, which gave the lords the fame liberty of having their bailiffs in the prison, as the king's accountant had before; and therefore the capias was awarded on the Nihil returned; § 25 Ed. 3.

ch.

I The flat. of 25 Ed. 3. c. 17. does not refer to the statute of Marlebridge, for that flatute neither gives a capias nor an exigent:
but it refers to 13 Ed. I. c. 1. which gives both the capias and the

⁺ By trespass we must here understand real trespasses against the peace, profecuted not by way of presentments or appeals, but, as Britton nicely distinguishes, " Par forme de tresspass." And here the defendant shall not be intitled to any summons, but the first or leading process against him is a distress by his goods and chattels, with four court-days, and then an award of the great distress. But no CAPIAS CAN BE ISSUED AGAINST HIM IF HE HATH EITHER GOODS, CHATTELS, OR LANDS. Nevertheless if it be found by an inquest of the vicinage that the desendant hath nibil, then the theriff testifying and returning nibil may take the defendant by his body. This is the LEGAL process of the capias in trespass. And farther, if the sheriff shall afterwards return a non est inventus, then the sheriff soit remande par brefe de Jugement, que le desendant soit demande de cento en cento jusqu'à tant quil soit utlage s'il ne vient pas.

The capias in debt did not come in by the flatute of Marlebridge, for that statute only provides a remedy between the liege lord and his liege bailiss, in matter of account: and, instead of a capias, ordains an attachment per corpus suum. N. B. The attachment always implies a contempt of court, as in this case, where the accomptant-bailiff, flying from justice, and in contempt by not appearing at his own lord's court, this attachment issues against his body, in the nature of an execution, and it is well-grounded; because the accomptant-bailiff, being one of his lord's sworn and bounden servants, and as such being in plegio domini sui, and having FLED from his bail, is very justly taken in execution, according to the law of the land laid down in the chapter of bails or " de riborgis" in the laws of Edward the Confessor.

Ch. 17. brought in the same process in debt, detinue and replevin; and † 19 H. 7. in actions on the case; if the defendant could not be arrested on the capias, the capias being returned non est inventus, and filed with the custos brevium, the plaintiff se obtulit, and the court granted an alias and so a pluries; all these are made out by the filazer, who is so called from the files, which were warrants for him to continue the process.

♣ Page 15.

Upon the return of a non est inventus upon the pluries, the plaintiff might sue the desendant to Outlawry.

But the process of outlawry being to put the defendant out of the king's protection, and by which he forseited all his goods, and was imprifoned,

0

h

C

t

p

W

h

al

fi

th

fu

te

lo

of the

the

the

exigent. In this 13 of Ed. III. the whole process in accompt from the first, to the very last stage is accurately delineated, statuted and ordained. And the process in this statute ordained, ought, at this day, to be followed step by step, in actions of debt, detinve, ieplevin, and on the case. But the practice of holding to special ball upon a fictitious latitat, with an acetiam in the King's Bench, and the acetiam in the claufum fregit of the Common Pleas, which were arbitrarily introduced into those two courts in the last century, have entirely perverted the only true, legal and statutable process in debt, &c. and although many endeavours have of late been made to explode and extirpate the present process by capial in the first stage, yet the practice hath prevailed, and probably will until it shall please the wildom of the legislature to interfere, and relieve the subject. The process of capius and exigent gives by the 25 Ed. 3. c. xvii. ought to be the same, si come est uste es brief d'accompt, and in the writ of accompt here referred to, auditors must be affigned, before the accomptant can be legally found in arrear, for if he be not in arrear he cannot be legally arrefled, per corpus fuum.

† The capias, which the Chief Paron in pa. 14. calls the king's process, is the capias that is awarded upon an indicament; and as the accusation is at the suit of the king, the capias may not improperly be called the king's process: and it seems to have acquired this diffinctive appellation by the 25 Ed. 3. c. 14. in which it is afferted that after any man be endited of felony before the justices in their sessions of Oyer and Terminer, the she iff be commanded "D'attacher son corp par brief ou precept, qu' est appelle capias." But the capias granted by this statute is a capial in execution, and comes after judgment. for an indicament significant in law an accusation found by an inquest of twelve or most upon their oath: and therefore is not any violation or instringement

of the great charter.

of the Court of Common Pleas.

n

d

1-

16

as

ne

re

he

to

he

by

ri-

ed,

rom

and this

16.

bail and

hich

cen-

table late

piat

bably fere.

ce es

, aufound

effed,

s the

nent:

ay not

ve 10which re the con-

of of

capial fignis

r more

gement

foned, and lost the profits of his lands, there was great care taken that no person should be outlawed without sufficient notice, and great contumacy to the process of the court; and therefore not only three capias's were issued, before there could be process of outlawry, but likewise there were three officers concerned in that process, that it might not be made in the king's court behind the party's back: The first office is the chancery, from whence the original issued; the second, the filazer, who made the capias, alias, and pluries; and the exigenters, who made out the exigent.

When the exigent went out, it was to be fued to the county where the person really was, for there the transitory action was originally laid, for the creditor was to follow the debtor, wherever he was to be found; and because the outlawry was only first for treason, felony, or very enormous trespasses; therefore the process was to be at the torn, which was the sherist's criminal court, and held not only before the sheriff but before the coroners, who were t the antient * confervators of * Page 16. the peace, being the best men in every county, to prefide with the theriff in his torn, and they pronounced the outlawry upon him; but, before this was pronounced, he was to be quinto exactus, for he had three days for appearance, and one for grace, and if he flood in contempt at all those days, at the fifth county court he was pronounced outlawed by the theriff and coroners, and the theriff returned fuch outlawry to pronounced on the exigent: after fuch Judgment was obtained in the court below and returned by the sheriff and recorded

above,

^{&#}x27;f If by the epithet "antient" we are to go fo high as the reign of king John, then the coroners are not the antient confervators of the peace, but those 25 barons or great landholders elected f r the special purpose of observing and keeping the famous PEACE made on the 15th June 1215, between king John on the one part, and the kingdom on the other. And in this elective body of confervators of the PEACE, we may trace the origin of our present justices of the peace.

above, they could take out execution against the outlaw; which is either general to arrest the body, or special to arrest the body, extend the goods, lands, debts, and choses in action, Lut. 330, 331. And when the inquifition is taken, it is returned by the sheriff into the common pleas, and then a transcript of the outlawry and inquisition is transmitted into the Exchequer; and thereupon, if any debts be returned due from any one to the outlaw, on application to the Exchequer a scire facias issues to such person, to shew cause why the king should not have such sum found due on the inquifition to the outlaw. The reason of returning the transcript of the record from the common pleas into the Exchequer is, for when the inquisition has re-* Page 17. turned the outlaw to be possessed of any * goods or lands, he being out of the king's protection cannot enjoy any thing, and the profits of the lands are to be feized into the king's hands, but the lands are not forfeited, unless it be in a capital case, and then after the year and day he forfeits as if he had been convicted: but in other cases, the profits are feized whilft he continues outlawed; and therefore the transcript of his record is fent into the Exchequer, that the court of ordinary revenue may have it in charge; but the court of Exchequer usually grants a custodium to such perfon as fued the outlawry.

After judgment you may upon a capias ad fatis-faciendum, without alias or pluries, have an exigent, and thereupon outlaw the defendant, because he having been already in court before judgment, and having conusance of the debt, he ought to pay the debt on the first suing out of the capias, otherwise it is a contumacy in not performing the judgment of the court, for which disobedience he is put out of the king's protection; you may, after judgment in outlawry, have a capias in any county, because he being a person outlawed, can have no property any where, but to be seized

where; and if he be fued to outlawry after judgment, there needs no scire facias to renew the judgment, after the year and day, because, being outlawed, you may have execution * on his effects * Page 18: at any time, on behalf of the king; and there is no occasion to give him a legal notice who cannot be found and who is out of the king's protection, and fo cannot be heard.

e

,

,

.

d

a

-

y

-

15

g

1-

ne

to

e-

ds

on

he

ut

1-

its

es,

d;

nt

ry

of

er-

is-

nt,

he

nt,

oay.

er-

the

nce

av, any

can

zed

ery

Notwithstanding the care taken by Ed. 1. in the formation of the court of common pleas for the due issuing of process towards outlawry, yet outlawries were fued where the plaintiffs never appeared in proper person; and therefore a penalty of 40s. is imposed on the plaintiff for fuing out an outlawry, without his own oath, or the oath of fome of his counsel, of his appearance in person, and like penalty of 40s. is imposed on the attorney for the non-entering his appearance, by filing a warrant of attorney on record.

Hence it is become necessary, that the party should appear, at least by an attorney, before the exigent is fued out, which attorney, being an officer of the court, is responsible to the court, that there is a real plaintiff who fues the outlawry; thus the intent of the statute is fatisfied, and the penalty for the person's not appearing in his own person being so inconsiderable, no one thinks it

worth their while to profecute for it.

This statute did not yet remedy all the inconveniences on the proceedings to outlawries, and therefore the 6 H. 8. c. 4. fays, * That no man * Page 19. shall be outlawed before he is proclaimed in the county where he lives, or did last live; and by 31 Eliz. c. 3, the theriff is to make three proclamations; the first in full county, the second at the fessions, and the third near the church-door where the defendant lives, or at least last lived: on this statute there was framed a writ of procla-Thef. brevium, 173. This writ is additional to the exactus in the exigent; if he appears before the return of the exigent, he may fue out a Juper sedeas,

supersedeas, which he procures from the exigenter; but this appearance must be first recorded of that term in which the exigent iffues; and this is allowed, because there is a day given him by the writ to come in, and his neglect of not appearing is fo very penal, that they give him leave at any time to appear before the outlawry pronounced and returned; the reason of his being proclaimed at the county-courts is, that he may furrender himfelf; and if he does this, he shall not be obliged to put in bail, because he was never in custody; but if he comes in on the capias utlagatum, where there is any debt mentioned in the original, there he must put in bail to the debt, because being in custody he shall not be discharged without caution; but where there is no debt mentioned, his caution cannot be adjudged, there being no quantum of * Page 20. the plaintiff's * demand on the record, and fo they take common bail only; but if he comes in before the exigent is returnable, there he shall give no bail, though the original specifies the debt.

H A P. III.

Of Bail.

AVING thus confidered the steps taken against the defendant, when he neither appears on the fummons nor can be taken on the capias, we will now confider him as he is taken up by the process.

If the party be taken, he either gives bail, or

If he gives bail, the party is at liberty to take an allignment of the bail bond, or to amerce the sheriff for not bringing in the body; if he does not take bail above, or the plaintiff declaring

against him as in custody.

First, When bail is taken, and the plaintiff to takes the affignment of the bail-bond. When the sheriff arrests any one, he is obliged to take bail, which is by the 23 H. 6. c. 9. + otherwife an action lies against him; formerly, before the statute, he was not obliged to take bail, unless the defendant fued out a writ of mainprize, * because the * Page 21; writ commanded him to take him, but he might take bail of his own head; and if he had not the body ready according to his return, he was amerced; as he now is, if the plaintiff does not take an affignment; but if he does, he is not amerceable, for the plaintiff has waved the benefit of the amerciament by accepting the bond the fheriff took according to the statute: but before the statute for the amendment of the law, he was to have fued in the sheriss's name; and if the sheriss had released the action, his remedy was in a court of equity; but by the statute of the amendment of the law the interest of fuch bond passes by the assignment to the plaintiff, and he may fue it in his own name.

Secondly, He may have him brought up; this is usually done, when the plaintiff dislikes the fecurity the sheriff has taken; and the sheriff having returned a copi corpus, it is a breach of duty in him not to bring him in according to his return, for which the court amerces him, as one of their officers who had been disobedient to their writ which is returned and filed; the court amerces him,

This flatute authorites indeed the theriff to let out of prison all manner of persons by him arrested, or being in his custody, by sorce of any writ, bill, or warrant in an action personal upon reasonable fureties of sufficient persons; but it must not be understood that the the riff hath any power given him by this statute to arrest any perfon in a perional action before fuch perfon shall have been duly summoned, attached by his goods or chattels, and distrained, or before the damages on which the plaintiff grounds his action shall have been ascertained by auditors assigned, or by writ of enquiry.

him, because it appears on record he has disobeyed the king's writ; but if the writ be not returned, and they make an order that the sheriff shall return his writ in four days, as is usual, where the disobedience is to be pronounced by order of the court, and confequently a contempt of the court as a court, for which an attachment lies.

Page 22.

But if it be in another term, then there must be an habeas corpus upon a cepi returned, because the sheriff might be prepared to have him according to his writ the first term; but not being required to have him in court the fecond term, an habeas corpus is necessary, and the sheriff in this writ must return the body, or a languidus, or a mortuus, or elfe he will be amerced.

† See Page 24.

1 Rol. 807. 8. Cro. El. 824, 852,

Noy 391.

* Page 23. Bulft. 200. Moor 852. Jon. 207. Cro. Jac. 419. 1 Rol. Rep. 338, 440. Cro. Eliz. 868. g Lev. 46. Dalt. Sher. 165, 216, 117. T See Page 24.

If the sheriss returned a cepi, and paratum habeo on a + mesne process, he shall not be amerced if he does not bring in the body, though he shall be amerced if he does not return his writ; and the reason is because the sheriff is bound to bail the party by the 23 H. 6. and therefore if the sheriff be mistaken in his fureties, he ought not to fuffer in his liberty, and the returning his writ is in his own power; but it may not be in his power to bring in the body which he was obliged to bail. 1 Rol. Abr. 807. If the sheriff returned a cepi corpus, and paratum habeo, or languidus, where the defendant is at large without any bail taken, he is not aided by 23 H. 6. but an action for a false return lies against him, but no action lies on fuch returns, though falle, when he has taken fecurity; because he is obliged by the statute to take bail of him.

* If a capias issues to a sherisf, and he arrest the defendant on a + mesne process, and he take the body, and the defendant be rescued by J. S. he may return the refcue, and fuch return is good, and no action of escape lies against him after such return; but the court will iffue process against such rescuer, or fine him : but on a capias ad satisfacien-

dum,

dum, fuch return is not good, and an action for

an escape will lie.

The reason is, that antiently every man being in decenna had bail, and now is prefumed to have bail ready to be answerable for his forth-coming, and therefore the sheriff is not obliged in duty to take the poffe comitatus to affift him; but when judgment is passed, and his bail do not surrender him, nor pay the condemnation money, then a capias issues, to which there can be no bail, and there it is prefumed that he will not be forthcoming, because neither he nor his bail have fatisfied the judgment; and therefore the sheriff then ought to take the poffe comitatus, and confequently it cannot be a good return that he took the body, but that it was refcued; and the party may have an action of escape against the sherisf on this return, or a new capias for the return of an inef- 1 Ro. 904. fectual execution; but if the sheriff had permit- Cro. Car. 240. ted him to go at large, he could have had no new 255. execution, for an * effectual execution is returned, * Page 24and fo there is a pledge for fatisfaction in the custody of the sheriff, for which he is only an-

fwerable. Antiently they had castles, fortresses, and liber- 8 Co. 142. tics, whereby they refisted the sheriff in executing the king's writs, which creating great inconvenieuce, the statute Westm. 2. c. 39. hindered the sheriff from returning refcues to the king's writs of execution, the words are, Multoties etiam falfum dant responsum mandato, quod non potuerunt exequi præceptum regis propter refishentiam potestatis alicujus magnatis, de quo caveat vic' de catero, quia hujusmodi responsio multum redundat in dedecus domini regis & corona fua, & quam cito sub-ballivi sui testificentur, quod invenerunt hujusmodi refissentiam, statum (omnibus omifis) affumpto secum posse comitat' sui eat in propria persona sua ad faciendum executionem, & si inveniat suos sub-ballivos veraces castigat resistentes per prifonam,

prisonam, a qua non deliberentur, sine speciali præcepto

domini regis.

The judges conftrued these words to extend only to executions, and not to writs on mesne process; † and that the sheriffs were not obliged to carry the posse comitatus, where the man was bailable, for they did not prefume that in fuch cases the king's

writ would be disobeyed.

* The original of commitment for ‡ contempt feems to be derived from this statute; for fince the sheriff was to imprison those that relisted the process, the judges that awarded fuch process must have the fame authority to vindicate it; hence if any one offers any contempt to the process, either by word or deed, he is subject to commitment during pleasure, viz. a qua non deliberentur fine Speciali pracepto domini regis; fo that notwithstanding the statute of mag. char. that none are to be imprifoned, nift per legale judicium parium suorum vel per legem terra, this is one part of the law of the land to commit for contempts and confirmed by this statute.

There

Mag. chart. cap. 29.

* Page 25.

+ Because writs on mesne process as here understood, were not then known: It is true there was a meine-writ, but this writ should only operate where there was a superior lord, and a mesne-lord. The mesne process spoken of in this place, and also in pages 22 and 23 may confound ideas and gives this modern process a colourable sanction of antient usage: for the real writ of meine, was a writ of execution, it was fued out after and not before Judgment, fee 13 Ed. 1. e. 45. Eodem modo mandetur ordinario in suo casu observato nibilominus quod supradictum est de medio (meine) qui per recognitionum aut judicium obligatus est ad acquietandum

† The contempt, here mentioned is a general term, and in our Saxon laws is very properly called "Openy sleep which is as much as to say such a person hath set himself "over his highness." This offence was deemed a great breach of the King's peace however, great as it was, it still was an emendable offence, and did not superinduce any commitment to prison. In the laws of Henry I. it is described to be " Infractio pacis regiæ per pla-citum brevium vel præceptorum ejus contemptorum, et boc placitum mittit omnes in misericordia regis, secundum quantitatem delicti. But the fine incurred, was certain, fo that it could not

of the Court of Common Pleas.

There likewise is in this statute a return of the Vide Cowels sheriff, mandavi ballivo talis libertatis, qui nullum interpreter verb. infangdedit mihi responsum, which is a good return, if the thef and outbailiss has the return of writs. These liberties be- fangthes. gan in the Saxon times, and were grants from the crown to lords, of jurisdictions within themselves, fuch as infangthef and outfungthef; the first of which was a power of life and death over their own tenants, that had committed the felony; the other a power over any person accused of felony within their manor.

These private jurisdictions were retrenched after the conquest as much as possible because they

be exceeded, and on payment of it (that it is, by diffress of goods and chattels) the contemptor could not be attached by his body, and a multo fortiori, could not be committed to prifon during the king's pleasure either by the sherist, or by the court, nomine conz temptus. We must therefore look for some other ground or common-law principle which authorifes this commitment to prison during the king's pleasure. Now it is well known that at common-law properly so called (that is to say, the laws of Edward the confellor, confirmed and enlarged by William the conqueror (there were only five crimes that were not emendable, or as they are emphatically called in king Canute's laws " boxlear" i. e. bought-less or not to be bought off: one of these five crimes is 'abepe monden' or "appearant murther" that is to say, murther so notoriously apparent, that it cannot be denied or contradicted. Persons apprehended in the act of such murther were immediately committed to prifon and obliged to adjute the realm within 40 days, but in the interim the King lege sua dignitatis might grant a pardon of life and limb, which though it were granted, yet the murtheters secundum legem terræ nullatenus in patria remanebunt." So that all that is meant in this flatute by the words " Puni-antur secundum qued domino regi placuerit," is that the king may pardon them, their lives and limbs, it he fo pleafes, any time within 40 days after their commitment to prison. Now feeing that it is impossible in such a resistance which required the whole militia of the county to quell it (for that is the true meaning of the poffe comitatus) that there should not be some one or other of the King's subjects killed, seeing that, and as such killing would be murther at common law, we are enabled to find the true ground and principle on which the refifters, their aiders, confenters, commanders, and fautors of fuch are by this statute committable to prison namely for apparent-murther, and not for contempt as the chief-baron hath suggested : according to this explanation the refisters, Co. Co. are imprisoned fecundum legem terre, and the statute itself does not infringe magna charta, which I apprehend cannot constitutionall, be faid of commitment for cen. tempt merely ex officio

* Page 26. they would have been very inconvenient * to the Normans; but in recompence thereof, all escheats for felony were allowed the lord of the manor, as if he had exercised such jurifdiction: but after the conquest, the lords fell into a new method; for, to maintain their authority within their neighbourhoods, they purchased the bailiwicks of the hundreds, fometimes for years, for life, in fee, at a certain rate in feefarm, and for this they had the court-leet, the affizes of bread and beer, and the amerciaments, (viz.) the fines for the breach of any of the articles properly examinable in the leet; and they likewife had the return of the writs; fo that the lord appointed his bailiff to execute the king's writs within his franchife, and the fheriff, who is the ordinary bailiff of the crown, could not enter the fame, which was a great obstruction to the publick justice; to remedy this, Westm. 2. cap. 29. enacts that if fuch bailiffs gave no answer to the fheriff; the court should grant a special warrant with a non omittas, which authorised the fheriff to enter the franchife; by which it appears, that the king's bailiff was to answer the fum due from the franchife, yet they were bailiffs to the sheriff to answer the king's process sent from him to them. These liberties being erected by grant from the crown, unless they have * Page 27. been allowed in Eyre, when fuch grants * have been shewn, they cannot be prescribed for: it is true if the non omittas is mentioned by Bracton and

The " Non emittas" is grounded on the 19th chapter of the common law, where it is declared that " Barones qui fuam habent curiam de suis bominibus, videant ut fic de eis agant, quatenus erga regem non ofendant:" conformable to this law is the 24 cap. of H. first " Surin barones focham fuam babentes babet judez fifealis jufficia legis observant, & quicquid peccabitur coram personam." And Britton, freaking in the person of the King, fays, " nous woulons que notre jurifdiction foit sur toutes les jurifdictions en notre revaume."

)

1

f

-

-

d

r

-

1e

S,

1-

ey

16 s's

10

ot

on

2.

er ial

he

p'

ine

ai-

ent

ત-

ave

ave

t is

Ton

and

fthe Juam gant, law

aben-

pec-

on of f fur and Fleta, which makes lord Coke suppose it was at common law; but it is to be observed that there were fystems of law, which like Britton and Glanville were published by Edward the first, and composed of such customs as had been used, and likewife of fuch laws as he intended; but those of them that related to baronage were generally enacted by the first statutes made by their conlent; therefore after this flatute, if the sheriff entered into the franchise without a non omittas, he was fubject to an action, but the execution was good, because he had an authority to levy the money on the goods, where-ever they were found within the county; for erecting the franchife did not exclude it from the king's process fent to the sheriff of that county; but the fee- Plow. 216, farms being payable to the king, if they were not 245. paid in by the bailiffs at the Exchequer, process 33 Aff. 19. went out to levy them, which would have been improper, if fuch franchife had been exempt from the county: hence the notion came, that the king's process was a + non omittas of course, F. N. B. 95. because the king was to levy his fee-farm from the 20 1, 7. 7. because the king was to levy his fee-farm from the 20 1, 7. 7. bailiwick, and in the writs at the fuit of a com- 43 1. 3. 30. mon person it is good, the sheriff being liable to 11 H. 4.9. an action, which is on the rule, quod fieri non Dalton's Sher. dehet fed * fuctum valet; the money is well levied, 11 H. 6.54. though the sheriff is subject to make the lord * Page 28. amends for entering his liberty: but when there B. Offic. 34. is a non omittas propter aliquam libertatem, there, 2 H. 4.1. by this flatute, he is to enter the franchife; fo by Westm. 1. cap. 17. where he is to make deliverance by replevin, he is to enter; so where he is judge,

41 Aff. 17.

Br. Ret. 20.

The " non omittar" is not iffaable where the bailiff bath in due time returned the writs to him directed, or hath paid the king's money into the exchequer: and the facium valet, qued fieri non debet, approaches too near the Cafarian code to be admitted for law in any court of this country

41 Aff. 17. 33 Aff. 19. Br. ret. 78.

Fitz. Chart. 2. 1 Ed. 3. 56, 7 Aff. 11.

5 Co. 92.

1 H. 4. 9. Br. Offic. 35.

* Page 29. 5 Co. 92. Offic. Br. 135. Thef. br. 166.

as by a writ of redifferfin, he shall enter the franchife; because the judicial power lodged in him by the statute cannot be transferred by him to the bailiffs. In waste the sheriff has authority by the statute to enter the franchife; so he may enter upon a warrant for breach of the peace, for this is at the king's fuit, and therefore a non omittas; and therefore it cannot be supposed that the king would be debarred of having his own process executed in any place; and therefore in the king's case, if the sheriff does not enter the liberty, but returns mandavi ballivo, he is amerciable: when the bailiff is party, the sheriff is to do all acts; for the intention of the liberty is for strangers, and not to make lord or bailiff judge in their own court.

The bailiff of the franchife cannot enter into the guildable, and if he does it is erroneous, be-Dait. Sher. 464. cause he has no authority out of the franchise, more than the sheriff has in another county.

> * In Semaine's case, it is said, that if there be two liberties within a county, (viz. St. Edmund de Bury and Etheldred de Ely in Suffolk, and a capias be directed to the sheriff to take the body of B. and the theriff returns that he has made his mandate to the bailiff of St. Ethelred, who has made no answer; in this case the sheriff on a non omittas shall enter into the liberty of Bury, though the bailiss of that liberty has made no default; but this is to be understood of the process of the King's Bench; for the Common Pleas recites the capias, the sheriff's return, that he has made his mandate to the bailiff, who has given no anfwer, and then gives the sheriff power to enter the liberty; but in the King's Bench on the sherist's return on the latitat, the authority is general, non omittas propter aliquam libertatem, which gives the sheriff power to enter not only that liberty, but all the liberties within the county: and this feems to be grounded on the words of the latitat,

(viz.)

(2

fu

th

ne

ar

iff

cr

ti

tu

W

W

th

lo

in

th

1w

tu

th

de

14

fic

be

fo

in

be

W

an

th

no

co

no

ba

fu

he

he

cu

th

bal

m

ie

ne

er

15

;

ıg

e-

's

ut

n

or

ıd

n

to

e-

e,

be

nd

12-

В.

n-

de

as

he

ut

he

tes

de

n-

he

T's

al,

res

y,

nis

at,

2.)

(viz.) latitat and discurrit, so that the defendant is supposed to skulk and run from one place to another; and therefore the non omittas was made general, that he might not run from one liberty to another. As these bailiss of franchises were bailiffs to their lords by particular grant from the crown, the sheriff could not enter to make execution, without special authority given * by the sta- * Page go. tute, as we have already faid; therefore the sheriffs were not answerable for the bailiffs false returns, who did not belong to them, but to the lords of the franchises, for such return is made by the lord's bailiff, and not by the theriff's bailiff.

But if the bailiff of the franchise had made an 3 H. 7. 12. infufficient return, and the sheriff returned that to 5 H. 7 27. the court, they formerly held the sheriff was an- Br. ret. 89. fwerable, and not the bailiff, for an infufficient return is no return, and the bailiff making no return, the theriff ought to have faid that the bailiff nullum dedit responsum; but this is altered by 27 H. 8. c. 14. which fays, that the amerciaments for infufficient returns made by bailiffs of franchises shall be fet on the bailiff's head, and not on the sheriffs; so that it seems, that after an amerciament for an insufficient return, which we have already said to be none, the court will award a non omittas.

But if there be a perpetual bailiff by charter Bro. ret. br. 69. within the guildable, he is still bailiff to the sheriff, and not to any lord of a franchife: and therefore the theriff not being to enter a franchife he cannot return mandavi ballivo; and if he could, there could not be a non omittas upon it, because there is no liberty to be entered; and therefore if fuch bailiff within the guildable does * not execute * Page 31. fuch writ, and give the sheriff a fatisfactory answer, he may execute the writ by his own bailiff; for he is intirely responsible to the court for the execution of the process: where the return relates to things permanent, the sheriff must return n-andavi ballivo to the first process; for if he makes any other

n

t

t

fi

r

to

b

p

th

le

te

le

W

pi

pe

W

on

th

th

ea

de

thi

ye:

tio

cul

be

pla

he

On

pia.

of the

Bro. ret. br. 29. other return to fuch process, such return con-Bro. Jud. 133. cludes of course that the execution of the writ was in his power, and that the permanent thing in execution to be done was within the guildable, and he cannot contradict such return, by any subse-

quent return to another writ.

Thus in alias fummons in dower the sherist cannot return mandavi ballivo, for he ought to have made this return upon the first writ, that so the court might have awarded a non omittas; but if it relates to matters transitory, then the sherist may return mandavi ballivo on the second process, as on an alias capias, for the body might be in the liberty on the issuing the second process, though it was in the guildable in the first; and therefore the return of the first process does not conclude him from returning the liberty to the second process.

Br. ret. br. 99.

If the bailiff of the liberty dies after he has returned cepi, a distringus issues against his successor, because he takes it up under the return of his predecessor.

* Page 32.

* Note; It is now usual to take out the [capias and non omittas together,] without staying for the sheriss's return.

We come now in the fecond place to confider the defendant's appearing and putting in bail above, or the plaintiff's declaring against him in

cuftody.

The appearance of the plaintiff and defendant in propria persona at the return of the writ is recorded by the Filazer, because he was to continue the process of the court, till the prothonotary took it up on the declaration; this prothonotary sets forth the authority by which the court proceeded, that it might appear the court had conuzance of the cause, and that they pursued their warrant; and therefore in all actions, where the first process is by summons, tho he did

not appear at the return of the fummons, and they had iffued feveral mefne writs, yet they only took notice of the fummons, and faid fummonitus fuit ad respond', and fo in trespass attachiatus fuit ad respond'. being sufficient to shew their autho-

rity.

S

e

e

it

V

36

n

S,

ıd

ot

16

e-

r,

e-

148

he

ier

ail

10

ant

re-

nue

ary

ary

ro-

had

riu-

ons, did

not

Before the flat. of Westminster 2. cap. 10. all attornies were made by letters patent under the broad feal, commanding the juffices to admit the person to be his attorney; these patents, where they were obtained, feemed to have been inrolled by a proper officer, called the clerk of the * warrants, and also the courts inrolled these pa- Page 33. tents on which any proceedings were. If fuch letters patents could not be obtained, the persons were obliged to appear each day in court, in their

proper persons.

This flatute gives to all persons a liberty of appearing, and appointing an attorney, as if they had letters patent; and therefore the clerk of the warrants received each person's warrant, and upon the warrant it equally appeared to the court, that he had appointed fuch a one his attorney, to the end of the cause, unless revoked; so that on each act there is no occasion of the plaintiff's and defendant's presence, as was used before that time; this authority continues till judgment, and for a 2 Inft. 378. year and a day, and afterwards to fue out execution, and for a longer time, if they continue execution; but if not, the judgment is supposed to be fatisfied; and to make it appear otherwife, the plaintiff must again come into court, which he either does by a scire fuc' or an action of debt on the judgement.

† The giving bail came in on returning the capias, for before that time this manner of pro-

ceeding

The origin of this practice, and the countenance given it by the Lord Keeper Finch and Sir Francis North, Lord Chief-Juffice of the Common Pleas, may be read at large in the life of Lord Guilford.

* Page 34.

Booth, 9, 10.

ceeding was not known in a personal action; for in these, if he did not appear on the summons, the process was an attachment; and the sheriff might attach him either by his goods or by pledges; if he * attached him by his goods, it was to appear in the king's court, where upon his nonappearance they were forfeited; if by pledges, and the party did not appear, the pledges were amerced, because they undertook to the officers of the court, and the making that default was fuch a misdemeanour, for which an amerciament was the punishment, as fines were for greater offen-

In the civil law there were always cautions put in by pignora, or fide jussores, and the idoneus fide juffor was ex arbitrio judicis approbatus, vel litigantium consensu acceptus. Pere in cod. 101. digeft. lib. 2. Tit. 8. qui satisdare cogantur. Corvinus 54. & in de fatis dacionibus 839.

In our law, where a man came in custody on the capias, it being on mesne process, he was to give caution, and the bail was de fide justore, or keeper,

to whose custody he was committed.

But they did not require any caution where the debt was not 201. or above, because there could not properly be any fide juffor idoneus, where the fum was fmaller; because the only way of establishing such caution is by oath; and to give a man his oath that he was worth a fmall fum, did not give a proper caution to the plaintiff, and would * Page 35. therefore have been wholly infignificant, * and confequently would not have been worth the trouble and expence in putting in fuch fecurity; and therefore for fuch inconfiderable fums no bail was required, but an appearance only.

The old rule in the Compleat Attorney, printed in 1676, fo. 45, is, that if the defendant be arrested by mesne process, as capias, akas, or pluries, and the plaintiff holdeth him not fufficient to pay the debt or damages contained in the writ, the fame

amounting

ł

c

1

a

0 it

b

0

th

20

th

no

of

fh

the

rai

ba

Ple

rec

lor

in

tho

vile

a fi

a ni

anf

deb

of t

not

fuel

Q. Noy.

amounting to 201. or upwards; in this case the plaintiff upon the return of the writ, by entering a ne recipiatur with the silazer out of whose office the capias did issue, may crave special bail to be put in to his action, which the defendant must put in before some judge of the court where the cause depends, who will accept of such bail, as the validity or weight of the cause doth require, or in his discretion shall be thought sit.

This rule was taken from the King's Bench, where antiently, if it were under 201. they lef the person out of actual custody upon common bail; but if it were above 201. they made him find special bail before he could be let loose from the custody

of the marshal.

r

ff

-

0

-

s,

re

rs

h

as

n-

ut

de

i-

2.

de

he

ve

er,

he

ald

the

ta-

ea

did

uld

and

the

ty;

no

d in

fled

and

the

ame

ting

From hence the common pleas made a rule upon their attornies as officers of the * court, that a ne recipiatur might be entered with the filazer where the debt was above 201. and then the attorney was not to appear till after bail put in, for the meaning of the ne recipiatur rule was, that no appearance should be received till after bail was filed with the judge, so that it was irregular to file the warrant of attorney before bail filed.

When the King's Bench came to require special bail where the debt was above 101. the Common Pleas sunk the rule to any sum above 101. and so required special bail; this was in the time of my

lord Ch. F. Worth.

In an attachment of privilege, which is a capias in the first process, they held to bail for any sum, though ever so small; for an attachment of privilege being a capias in the first process without a summons, does not arise from a supposition of a nihil returned, and that there are no issues to answer the debt, but this process arises from a debt due to the officers of the court, by the acts of the court, and therefore another officer ought not to appear, without seeing a security given for such debt; and therefore they hold the defend-

Page 36.

ant

ant to bail in this case, though the debt be ever fo small; fo no need of a ne recipiatur.

Page 37.

When the action is only for damages, there the party is not held to bail, unless in * Mayhem, or fome notorious battery; and the reason is, there is no certain fum for which the caution can be ascertained; but in Mayhem, and where by the injury it is apparent that the damages will exceed the fum of rol. there the judge may by special rule hold to bail.

On a penal flatute the defendant is not held to bail, because the penalty on a statute is in the nature of a fine or amerciament fet on the party for an offence committed, and therefore no person ought to fuffer any inconvenience by reason of fuch law, till he is convicted of fuch offence; for then the defendant would fuffer an action of a

I

a V

tl

fi

a it

m

0

CC

ol

ar an

fai ni

an

tio

un

tw by

penalty before it ought to be fet.

1 Salk. 98.

An executor or administrator shall not be held to special bail, because the demand is not on the person, but in rem, (viz.) the † assets of the dead, unless there be a devastavit suggested. And if an habeas corpus be returned into any of the courts above, though the fum be under 101. they will hold him to bail, that fo the plaintiff may not be in a worse condition than he was below, where the defendant was held to bail; but in case of an executor or administrator, or heir, no bail is required, even on a habeas corpus, for they ought not to have been held to bail below, the debt not being their own: the reason why the defendants * Page 38. in * all cases are obliged to put in bail is, that their jurisdictions being confined, they cannot follow the debtor out of their jurifdiction; therefore they have always (in the least pledges) put in bail that live within their own precincts; and, were it otherwise, it would be improper to give

Affets is a corruption of the French word "affez."

give caution, and therefore it becomes here neceffary that bail should be given in all cases.

The act of 4 W. & M. c. 4. gives power to the judges in each court, whereof the chief to be one, to appoint commissioners to take recognizances of special bail in suits depending before them, which recognizances are to be transmitted to them; and upon affidavit of the true taking them, such recognizances shall be as effectual as if they were taken before themselves.

The cognizors, unless they live in London or Westminster, or within ten miles, may justify before the commissioners in the country; this statute was to prevent the inconveniency that was at common law, for before that time the bail was taken de bene effe before the judge; and if they were not excepted against in twenty days time, then such bail flood; which was the fame notice given to the plaintiff to except to the bail, and inquire after the bail in the country, as the defendant had to appear to the writ; for from the tefle of each writ to * the appearance-day are twenty days in * Page 39. the Common Pleas; the bail-piece is left with the filazer until after the twenty days are expired, and then it is filed in court; in the King's Bench it is left with the judge, because the judges determine all things relating to the prisoners in their own court, who are not to be delivered out of court without their authority.

The commissioners are to take bail, but are obliged by rule of court to keep a book, wherein are entered the names of the plaintiff and defendant, and bail, and the person who transmits the same, and who makes assidavit that the recognizance was duly acknowledged in his presence; and on such assidavit the judges make a conditional allocatur, and the bail are to stand absolute, unless the plaintiss except against them within twenty days; and if he except, the bail may justify by assidavit taken before the commissioners in the

D

country.

7

e

n,

S,

ın

by

X-

e-

to

a-

10

on

oi

10

a

eld

he

ad,

an

irts

vill

be

ere

an

re-

not

not

ints

hat

an-

on;

ges)

ets;

r to

СНАР.

* Page 40.

*CHAP. IV.

Of the Declarations and Dilatory Pleas.

Stat 8 Eliz. cap. 2.

BY the practice of the King's Bench, if the defendant appeared personally at the return of the writ, the plaintiff was to declare within three days; and is fill to declare, within fix days, in the Exchequer: If he appeared by attorney he was to declare before the end of the term, and he is fill to declare before the feal in the Exchequer.

This was plainly the ancient practice, because there is no continuance from the appearance-day to the time of declaring, there being no precedent of libertas narrandi, therefore the declaration must

be of the same term.

But in the King's Bench, when a defendant comes in on a criminal process, which is suppofed to iffue on a complaint to, and by examination of the chief Justice, the defendant is not difcharged till the fecond term after his appearance, for in the first term all parties concerned might not possibly have notice.

* Page 41.

When a man comes in on a criminal process, he had liberty to traverse in prox. * on all bailable offences, because he might not be prepared for trial with his witnesses; but it was otherwise in capital cases, because there was oath of the crime, and witnesses examined thereto before commitment, fo that if there was a prefentment of a trefpass and ignoramus found, he was not to be discharged by proclamation till after the fecond feffions, that they might fee if any other came in against him or not.

This begot the rules on the civil fide, that there should be two terms after his appearance before the plaintiff should be non-pros'd, because

the defendant was bound to attend during the two terms, to fee if the person, who originally profecuted the trespass, would put in an indictment against him; and being obliged to attend two terms on the criminal fide before he could be discharged and proclaimed, and having likewise appointed an attorney at the plaintiff's fuit, he was obliged to accept a declaration within that time.

But when the defendant appeared, the parties antiently might obtain by confent a day before declaration, which was called dies datus prece partium; for the confent of the defendant exempted the plaintiff from the necessity of declaring immediately: but in that case, if the defendant did not appear at the day given, fince there was no * de- * Page 42: claration, the plaintiff could not have judgment, but was obliged to bring the defendant in again by process, that he might declare against him in presence; for none can have judgment but upon complaint exhibited to the court against the defendant whilft in court; but after the declaration is filed, if the defendant make default, judgment shall be given against him; because, having deserted the court, he ceased to oppose the plaintist's demands, and fo submitted that judgment should be given against him.

When the defendant appeared, the plaintiff was obliged to declare on the return of the writ, and the defendant was obliged to plead, unless he obtained leave from the court to imparle till the next term, which was never granted without good cause, as when the defendant could not plead without the fight of writings left in the

country, or the like.

e

n

e

n

ne

ie

r.

ſe

ly

nt

ift

nt

0-

ii-

if-

e,

ht

ſs,

2-

or

in

ne,

it-

eflif-

ef-

in

hat

nce

ufe

the

This libertus interloquendi feems to arife from a notion of religion, which is mentioned in St. Matthew, ch. 5. v. 25. Agree with thine adverfary quickly, whilft thou art in the way with him: they looked upon the plaintiff, at the time of declar-

D 2

• Page 43.

ing, to be in his way towards judgment; and that therefore, fince the defendant was ordered by the precepts of religion to agree with him, that there was a necessity to give time for that * purpose; and therefore libertas interloquendi was entered upon the roll when the writ was general, because the defendant did not know how to agree with the plaintiff till he had heard the full demand of the plaintiff; and therefore then the defendant might have agreed with him in the country, whilft he was in the way, according to the letter of the text, in which case there was no need of a libertas loquendi to be entered upon the roll.

In the King's Bench they imparled of course,

t

1

(

t

1

because they came in on a process, in which the cause of action is not mentioned, because the defendant's proportion was from the plaintiff's declaration: and fo in acetiams in the Common Pleas; for the King's Bench giving them leave to traverse on the criminal fide, when he was also charged on the civil fide, they likewife gave him leave to imparle; but in special originals, returnable in an issuable term, they will not give the defendant leave to imparle, because thereby he will put off the trial; but the general practice was to bring their original returnable in a term not issuable, and then the plaintiff declared, and the defendant eafily obtained an imparlance, and then the narr' * So called from was entered on an imparlance roll + by the prothothe award of im- notary, being all that was done the first term by the court, * and this was the first act of the court after the appearance of both parties; but in the King's Bench the bill was filed by the master of the office against the persons privileged, viz. the officers and prisoners of the court; and this file the prisoners and officers were obliged to take notice of. [Since (by new rules) they are obliged to deliver copies to the gaoler when the defendant

is in actual cuflody.] This practice in the King's

parlance. * Page 44.

Bench created that in the Common Pleas; they declared ore tenus, which was only minuted by the prothonotary, and likewise the prayer or permission to imparle, or the plea; now in conformity to the King's Bench they entered a narr' on a roll, which was called the imparlance roll; and to make up this roll, as the bill in the King's Bench, the attorney delivered in paper the within declaration and copies of them; the bill in B. R. and imparlance roll in the Common Pleas were given to the defendant's attorney; but the bill in the King's Bench supplied the place both of the original and imparlance roll.

The prothonotary has two for every court, because he is supposed to enter them on the imparlance; but in the King's Bench the plaintiff's attorney brings his bill ready ingroffed on parchment, and pays nothing filing, if brought in within the term in * which the process is returnable; * Page 45. but if afterwards 4d. but the defendant in both courts pays for the copy of the plaintiff's declaration at 4d. per theet for the whole issue; for the plaintiff's attorney is supposed to superintend the

entry of them.

it

it

-

e

-

-

ie

0

e

e

-

e

n

-

n

ıt

tF

,

t ,

-

V

rt

e

f

e

e

-

0

ıt

h

This bill in the King's Bench, and a copy of the declaration in the Common Pleas, tho' it be in paper in the Common Pleas, and in the King's Bench be only a declaration left in the office, yet it regulates the whole proceeding in the iffue; for from those pleadings in paper or in the office, the nifi prius roll is made up, and alter the verdict they make up the plea roll from the nifi prius roll, and enter the judgment thereon which is indeed inverting the antient proceedings; for antiently they used to make out the imparlance roll, and then afterwards, when a plea was given to enter, they used to make up a plea roll; and from thence they used to transcribe the nift prius roll, Vid. Rules. and upon the back of the nift prius roll the verdict was entered, which they used to transcribe upon

For the fame reason no imparlance roll.

the plea roll, and thereon judgement was entered; and the reason why the paper book came in inflead of the rolls of the court, is this, because the business increased in the Common Pleas, and it was not possible for the prothonotary to enter them * Page 46. upon the roll; and therefore they permitted * the attornies to deliver their pleadings in paper one to the other; and in the King's Bench they were permitted to file them up with the prothonotary, and afterwards they delivered them up to the other in paper only. Hence it is that those paper proceedings, are looked upon as the original materials to fettle the nift prius roll; if the materials vary in the iffue from the paper proceedings, the verdict will be fet aside, but they will not let them move in arrest of judgment, till the plearoll is made up, and the verdict there entered of record; but they may move for a new trial before fuch plea-roll is made up.

The prothonotaries were scribes, who took the acts of the court, and had the same name in the courts of the empire; and in the first erection of the court of Common Pleas, there being only three

judges, each had his prothonotary.

† The chief justice of the Common Pleas was

t

1

h

t

constituted 29 E. 1.

William the Conqueror, to make the Norman tongue current, ordained that the pleadings in the courts of justice should be in French, and afterwards they were entered in Latin, that being a dead language, and subject to no variation; the French continued till Hill. 36 Ed. 3. then by the statute 36 E. 3. * c. 15. it was abolished; but the pleadings continued to be in Latin; but the prothonotary,

2 Dufren. 153. Cronica series 30. Idem 32.

The first chief justice of the Common Pleas was constituted not in the 29 Ed. I. but in the 17 H. 3. when the King's mandate was directed to Robert de Lexinton and to William of York that they admit Robert de Ros chief justice, Robert de Bellchamp and Regin de Moyau, and Robert de Rokels judges of the same court, July 6, 1233.

thonotary, being used to make notes in French, still continued the old way, it being a language much shorter and more expeditious to take notes;

of these are composed the year-books.

When the writ was returned, and the parties appeared, the countor read the writ to the court, and then mentioned the time, place, and circumflance contained in the writ, &c. and the particular damage accrued to the plaintiff: all this was afterwards recorded by the prothonotary; the place was necessary, to ascertain from whence the pares were to come to try the cause; the time was necessary, that it might appear the plaintiff had cause of action before the suit commenced.

The entries of the ordinary proceedings in the Common Pleas are not by memorandums, as in the King's Bench and Exchequer; for as the one was designed to determine criminal proceedings, and the other the revenue, so the proceedings in civil cases in both these courts, not being the original design and principle of their establishment, these proceedings are the by-butiness of these courts, and entered by way of memorandums, and in the case of an action of an attorney, which is the by-*business of this court, the proceedings are * Page 48.

entered by a memorandum.

t

f

e

C

e

f

e

S

n

n

a

ie

e

ic

)-

y,

ed

ite

nd nd rt, The declaration in causes of complaint being particularly set forth, they conclude, & inde producit sectam, which was prossering to the court the testimony of the witnesses or followers; and Fleta vid. Fleta 137. says, Quod nullus liber homo ponatur ad legem, ne ad juramentum per simplicem loquelam sine testibus sidelibus ad hoc ductis, sed si sectam produxerit, hoc est testimonium hominum legalium, qui contractu inter cos habito intersuerint prasentes, quibus a judice examinatis, si concordes invenientur, tum peterit vadiare legem suam contra petentem & contra sectam suam prolatum, & si duos vel tres testes produxerit ad proband, oportet quod desensio siat per quatuor vel per sex, ita quod

pro

pro quolibet tefle duos producat juratores ufque ad duodecim.

10

p 10

th

W

h

b

ti

C

10

P 10

if

al

b

m

to

C

fu

th

de

C

ac

fv

in

er th

y C

m

n

When the defendant wages his law, he favs, et hoc paratus est verificare contra ipsum quer', & sect' fuam per legem ipfius, prout cur' hic conf. inde faci-

end', &c.

But the law wager then stood on such unreasonable terms, that they foon altered it; and when a folemn contract with witnesses was produced, these witnesses, which were antiently joined to the jury to verify the contract, could not be over-ruled by a mere oath of the party; but it was to be * Page 49. diffolved eo ligamine quo ligatur; fo the * law wager was then denied; as likewife in cafe where they offered to prove fraud in the defendant; as likewife on all actions on the cafe, and when perfons were obliged to give them credit, as an attorney for fees, and a gaoler for meat and drink; and foit was left to those cases only, where there was an original truft to the defendant's honefly: but yet the form of the declaration continued, which was in the nature of an offer to verify by witneffes the cause of complaint; but against an attorney that form was never in use, but a petition was made by a queritur & inde petit remedium, because the officers before the divition, as being privileged persons, could only be fued in the King's Bench, and after the division each in his own court.

In order of pleading the defendant pleads, First, To the jurisdiction of the court.

Secondly, To the person of the plaintiff, and afterwards to that of his own person.

Thirdly, To the count or declaration.

Fourthly, To the writ.

Fifthly, To the action of the writ.

Sixthly, To the action itself, in bar thereof. Though the defendant, generally speaking, can have but one plea in abatement, yet this is the natural order of pleading, because by this order each subsequent plea admits the former;

former; as when he pleads to the * person of the * Page 50. plaintiff, he admits the jurifdiction of the court; for it would be nugatory to plead any thing in that court, that has no jurifdiction in the case; when he pleads to the count, he allows that the plaintiff is able to come into that court to implead him, and he may there be properly impleaded; but in pleading to the count he does not admit the writ to be good; yet if the count be vicious, the writ is confequently destroyed; for though the writ in itself may be good, yet it is not purfued; but in pleading to the writ, he admits the form of the count, because by any objections to the form of the writ, he allows the count to be sufficient in form; if the writ be good, it is not to any purpole to object to the form of fuch writ, if the form of the count be thereupon infufficient; but if the count be in substance variant, the defendant may shew it any time in arrest of judgment, because the court has no authority to proceed in a matter of fubiliance different from the original.

If a man pleads to the action of the writ, he allows both the form of the count, and the writ; for if he admits, that if the form of the writ and count were adapted to the plaintiff's cafe, that fuch form is good and fufficient, fince to object to the action not quadrating to the plaintiff's * cafe, * Page 51. does admit, that if it be ruled by the count, it does allow that the plaintiff has before the court a

count in form sufficient.

1

e

**

e

e

S

;

e

. .

IS e

-

s

n

d

f.

115

Dy ne

If the defendant pleads in bar to the action, he admits the form of the writ and count, for he anlwers to the right in demand, and puts that right in iffue; and thereby admits that there is a fufficient form to put the right in iffue; and therefore though a man pleads non affumpfit modo & forma, yet the modo & forma does not traverse the form of the writ or count, but the substance of the promife only; which is the true reason why you may give another promife in evidence, different in

The Hiftory and Practice

time and place from that mentioned in the declaration, though not different in substance.

2 Saund. 41.

* Page 52.

2 Lutt. 1881. Hob. 19.

1 H. 6. 23.

Norton &

Palmer.

Cro. El. 829.

But if the defendant pleads a collateral matter, this ought to be proved in the same manner it is alledged, unless it goes in bar of the action in any form; so that pleas are of two sorts, either dilato-

ry or peremptory.

The first is twofold, (viz.) to abate the writ, or defer the profecution; that of abatement of the writ was by matter shewn dehors, or upon the face of the record itself; such as were dehors, were pleas to the jurisdiction, or in disability to the plaintiff, or privilege in the defendant, or shewing * mistakes in the writ itself; all these were to be pleaded in † four days, unless special leave from the court, because the person coming in by procefs of the court ought not to have time to delay the plaintiff: but where there is a variance between the original and the count, or the bond, and an over prayed, there the variance may be pleaded; because it was usual for the pleaders to shew it to the court, and have the writ abated; thefe taken down by the prothonotary were the original of those pleas in abatement: but when the recital of the writ and the count itself were entered on record, if there were any material variance, the defendant might take advantage of it, not only by way of plea, but by motion in arrest of judgment after the verdict, or by a writ of error, because the writ being the foundation and warrant of the whole proceedings, if the plaintiff did not purfue it by his count, there was no authority to the court to proceed in fuch cases.

Keilway 211, 212, 213.

If the objections appear on the face of the writ itself, they are always objections to the legality, of which the court are judges, and not the jury;

and

and

by

que

the

ed,

if

pro

bed

at i

and

OV

thi

pro

fro

an

wa

ted

it,

had

ant

on

ren

caf

lav

VO

fer

WI

de

ate

the

the

WC

at

CO

th

re the

de

[†] These four days were understood to be four court or law days, in the four ensuing courts; but not four days in any one and the same term. See p. 9. Note.

,

S

7 e

e e

ie

g

e

m

)-

ay en

an 1;

to

en of

10

6.

eby

nt

ise he

ue

iit

rit

ty,

y ;

nd

lys,

the

and therefore, when they were shewn to the court by the defendant's counfel, the judgment was and breve caffetur; if the objection was not good, the writ flood, and therefore ought * to be answer- * Page 53 ed, and there the judgment was respondens ouster; if they pleaded another matter immediately, it is probable the dilatory objection was not entered, because the peremptory plea was the proper act at that court.

But where they shewed a dilatory exception, and there was judgment that they should answer over, and they craved a libertas interloquendi, and this not ending in any thing peremptory, it is very probable the prothonotary entered on record, from his own minutes, the whole transactions as an act of the court; but where any matter dehors was pleaded, and which, if true, would have abated the writ there if they had gone to iffue upon it, and it was found for the plaintiff; the plaintiff had judgment, quod recuperet, because the defendant chose to put the whole weight of his cause upon this iffue, when he might have pleaded a peremptory plea, and going to issue on it is like the case of double defences before the statute; the law faid if it be true it is a fufficient defence, and you shall not use two precedents: but if the defendant pleads a matter dehors in abatement of the writ, and the plaintiff replies, and the defendant demurs to the plaintiff's replication, this immediately refers the replication to the confideration of the court; and fince, if he * had then referred * Page 54. the plaintiff's writ to the court, the judgment would have been to answer over; therefore, if he at the fame time refers the replication to the court to judge whether it is good or not, there is the fame judgment to answer over: but if he had referred the action itself to the court to judge of the legality thereof, there, that not touching the writ depending in court, but the plaintiff's whole demand, it was admitting the truth of the demand, because

The History and Practice

hi

wi

be

die

OW

no

no

eve

tha

he

bec

pre

wh

be rin

wai

bec

inf

mu

the fore

ł deb

agai

cau

the

cam his

Poff.

because ex facto jus oritur, the court never pronouncing what was the law till the fact was first fettled; and therefore the defendant, referring the legality of the plaintiff's demand to the court, admits confequently the truth of it.

Raffaff 360, 362, 379.

The fecond fort of dilatory pleas, or temporary bars, whereby the parol is to demur, till full age; and thefe are pleas peculiar to the feudal law; for in the civil law the guardian was party to the fuit instead of the infant; and if there was mala fides his defence, he was to answer it to the infant.

But the wardship in the feudal law was of another nature, for the guardian has the whole profits in the estate, and also the marriage of the infant, which was in order to bring him up to arms, and to marry to fuch perfons, as they thought might continue the martial strain, that to the * Page 55. ward * might subserve the original design of the tenure.

Hence it was, that the gardian was not trufted

with the action, and by confequence it was a maxim amongst them, that the infant could not be party to the fuit: but this maxim was confined to fuch cases, where the right of the feud was in demand, and was not allowed to actions touching the poffestion; and the reason was from necessity; for it the infant was not allowed to defend his possession, an infant would be ftript of all he had during his minority; and fo of injuries done by an infant, Infancy no Plea the parol shall not demur, because then a general to a tort. 3 Keb. licence would be given for infants to commit injuries; the profecution of those actions was committed to the next friend, and the defence of the actions against an infant to a special guardian alfigned by the court; but actions concerning mere ancestral actions continued as they were, that the right of the feuds might not be charged during minority; therefore in affizes of novel diffifin and mortdaucest' the infant had not his age, because that was an action brought of his own feifin, or

his ancestors dying during minority, because the wife must be subsisted.

0-

rft

ng

t,

ry

:;

10

iit les

0-

0-

n-

15,

ht

he he

ed

im

ty

ch d,

ofif

n,

115

nt,

ral

n-

m-

the

afere the ing and ufe or

his

So in a Quare impedit, because the church must be filled.

* So in an attaint, because the petit jury may * Page 56. die. In a cessavit by descent, though it be of his 9 Rep. 85. own cessor, he shall have his age, because he cannot tell what arrears there are; and if he does not make a true tender, he loses the whole for ever.

If it be a purchase, it seems otherwise, because that is not an ancient feud of the samily for which he was to be in ward.

But on a formedon in discender and remainder, because voluntas donatoris in charta sua manisesse expressa de catero observetur, and being sounded on what is exactly expressed in the deeds, though it be a droitural action, yet it may be pursued during minority; but if the tenant pleads a bar by warranty and assets, there the parol shall demur, because that concerns also the inheritance of the infant.

But in a formedon in reverter, the parol shall demur, because he claims as heir in see simple to the reversion, and not per formam doni; and therefore the right of the see would be bound.

But in all cases on the see, as if an action of debt on the obligation of the ancestor be brought against the heir, there the parol shall demur, because that lays a burthen on the see, which by the law was to be preserved intire till the infant came of age, since the profit was given away during his non-age to the lord.

* Page 57.

*CHAP. V.

F

th

re

ge

as

ge ty no

th

fea

qu

fol

dic

he

dic

del

the

oat

affi.

the

the

Ple

but

Con

the

who

at W

tried

Of the General Issue and Pleas to the Action.

A Sthey had these dilatory pleas, so also had they those that were peremptory, which were so formed, as to divide the matter of law from the matter of sact, according to the rule in Bracton, † ad quassionem juris non respondent jurators.

The annotift fays, that this indeed is a maxim in the civil law jurisprudence, but it does not bind an English jury, for by the common law of the land the jury are judges as well of the matter of law as of the fact, with this difference only, that the "Cople" or judge on the Bench is to give them no affiftance in determining the matter of fast, but if they have any doubt amongst themselve relating to the matter of law, they may then request him to explain it to them, which when he hath done, and they are thus be come well informed, they, and they only become competent judge of the matter of law. And this is the province of the judge on the bench, namely, to shew or teach the law, but not to take upon him the trial of the delinquent either in matter of fact or in matterial law. " Town bes on dan reine ze more Birce p. 7 re Dalbonman. Joan agp en tæcan Lober nihte, ze peonulo nih to Edgar's laws, c. 5. And again in king Canute's laws 17. dans bes on dane reine Birreop Tre Baldonman. I dan agpen too can ge Iroder nihe ze ponulo nihe:" in neither of thele fundamental laws is there the least word, hint or idea that the earl or about the carl or about t derman (that is to fay, the prepositus of the court, which is tante mount to the judge on the bench) is to take upon him to judge the delinquent in any sense whatever, the sole purport of his office is a teach (tecan) the fecular or worldly law: and if the jury shall, after they be thus taught, pass an unjust sentence, they are liable to be attainted for the mortal offence of " Lahrlice" or flighting the law .- See farther the note in page 71. " Qui debent eff judices." See also Britton, c. 98. in the article of attainte, when many different precedents are mentioned, in which the jury at manifestly judges both of matter of law and matter of fact. This manifeftly judges both of matter of law and matter of fact. common law doctrine is also specially confirmed and explained by 13 Ed. 1. c. 30. in the following words, " item, it is also ordained that the justices affigned to take affizes shall not compel the juros precisely to fay, whether the matter before them be diffeifin or not dum modo vol uerint dicere veritatem falli, et petere auxilius justitiariorum. "(The jurors must be lest to their free will is speaking the truth) sed si sponte voluerint dicere quod disseisses, vel non admittatur eorum veredictum sub suo pericuso." Who ther diffeifin or no diffeifin, certainly is a point of law, and which the jurors are by this flatute declared the fole and cal judges.

of the Court of Common Pleas.

From hence it was that they formed the demurrer, which supposed the fact; and referred the law to the court; from thence also they formed the general iffues in every action, which was referring the fact mentioned in the declaration to the jury; and as the court of 'Chancery formed all general and special writs, so the Common Pleas

formed all general and special issues:

ad

ich

law

in

res.

om

ivi). the

atter

ple

ining elva

61-

is be-

udgs n the

n his

terfoi loon-

h to

dans

TC6 unde

or a-

tantıge the

e is ta

shall, liable

ghting where

ry art This

ned by

dained,

juron or not

xilias will in Whe

and d

d cal

The general iffues were contrived in fuch words as were proper to deny the whole fact in the declaration; thus, if a charge was of trespals, the general iffue was, that the defendant was not guilty; if he were charged with a debt, that he owed nothing; if he were on a specialty, he admitted the debt, unless he denied the deed, because the feal continuing, it must be dissolved eo * ligamine * Page 58. quoligatur; for there was that credit given to the folemnity of the feal, that he could not fay he did not owe, when it appeared by the acknowledgment of the feal, that he was indebted.

But if the debt were on simple contract, then he might plead that he owed nothing, because it did not appear by the feal, that there was any debt continuing; and in that case he might even wage his law, fince, if he trusted to the honesty of the defendant when he lent his money, he was obliged to do it, if the defendant denied it on his oath, with persons attesting to his credibility; the affize was a contrivance invented by H. 2. to try the right, instead of joining issue by battle: and thefe were taken in the King's Bench, or Common Pleas for the county in which they were litting; but they were adjourned for difficulty into † the Common Pleas, as the centre of all civil justice; in the ancient way they did not join iffue by battle Booth 213, 214, where they could produce the investiture, which 215, 270.

was

That this period of time there was no court of Common Pleas at Westminster, all common and indeed all pleas in general were tried in and by the county in which the cause of action arose.

fl

af

al

W.

21

be pl

or

WI

va

w

fin

he the

for

gav

lib

fin

cou

ral

iffu

tur

of :

evic

que

exa

dete

ant

plai

fing

ply

that

moi

don

com

by (

Wer

Page 59.

was figned by the parties, or when there had been a defcent from the person who had appeared tenant on the roll; and this trial was called jurata coran juratoribus: the affize being invented, and that coming in, instead of the battle, they pleaded pleas why the affize should not be taken; and where iffue was joined on fuch pleas * (though it was tried by the recognitors in affize) it was faid that the affize, did transire in jurata: when they pleaded what they called a flat bar to the affize, and iffue was joined upon it, they never inquired of the feifin or diffeifin, which was called taking the affize at large; but if the plea was found against the defendant, they proceeded to inquire of damages only: but if he pleaded only a colourable bar that is, fuch a bar where they gave colour, then they proceeded to take the affize at large, which was done in this manner; the affize flewing no title in the plaintiff, the defendant would fhew his own infeoffment or investiture; but because such feoffment was only evidence that there was no diffeifin, it would amount to the general iffue without colour; therefore the defendant urged that the plaintiff obtained by virtue of an investiture on which the ceremony of livery had never paffed, and the validity of fuch investiture being a question of law, was not to be answered by the jury; and therefore the plea of his own investiture, which alone would have been only evidence of no diffeifin joined to the plaintiff's title, which turned on a question of law, and drew the cause from the jury to the court, this obliged the plaintiff to shew by what investiture he claimed, and then the affize * was taken at large on the title of the plaintiff; this was done that the plaintiff's title might appear on record, and the plaintiff be confined to give evidence touching that title, that the jury might not wander from that evidence; and if they did, they might have proper evidence, to convict them on attaint, having fomething on record to which they might

* Page 60.

12

nt

17%

at

ed

nd

it

id

ev

e,

ed

he

nii

la-

ole

ır,

ge,

W-

ıld

e-

ere

if-

red

fti-

ver

ga

ju-

re,

no

ned

the

wei

fize

ff;

ear

vi-

not

hey

on

hey ight

might apply their evidence. If an infant plead a flat bar, and the bar is found against him, yet the affize shall be taken at large, because the law not allowing the parol to demur in this action, which was festinum remedium, so they inquired of the scifin and diffeifin, that the infant's whole title might be before the court and might not fuffer by his Whenever the plaintiff miffed his time, or was barred in the affize, he was driven to the writ of right: but when the defendant had the advantage, to fecure his possession, he might chuse whether he would join iffue by battle or by affize, fince there was a recent diffeifin; fo that the plaintiff had the first choice in the writ of affize; but if he miffed his time of choice, the election was in the defendant.

The pleadings in other actions were fettled conformable to what was done in the affize; for they gave the defendant, if it were a matter of fact, the liberty of pleading * the general issue, or traver- * Page 61. fing any material point of the declaration; but he could not plead a plea that amounted to the gene ral iffue, for pleas that amounted to the general issue were only facts on which the issue might be turned in evidence; and therefore were not iffues of fact to be returned to the court, but matters of evidence to be determined by a jury; and confequently not a good plea, because they drew to the examination of the court, what was proper to be determined by the jury; but they gave the defendant leave to traverse any material point in the plaintiff's declaration, in order to bring that one fingle point in iffue, and to which they might apply their evidence alone: fo that if the jury on that point gave a corrupt verdict, they might be more eafily attainted, which was not to readily done on a general iffue, where the matter was more complicated; therefore in debt for rent, if it were by deed, they might plead non est factum; if it were without deed, non dimifit, or nothing in ar-

rear, or that they never entered, unless it was by deed, and there they were estopped by their own acceptance; and yet all those points were in iffue on nil debet; and nil debet was a proper iffue for rent, notwithstanding the indenture, because an indenture did not acknowledge a debt like an * Page 62. obligation, * fince the debt accrued by subsequent enjoyment; and therefore he was not estopped by the indenture, to fay he owed nothing. As in affize the defendant might shew to the court any matter by way of bar why the affize should not be taken, so in all personal actions he might shew any matter to the court why the action did not lie; and this was proper to shew the court, and not the jury; because it was a matter of law how far the action lay, and not a matter of fact whether a declaration was true; and there fuch queftions were produced to the court, and not to the jury, lince they were first questions of law, whether fuch bars properly discharged the action; but they might be traverfed whether true or not, which subsequently drew them to the examination of the jury.

But, if the defendant plead to part, he must

traverse the other part; because the other matter remains still a fact to be tried by a jury, there being no question of law moved concerning it; but if the plaintiff did not pray judgment for that part unanswered, it was a discontinuance, because he did not infift on the judgment of the court for want of an answer, nor had put it into any proper way of examination; and being not put under examination by the defendant, nor prayed * Page 63. by the plaintiff to be adjudged as a * matter, admitted by the defendant, it was a question out of court, fince the plaintiff by not following it to proper determination has discontinued it. What-

ever made the fact complained of to be lawful was

Poft.

matter of justification, and to be shewn to the court; C

la

de W

th

pi

lic ce

ju

he

de

m

pr

ai

pl

go

in de

be

the

tro

in

lay

we

giv

tro

go

in

gec

tle

15 (

diff

ly

bec tiff

the

paf

ıt,

old

the

n

e

e

n

at

y f-

v

01

W

Ot

nd

WC

le-

ef-

he

16-

but

ot,

ti-

uft

ter

ere

it;

hat

ule

urt

any

put

yed ad-

t of

to a

hat-

Was

the

urt;

court; because the court was judge what was law and how far the fact, if done, was lawfully done; the jury were only judges whether the fact was done or not: therefore on not guilty of the trefpass, the desendant cannot shew licence to prove there was no trespass, because though the licence makes it no trespass, yet he shews that licence to an improper jurisdiction, viz. to the jury, who are not proper judges of the law: foif he shews a release of debt to a jury, it is no evidence on nil debet; because, though the release makes it to be no debt, yet he fhews it to an improper jurisdiction: but though a man must shew all matters to the court that affirm the fact complained of and discharge it, yet where any thing goes in denial of the fact, there it must be given in evidence on the general iffue; because whatever denies that cause of complaint is matter proper to be exhibited to the jury who are judges whether the fact was done or not: therefore actions of trover and affumpfit (which are modern inventions in some cases to get rid * of the law-wagers which * Page 64. lay in the ancient actions of debt and detinue) were fo formed, that almost every thing may be given in evidence on the general iffue: thus in trover, the plaintiff declares on the property of goods and chattels, and that they come by finding in the defendant; whatever matters were alledged that confess property in the plaintiff, will intitle him to his damages; and whatever denied it, is on the general iffue; and therefore levying by diffress, releases, or the like (which were ancient- 5 Mod. 92. ly pleaded in this action are now given in evidence; So in actions of because they disaffirm the property of the plain-formerly they tiff on which his action is founded: fo in affumpfit, pleaded to the the action is formed on a contract, and the tref- neglect, but of pais to the plaintiff is in the non-performance of is given in eviit, and the iffue being non affumpfit instead of the dence on the old iffue which was not guilty, as non dimifit was general iffue. the old iffue on an action of debt upon a leafe, Str. 1022.

and Lev. 142.

E 2

0

ir

27

te

p

to

bı

th

ne

tu

be

wi

co

an

pre

tra

ver

pla

faic

plic

& fub

feff

othe

fenc

fue,

first

one

is in

is a

of t

and

plici

amin

the c

be ex

in bo

I

and non definet on the detaining of goods; yet on this iffue every thing may be given in evidence which difaffirms the contract, for that goes to the gift of the action; fince if there be no contract to be performed at the commencement of the action, there could be no trespassfor the non-performance of it; and therefore a release goes to the gift of this action, for it shews there was no * Page 65. * contract at the time the action was commenced; [for as in trover he must have a right to the thing declared on,] fo here every thing that shews the contract to be void, as non-age, or more money loft at play than the flatute allows, may be given in evidence on the general iffue; for on a void contract the plaintiff has no right to any action; therefore this and the like goes to the gift of the action. Note, that the gift of the action is the fraud and delusion that the defendant hath offered the plaintiff in not performing the promife he had made, and on relying on which the plaintiff is hurt; and therefore what goes to shew that there was no contract, or that it was performed, or paid, or released, or that there was no confideration, and discharged, goes to the gift of the action; because there could be no delusion or fraud to the plaintiff at the time of the action brought, nor could he rely on that which had no being; and therefore these matters need not be pleaded, but may be given in evidence on the general iffue.

But antiently, if there was matter of law, though it amounted to a negation of the declaration, yet it might be exhibited to the court with a conclusion to the country; this was thought a proper way to exhibit it to the court at first, when * Page 66. the proceedings * were ore tenus, because it saved the time and expence of trial, and fince being a matter of law it was found specially by the jury, and returned back to the court; from hence came the special issues of non est factum, as that the obligor

obligor was covered or not lettered, or that it was not so read to him, and sic non est factum; so in trover and affumpfit they pleaded a release, or infra atatem in an affumpfit, because they are matters of law, though they are a negation of the plaintiff's declaration, and were therefore proper to be referred to the court in the first instance: but matters of law, which do not go to the gift of the action, but to the discharge of it, even in these new framed actions, are to be pleaded, as the statute of limitations; and fo if a leffer fum be paid before the time, because that is not a performance, which destroys the being of the promise, but a collateral agreement, that supplies the performance of it.

0

0

g

e

y

e

2

-

ft

is

h

)-

e

W

1-

1ne

70

n

10

be

-9

N,

a-

a

a

en

ed

ga

y,

CO

he

or

In all pleadings, where-ever a traverse was first properly taken, the iffue closed; and therefore a traverse cannot be taken on a traverse; if a traverse be taken to the declaration, it destroys the plaintiff's action; if to the bar, it destroys what is faid in avoidance of the action; and if to the replication, what was faid in avoidance of the bar, & fic de cateris; and consequently, * where a * Page 67. subsequent traverse is taken, the rest stands confeffed.

If a man demurs to part, and takes iffue on the other part, or if the declaration be against two defendants, and one demurs, and the other takes iffue, the court shall determine which they please first; for in both cases there are two issues, the one in law, and the other in fact, each of which is independent of the other; fince wherever there is a demurrer quoad that person, it is an admittance of the fact; but the defendant shall never plead and demur to the same fact, because that is a duplicity, that draws the matter to two different examinations; fince the demurrer is to be tried by the court, and the fact by the jury: and it would be expensive and vexatious to follow the matter in both judicatures; for then a man would always

E 3

demur

demur specially for time, and if he was over-ruled, then he would deny the fact : but if the declaration be not a fufficient foundation for the court to give judgment upon, this may be moved in arrest of judgment after verdict; because judgment cannot be given, when it appears, that though the fact be found for the plaintiff, yet he has not fufficient cause of action: and a demurrer admits the fact to be true, and refers the law arifing on the Page 68. fact to the judgment of the court; and * therefore though the fact is taken to be true on fuch demurrer, yet if it flates no legal fact, the count has no foundation on which to make any judgment.

> The ancient practice was, that if the matter in law on the demurrer was eafy, the court determined it immediately, whilst the parties were both in court; but if it went over to another term, the plaintiff ferved the defendant with process ad audiend' judicium; and if he appeared not at the procefs, judgment was given against him: but on a real action there were two days given before judgment was given; for if he was ferved with process ad audiend' judicium, and he made default, this was recorded, and further day given; and if at that day he did not appear and fave his default, then judgment was given against him.

P

n

2 a

C

fo ri

m

that

a defendant could make an attorney; for, after the issue closed, the attorney was always present as an officer of the court; and therefore it had been incongruous to have demanded the defendant when he was in court by his attorney; and therefore the plaintiff may discontinue his action by not following of it, yet the defendant was not demandable after he had appeared as he was of old, being in Page 69. court by his attorney: * but as in Chancery defendant answers in propria persona sua, and not by attorney (as there is no attorney there on record, for the clerk that appears for him only shews

This process vanished in personal actions when

of the Court of Common Pleas.

d,

011

V:

O!

101

act

ci-

he

the

re-

ich

urt

lg-

oth the

n a

ceis

Was

day

idg-

the

5 20

n in-

when the low-

able

ng in

end.

y at-

ord,

hews

that

that his client is in court, and is not put in his place ad lucrand' vel perdend', as at common law) thence is the fubpæna for the defendant to come in and hear judgment: fo, in real actions, the defendant was obliged to appear himself; because his inheritance was concerned, and therefore they would not give judgment final in the absence of the party: therefore they summoned him at nist prius: in a personal action, the defendant was called: because it was not presumed that the attorney, who was an officer of the court, was attending at the nist prius in the country, whence they called the defendant himself; but then by the statute of Westminster, the judgment, if he did not appear, was taken by default, as aforesaid.

* CHAP. VI.

* Page 70.

The Jury Process.

On fettling the law in the courts above, they had the matter of law decided † by the king's justices, but the matter of fact by the pares; and therefore the jurata were to be summoned from the place where the fact was laid, ‡ and antiently from the very hundred where it arose, since those sacts were determined in the court of the hundred; but because it was difficult E 4

This position is wholly incompatible with the common law, for the jurata were the sole judges both of the law and the fact, and for that reason were not only summoned from the sour decembarries nearest adjoining the place where the offence had been committed, but were ever responsible themselves to make good the damages sustained by the plaintiff if the desendant fled from justice. See Edward the Consessor's laws, confirmed and enlarged by William the Conqueror. chap. 20.

The Hiftory and Practice

to get twelve freeholders in every hundred, the court contented themselves with four, and afterwards, (viz) 21 El. c. 6. if two appeared it fufficed; if fuch persons were not returned, the array was challenged on the polls, if they were not hundredors; and this was to fecure, that fome at least of the pares of the hundred might be at the trial of every fact, in order to have the fame fettlement of the fact by the men of the neighbourhood, as was used by the feudal court for the decision of right there; so that the jury were originally nothing but the # pares of the lord's courts transferred into the king's court, by a particular writ; but now by the flatute for the amendment * of the law, those hundredors are not necessary, for the venire is awarded de corpore comitatus, unless in criminal matters, and upon penal statutes.

0

1

t

t

n

o f:

0

th

C

11

th

no

CC

m ki m

th

ca

in

att

wh

att.

Lil. E. 45.

* Page 71.

4, 5. Annæ.

The jury when impanelled judged under the penalty of an attaint in the old law, as appears by Glanville; if a false judgment was given in the court below, † and they were arraigned for this false judgment in the king's court, they were obliged to wage their battle, not by an extraneous person, but by one of themselves; and if they proved recreant, they lost liberam legem, the lord lost his court, and the whole court was in misericardia.

† Not pares of the Lord's courts, but pares of their own decennarries; for free-men, fimply such, were admissable to the lord's court, but free-holders only were admissable to sit in judgment upon a decennary free-holder. For instance, the fervants of a great baron being in plegio domini sui, became ipso sallo freemen, but these free-men were not compares with such ree-holders who lived sub corum proprio plegio. For the latter were sureties or bail for one another, but the great-baron singly was surety or bail for all his domestic servants.

The court below means either in the decennary court, the court-baron, or the hundred-court; for the highest court (or what was then called the regis justicia) was the county-court. In the 29 cap of our first Henry's laws, intitled, "qui debent esse judices regis," are the following words "regis judices debent esse omnes barones comitatus qui liberas in eis terran haberet, per ques debent cause singulorum ulterna proseoutione trassari."

t

e

t

t

e

e

t

y

le

t,

70

re

re

n

ne Dy

ne

115

re

e-

ey rd

ri-

ia.

de.

the

nts

ecto

tter

ngly

the

the ices

esse

per

cordia. Gla. lib. E. c. 9. fo. 66. Inflead of this, cam the Norman way by a grand jury of twenty-four; but the persons, if convicted, were under the fame difabilities with a champion recreant in fuch a case, for they lost liberam legem, and they received the villanous judgment, which every champion received, that, maintaining another's right by battle, failed; for their verdict was the afferting of the right of the person for whom it was given. But this was fo fevere a judgment, that they allowed all manner of evidence in support of their verdict; but against the verdict they admitted none that was not given at the former trial, because the jury might give in their verdict, not only on the evidence given in *court, but on * Page 72. their own knowledge; and therefore whatever other ways they came to the knowledge of the fact, they might give in evidence for the support of their verdict; but the evidence not offered on the trial can never be brought against them, because such evidence might have altered their judgment had it been given; and the want of that light, which the party neglected to offer, cannot convict them of a fallity, which, if it had been offered, might have founded a different verdict.

The same process that was used in the lord's court to bring in the parties and juries (viz) summons, attachment and distress was used in the king's court; for the venire answers the summons; the hubeas corpus † the attachment; and the distringus is the distress infinite; and then as in case of the king they often drop one process, as in trespals the summons, beginning with the attachment, so in the king's bench and exchequer, when the criminal business was transacted, and the king's dues demanded, they dropt the habeas

corpus,

The babeas corpus is widely different in its effect from the attachment; For by the former writ, the body is taken into cufe ody; by the latter, only goods and chattels.

fummons was omitted in trefpass, that the offender might not fly from justice by notice; but it is not prefumed, till the contrary appears, that the jury would not obey the summons; but in the *Page 73. king's case, if they did disobey, * they made use of the strongest process, (viz.) the distringus.

If all the jury did not attend on the habeas corpus, or distringas, which was to bring them into court, there were undecim, decem, or octo tales, according as the number was deficient, to force others to the king's court to try the issue; this was without summons or venire, because it was supposed that the first habeas corpus and distringas had given notice to the vicinity, that they ought to appear; and therefore the supplemental jury were forced in without a particular summons to them.

1 1

1

3

e

. 1

t;

a

b

i

d

t

0

C

in el

ti

8

It was wifely foreseen by Ed. 1. that when he designed no further justices in eyre to dispatch business in their proper counties, that the jury must be brought up to the courts above, which would occasion great expence, and great conflux of people to the courts, and therefore he constituted the writs of nist prius, that the matters of the law might be tried in his own court, and the facts in the country; and thereon there was aperfect uniformity in the law, for the same justices itinerantes in vacation time, who tried the fact in term time-settled

[†] The summons was not omitted in trespass, for the reason here assigned: for the desendant might fly from justice as easily upon the distringas of his goods and chattels, as upon service of the summons: but in matter of trespass, such as maihem, sale imprisonment, pound-breach, battery and the like, the summons was omitted only to hasten the protecution in the inserior courts, as Britton well observes, Si le plea soit ailloures qu'en notre court, et le desendant ne vient pas, et ne se faisse pas essoigner, not voulons que le jugement ne soit jamais desayer jus qu' à le quartre jour, mais tantôt soit awardé le premier jour par le sureties (de plaintisse) que telles distresses soient retenues, et que homme preign pius, et issent de court en court."

fettled the law; and henceforward when they found this answered the expectation, the juffices

in eyre were totally difused.

-

is

ne ie

fe

1-

to

C-

ce

115

125

12 -

ey

n-

m-

he

ou-

uft

uld

-09

the

law

in

ını-

ntes

me-

tled

esfos

eafily

ce of falle

mons

ourts,

court, nos

quar-

reties bom-

* The manner of contriving it, was to direct * Page 74. the venire to return the jury at some day the next term, unless the justices prius tali die & loco venerint; and thus the nifi prius was at first on the venire, and continued in that manner from Ed. 1. to Ed. 3. for though there were no iffues returned on the venire to make them appear at nife prius, yet it was so much a greater difficulty on them to appear afterwards at Westminster, which if they did not, the distringus issued, that it had its effects to bring them in their proper counties; the writ was contrived to command them to come into court, because it would have been improper for the court to have commanded them to come into any other place, so that their appearance before the justices of affize is an excuse for their non-appearance in bank; but if they did not appear at the affize nor at Westminster there issued an habeas corpus and diffringas to bring them up.

By Westm. 2. cap. 27. the defendant might be essoined in an assize or nist prius the first day; but if he had an effoin at nist prius the inquest was

taken by default in affize.

By the statute of Marlbridge, cap. 18. poslquam aliquis posucrit se in inquisitionem aliquam, non habebit nift unicum effinium; and the flatute not limiting they time when * the effoin should be taken, * Page 75. they might take it on the distringus; fo that when the jurors to fave the penalty had come on the distringas, one of the parties essoined himself, and the jury after much expence and trouble were obliged to return re infecta; for this inconvenience, a remedy was drawn from Westm. 2 cap. 27. which fays, postquam aliquis posuerit se in 2 left. 417. inquisitionem aliquam proximuns diem alloquetur ei esonium: and the proximus dies was the return of the venire, and then by this confiruction they got rid of the effoin at nifi prius; for they made

the

the venire returnable at a day within that term in which iffue was joined, and the defendant was obliged to be in court during that whole term, so that they made a proximus dies in the same term that iffue was joined; and there was no mischief in this, because, after the parties had leave to appear by attorney, they were discharged themselves from a constant attendance in court, as their attornies constantly attended for them; hence the dies datus was omitted in the Common Pleas in the award of the venire, because the party being in court that term in which iffue was joined, continues in court by his attorney during the whole term; but yet the proxim' dies after iffue joined, must be the day of the return of the venire, and by confequence the time * when he was to cast his effoin, then he had no other day to do it by the words of the statute; and by this construction they got rid of all the essoins on the behalf of the defendant at the day in nife prius.

Also there was no dies datus on the return of the distringus, because the inquest might pass, though the defendant made default at the day in nisi prius; and therefore it was not necessary to give him a day there; yet if he reassumed the confideration of their giving judgment, they iffued a distringas ad audiendum judicium to give a day to the party, that nothing might be determined in their absence: but in the King's Bench they gave a day on the return of the venire, because anciently the King's Bench had not business enough to fit the whole term de die in diem, and therefore they adjourned from one day to another; they gave aday to the parties to be prefent when they fat, but there was no day given to the parties on the distringus, for the same reason as in the Common Pleas, viz. because the inquest might be taken by default.

The ancient practice of the defendant being efloinable of the venire, was a great mischief in

Page 76.

Firft.

b

t

tl

p

ti

n ta

th

th

in

en no

ni/

tha

qu

cla

and

the

the

firf

the

the

effo

obli

27.

had

for

fror

nece

men

him

that

the !

this process; because, if he did not appear, the jury was afterwards obliged to appear in bank; Second. and there was another mischief in this process as it then stood, * the parties, not feeing the panel * Page 77. beforehand, they could not be prepared to make their challenges; the first of these mischiefs was pretty well remedied as to the plaintiff by laying the costs on the defendant where the plaintiff prevailed; but the fecond mischief had no remedy till 42 Ed. 3. c. 11. whereby it is ordained, that no inquests but affize and delivery of gaols be taken by writ of nift prius, or other manner at the fuit of great or small, before the names of all them that shall pass in the inquest be returned into the court, and the nearest and most fussicient; and this fet the process on the same foot it Reg. 178. now stands.

2

e

y

S

f

n

Г

5

fi

f

s,

n

0

le

1-

y

d

y fe

fs

nd

)-

nt

he

in

ht

ng

in his

From henceforward they could not place the nisi prius in the venire, as was directed by the fiatute of Westminster 2d. because it is directed that no inquest be taken at nist prius till the inquest be returned in court, and therefore the clause of nifi prius was taken out of the venire, and placed to the habeas corpus and distringas in the respective courts, which was so awarded on the roll in the jurata; this had many good effects; first, for that the plaintist and defendant knew the names of the jury in order to challenge. 2dly, the venire being returned, the defendant had no essoin on the habeas corpus and distringas, but was obliged to appear, or elfe by Westminster 2. * cap. * Page 78. 27. the inquest was taken by default, as if he had appeared, not that there was judgment given for default, because having the day at nift prius, from whence he might be detained by inevitable necessity, they thought it too hard to give judgment against him for the default, without allowing him to excule it; yet the inquilition was taken that the plaintiff might not be delayed, as it was on the fecond default of the defendant on the affize;

fo that when a venire had been returned, there

was no effoin on the distringas.

The fecond advantage was, that the jury on the nifi prius were fined if they did not appear; and therefore the clause in the distringus is, quod habeas corpora eorum coram nobis apud Westminster die lunæ prox. post, vel coram justic' nostris ad affifas in com' tuo tenend' affign' fi prius die, &c. Since they could fine them on this process according to their offence, they granted nise prius in the enfuing distringas, and did not compel them to try it at bar, which was more convenient than the ancient way, where the appearing juror was obliged by his companion's default to come up to Wellminster; but now every one had iffues returned on him for his own default, and fill the affize continues the name of nist prius.

* Page 79.

* But to explain this further, we must consider how these continuances are made after iffue is joined; if it be an issuable term, the venire is made returnable the last day of the term, without any nist prius in it, as it anciently was, and from that day the distringus is tested with a nist prius returnable at the day in bank; if iffue be joined, and they do not go to trial the fame term, then they award a venire on the roll, returnable the fame or the next term; and if they do not go to trial, they continue the process by a vic' non mist breve, and then there is on the roll a new venire awarded till the vacation, when they go to trial, and when they are going to trial they take the roll and enter the continuances to the diffringas, which award of the diffringas is never entered on Called the Day the plea roll, but only at the Ist day of next term after the affizes; when the posleu is returned, they enter it poslea continuato inde processu, which is a recital of the continuance warranted by the nife prius roll; the reason of this practice is, that if they had entered the award of the diffringas on the plea roll, and had not gone to trial, they mult

c

t

1

e

W

p

14

it

ni

he

at

te

be

th

al

10

60

an

Co Entries. 149.

in Bank.

e

n

d r

7-

C:

1-

it

1-

d

17-

on

ti-

ler

is

15

ut

m

re-

ed,

en

the

to

rifit

ire

ial,

the

gas,

on

erm

hey

15 2

121/2

t if

s on

they

mult

must from thence award an alias and pluries distringus, which would have obliged the jury to come in terms, and in terms not iffuable: by this practice they faved all trouble and expence * of that nature, and yet they continued the acts * Page 80. of the court as well, for poffea continuato inde proceffu shews on the plea roll that the last award of the venire in the former form was continued to the day in bank by the process, viz. by the distringas, and the award of the distringus was not necellary to be entered, fince it was an act relating to a trial out of the court, and not in the court itfelf; and therefore, preparatory to the trial, was formerly entered on the nifi prius or iffue roll, fo called, because on it the pleadings were entered to the iffue at that time; and as it was unnecessary to enter the continuance on the plea roll, fo it was not expedient, because such continuance would have embarraffed the parties and jury; and therefore a general entry was thought fufficient on the nifi prius roll; they enter the declaration and pleadings to the issue joined, together with the first award to the venire: but to fave the trouble of fuch entry of continuances, they enter the placita of the term in the vacation, when they go to trial, at the bottom of the nift prius roll, between the award of the venire and the jurat roll; and this shews the judge of affize that it was an iffue continued to the last term, and is a warrant to the officer above to continue the venire until the time of iffuing * the distringus: * Page 31. hence in the Common Pleas they make no placita at the bottom when they go to trial the fame term issue is joined; for that would apparently be unnecessary, since such placeta came instead of the continuances: but in the King's Bench they always entered the placita, though they went to trial the same term; because anciently the continuances in that court were from one day to another in the fame term: and it is to be noted,

The History and Practice

that in the Common Pleas there was anciently a continuance roll for the jury process; so that after the venire was awarded, and the jury process was continued from term to term, they entered the continuance on a roll of that day, to which such process was continued, entering up the stile of the court on the top of such roll, and numbering the roll; and so when it continued to a subsequent term, they entered on the continuance roll of that day in the same manner; and when the postea came up, then their entry was made in this manner, postea continuato processu prad interpartes prad per jur ponit inde inter eos in respectum hucusq; ad tunc diem scil in octab. sancti trinitat, nist justiciar prius, &c.

• Page 83.

Raftall 288.

And when fuch records were fent for by writ of error at the end of the judgment, they fent the placita of the particular times of continuances to warrant that part of the roll that mentions the continuato inde processu; and this is evident from Raftall's entries, title error, in a notable roll in the 5 Ed. 4. where the very number of each roll of continuance is entered at the foot of the judgment: after 32 H. 8. 30. the continuance roll was dropt, because by that statute, all discontinuances were cured after verdict; and therefore they only entered on the plea roll the award of the venire, which they continued as before-mentioned by a vic' non mifit breve; but now that is dropt, and they only enter postea continuato inde processu inter parties prad', entering the verdict returned on the poffea; and they need not on the foot of the record enter any continuance, fince the want of a continuance is cured after verdict; but they enter the placita and the award of the distringas on the nist prius roll, to be an authority to the judge to try the caufe.

The day at nifi prius and in bank are in confideration of the law the fame; because the writ of nist prius, which gives authority to the judge

t

C

0

fo

P

th

br

of

th

th

an

ali

un

crue

Kin

into

plac

iffue

plac

the

and

natu

the :

but

I

of the Court of Common Pleas.

to try the cause in the county, is instead of the court; and therefore the postea certified by him on the day of bank is the fame as if the jury had come up to the court; and this (as was faid) is for the ease of the subject, that the jury and * witnesses may not be brought out of their pro- * Page 83.

per county.

5 d

n

f

S

11

e

n

r

m

it

nt

1ns m in Ill

ll u-

re of

n-15

nde

ist

the

nce

a;

the ity

on-

vrit

dge

to

If a venire is awarded, and they do not go to trial the next affizes, but it lies for feveral terms, the continuance may be made by a vic' non mifit breve; but if a nisi prius be awarded, and some of the jury appear, and the panel be not full, fo that the trial is not carried on, they only enter those of the jury that appeared, et alii non venerunt, ideo respectuentur to the next term pro defectu jur'; and at the day in the next term they award an alias distringas to the next affizes with a nifi prius Vid 6 Hen. until the next term.

H A P. VII.

The Venue.

N the fettling of the nift prius, they obliged the plaintiff to try the action where it accrued; and the altering the venue began in the King's Bench, and was transferred from thence into the Common Pleas.

The venire was to bring up the pares of the place where the fact was laid, in order to try the iffue; and originally every fact was laid in the place where it was really * done; and therefore * Page 84. the written contracts bore date at a certain place, and the trespasses on land, were in their own nature local, and the decenna was responsible for the appearance of the parties within their diffricts: but when the custom of decennary began to wear

C

t

r

tl

te

C

W

fo

p

fo

ca

lo

de

de

th

lo

on

Wa

tiff

co

bei

de

ant

juf

pla

croz

off, and men could go from place to place, and the king's writ iffued to any place where the defendant refided, from thence they ceased to date their contracts at any place, that fo they might fue them at what place they pleased; for before the capias, the process by attachment and distress could be only executed where his goods were, and this begot the diffinction + between transitory and local actions; for the former related to goods and chattels, and followed the debtor where-ever he could be found; but the latter related to lands and tenements, and fo the process was general, and on the lands, tho' in trespass vi & armis, the procefs was on the person, but created no inconvenience, because it was an action that was generally between neighbours, and the person had no occafion for a writ into a foreign county in order to find the defendant.

In the transitory actions, the plaintiff had liberty to chuse his venue, being supposed to lay it where the fact was done, and that it was done in * Page 85. the county where the * writ was brought : but if the writ followed him into a foreign county, he having fled from the place where the fact was done, the plaintiff was at liberty to chuse from what vicinity the pares should be summoned, as the defendant had deferted the place where the fact was done or the contract made, and other places were all indifferent.

But the defendant could not by his plea alter the venue, unless the matter pleaded was local.

The reason t of the distringus was that where the decenna's were broken, there people were obliged to answer locally, the plaintiff was necessita-

This diffinction is of modern date.

According to the annotator the law of decennaries in the laws of Edward the Confessor differs entirely from the law here laid down: for (as he fays) the decenna's were always to be kept full: and to ascertain whether they were or were not full was one of the principal enquiries in the eyre.

of the Court of Common Pleas.

ted to feek the defendant, and to fummon or attach him in the county where he refided, and the county was put into the margin; the record be-Lancelot' gins, that the defendant was fummoned or at-144tached as in that county; and this notion feems to be borrowed from the canonifts, where the

rule is, quod actor debet sequi forum rei.

1

e

it

e

ſs

e,

ry

ds

er

ds

bn

0-

e-

lly

ca-

to

er-

it !

in

but

he

was

om

, as

the

her

lter

nere

CD-

Tita-

ted

n the w here e kept

as one

.

Now fince the law obliged the plaintiff to feek the proper forum rei, the defendant could not alter the judicature of the fact by any plea that could be determined in that place; because such was not alieni fori; and it would be hard that the plaintiff, who was forced to feek the defendant, Saund. \$5. should go elsewhere to have the cause determined; Cro. Jac. 370. Co. Lit. 282. but where the plea of the defendant was local, * Page 86. so that the place made part of the issue, there the place of its own nature was alieni fori; and therefore, to prevent a failure of justice, the venire was carried into a foreign county.

But if theplaintiff's declaration be for a matter local, where he cannot follow the person of the defendant, as in a quare clausum fregit, there if the defendant could not be found in the county where the trespass was committed, they could not follow the person of the defendant, and so they had only the process of outlawry: but as the plaintiff was obliged to follow the defendant, so the plaintiff had his choice from what vicinage † within the county he would try his cause; for if he had been obliged to lay it in the neighbourhood of the defendant, where he was summoned, the defendant might have had influence enough to obstruct justice, and so that place not indifferent.

But the venire must be from some known 6 Co. 14.

place, where the fact is supposed to be done, as in Co. Lit. 125.

F 2 the 2 Role 620.

[†] According to the annotift, the doctrine laid down in this and the three preceding paragraphs is calculated to countenance the modern practice of altering the venue, which is a flagrant entroachment on the ancient rights of the decennary.

The History and Practice

the contracts of the same actions arise, and by that act it is ordained, that if from thencesorth a vill, castle, manor, or forest; because, if it was not a known place, there could be no proper direction to the sheriss, (which the judges must intend is known to the sheriss) to try who were the pares that were to try that fact; therefore a street or * lane is no proper place for a venue, because it is not supposed to be sufficiently known to the sheriss in what hundred it is; but a street in a parish is a proper venue, because the parish is sufficiently known in what hundred it is.

r

th

n

W

la

u

tw

to

tic

fr

th

pl

6

of

†

an

in

th

If

Co. Lita 125. 2 Roll. 616.

Heb 89.

But if they plead nul tiel ville; as suppose a trespass laid in Dale, and they pleaded nul tiel ville de Dale; or if the action of a man be laid in Dale, and nul tiel ville pleaded, it must of necessity be tried by the pares comitatus; because, if there be really no fuch place as the plaintiff has laid in his count, then there is no particular hundred chosen by the plaintiff, out of which any pares should come to try it; and so where the plea is in abatement of the writ, the place chofen by the plaintiff in the county to try the cause is not material; and therefore de corpore comita-So if a misnomer in the name or title of dignity is to be tried, it shall be tried in the county at large, because there likewise the place in the count is not material; but if an action be brought for a trespass done in Sale or Dale, and the defendant denies there is any fuch place, this shall be tried de vicinet' de Dale, because this is to the count; where the plaintiff has chose a venue from two places, and one being confelled, he shall have his judgment of the fact in issue from that place, and the * rather, because the men of Dale are to affefs the damages in the action; and this plea cannot be executed as amounting to the general iffue, because it is touching the venire in that iffue.

Co. Lit. 225.] 6 Coke 14. 1 Bulft. 127. 22 Ed. 4. 4.

* Page 88.

If the declaration contains matters lying in 2 Roll. 601. two counties that join, or an annuity, if a manor is in one county, and feifin in another, and the possession is traversed, this shall be tried by both counties, as appears by the 7. R. 2. c. 10. because the sheriffs may be supposed to meet on the bounds of each county, and impanel the pares there; but if the counties cannot join, and confequently the theriffs cannot meet each other in order to impanel, as if the iffue were, whether a road from London to York, and from York to London; this 2 Roll. 603. may be tried in either county; where the matter is local, and the venue cannot be from a place where it is laid, therefore for apparent impartiality it must be from the next hundred; as if an action be brought on the flatute of Winton; for the proper pares for the trial of every fact are the nearest impartial men to the place where the fact was done.

1

,

S

y

e

e

.

of

n

10

1-

le,

e,

15

a

d,

e

he

C-

as

15

If

But by the *statute* for the amendment of the c. 16. 4 Ann. law the venue is to be awarded de corpore com' London is a unless in indistments, appeals, and prosecutions. county.

* The law having fettled the distinction be- * Page 89. tween local and transitory actions, it seems, that towards the 6th of R. 2. it was abused to vexation; for plaintiss would lay their actions far from the place where the fact was done, so that the defendant was necessitated to carry his wit nesses into that county, how far soever from that place, where the fact was done: to prevent this, 6 R. 2. c. 2. prescribes; to the intent that writs of debt and account, and all other such actions, be from thencesorth taken in their counties, and directed to the sheriff of the counties, where in pleas on the same writs, it shall be derived that the contract thereof was made in another county

† The words " all other such actions " allude to the 25 Ed. 3, cb. 17. which see.

The History and Practice

county than is contained in the original writ, that then incontinently the faid writ shall be abated.

This was intended to have confined all actions to their proper counties, but then it would have created greater mischief than it was designed to have prevented, if a plaintiff could not have followed his debtor into another county; but the statute is so worded, that it only prescribes, that the count should agree with the writ in the place, which did not make the transitory actions local; but to obviate the inconvenience, the judges construed \$\frac{1}{2}\$ it to impower them to change the venue; and therefore in all cases, unless of specialty, the court will

d

fi fo

it

n

th

be

b

e:

m

ti

re

11.

by

W

hi gr

hi

† The annotift says, that "this construction is the most barefaced distortion of the statute that can possibly be conceived: for although the word" narratum" be mentioned in this statute, yet, as the declaration must not vary from the writ itself, it necessarily sollows that the contract in question must not have been made in any other county than that which is contained in the original writ, or that if it be in any other county, tune in continenter breve illud penitus cassetur." for this statute of 6 R. 2. c. 2. is nothing but a renovation or confirmation of the 31st cap. of our first Henry's laws which runs in the following words "unus quisque per pares sues judicandus est, et ejustem provincia peregrina vero judicia medis connibus submovemus."

In pursuance of this law of Henry the first, when he by charter erected the city of London into a county of itself, he granted, in proof of its being a distinct county, that the citizens of London should not plead without the city-walls concerning any plea whatever, and at this very day, a foreign plea is within the city of London a good plea in abatement of a writ, where the cause of action

arises on contract or accompt. fed qu.

Besides these incontrovertible proofs of the judges having misconstrued the 6th of R. 2d. above-mentioned, let the reader turn to Britton (section 104) and he will there learn that a foreign plea was one of the usual and customary pleas in action of trespass, and did by no means authorize the judges to change the venue. The words of Britton are "et come les desendants seront en court aurent oye pleyntys counter vers eux, les memes de fendants se peurront aider pur exception de Brese purchaie en autre counte que par la on le fait duist estre fail."

(Tho' the annotift may be right, as to what the antient law and practice was, yet in these respects the same have been of late much changed, and the administration of justice is free and impartial, unclogged with many subtle niceties which were formerly

a differace to our laws and police.)

will change * the venue to the place where the fact * Page 90. was done; and if the plaintiff again prays it may be changed back again, then they non-pros'd the plaintiff in such cases, unless he gives some evidence of the fact within the county, where the writ is brought; and these rules are good, since they tend in effect to abate the writ according to the statute.

1

t

t

1

-

or

e,

in

ds

er

on

non

rn

of he

int

le

ale

a w

ate

m-

rly

But in cases of specialty they did not change the venue, because, if the contract was not dated at a particular place, it was prefumed to to be admitted by the parties that it might charge the defendant in any place; and the very form of noverint universi feems to be calculated, that it should be taken as a contract in all places whatfoever; and therefore it would take away one of the benefits of his specialty to confine him to sue it in the county where it was executed. In an action of scandalum magnatum + the court will 1 Lev. 50. never change the venue; because a scandal raised of a peer of the realm reflects on him through the whole kingdom, and he is a person of so great notoriety, that there is no necessity of his being tied down to try his cause among the neighbourhood.

* The action for rent in the detinet against an * Page 91. executor shall be brought where the lease was 1 Vent. 286. made, because it is for arrears in the testator's time; but where it is in the debet and detinet for rent accrued in the executor's time, it must be where the land lies.

But

[†] When this statute passed, it was a rule, that a magnas might by judgment of parliament be divested of his baronage either for mant of estate to keep up his signity, or for other cause that made him infamous. Therefore a horrible lye that was told to his so great prejudice deterved a signal punishment. Thus it should seem that scandalum magnatum, as it might eventually divest him of his baronage, ought to be taken as and for a local and not a transfitery action, consequently (according to the annotist) the court ought to change the Venue, and the cause be tried in the neighbourhood where the cause of action arises. Vide p. 84.

The History and Practice

But if iffue be joined, then it cannot be altered, because it is agreed to by the defendant.

The alteration † began in B. R. where they could easily change the venue, where the usual process is by bill of Middlesex and latitat; but it was more difficult in the C. B. because they must have an original to warrant their proceedings; their first method of doing it was by obliging the plaintiff to make an original capias, where the action accrued, and a testatum where the defendant might be found; this method; proved chargeable, tedious and inconvenient; and therefore they changed the venue and the record, and allowed them to file an original to warrant the new declaration, as the practice stands to this day.

If the plaintiff, after issue joined, neglected to try the cause the first assizes in the country, or the first term in Middlesex or London, the defendant was at liberty to bring down the cause by a proviso, so called by the clause in the venire sac, which says, * proviso semper quod si duo brevia indetibi venerint unum eorundem tantum retor' & exequaris; for both the plaintiff and defendant having put themselves upon their pares, the plaintiff's laches shall not prevent the defendant's discharging himself from the action; and therefore the process is open for him, as well as the plaintiff; if the judge receives an impersect verdict, there can be no surther process against the same jury, because they are discharged by the accept-

there

C

C

t

P

p

the

a

p

d

in

bal

ance of their verdict; and therefore in this cafe

[†] This alteration, or rather innovation (the annotift fays) began in the King's-bench in the last century only, and is one of the bleffed fruits of the latitat & ac etiam,

[†] This method, the chargeable and inconvenient enough, is not the true cause of the Common Pleas changing the venue and the record, and allowing them to file an original to warrant the new declaration: the real and true cause may be seen in the Life of Lord Guilford.

of the Court of Common Pleas.

there must be a venire fuc' de novo to give a more perfect verdict; but because the same jury often are at feveral affizes on the continuance of the jury process, therefore by the statute of 7 & 8 W. 3. c. 32. a venire facias de novo is given, if the cause be not tried the first affizes.

By 35 H. 8. c. 6. to prevent the delay of the decem tales, it is enacted, there shall be a tales de circumstantibus, which is returned by the sheriff

in court.

e

e

d

d e

15

d

γ,

6y

,

ae e-3

nt n-

if-

re

n-

et,

me

ot-

afe

ere

bee of

not the

new

e of

* C H A P. VIII.

The Challenge.

* Page 93. l'acon juries (E.)

WE are now come to the challenge; and of old the fuitors in court, who were judges, could not be challenged; nor by the feudal law could the pares be even challenged, pares qui ordinariam jurisdict habent recusari non possunt; but those fuitors, who are judges of the court, could not be challenged; and the reason is, that there are several qualifications required by the writ, (viz.) that they be liberos & legales homines de vicineto of the place laid in the declaration, quorum quilibet habeat decem libras terrarum, tenementor, vel reddit' per ann' ad minus per quos rei veritas melius sciri poterit, & quod nee the plaintiff, nee the defendant, aliqua affinitate attingunt, ad faciend' quondam jurat' patria inter partes pradicta; these qualifications were inferted, because this manner of trial was different from below; for there the trial being by all the pares, if there was a majority amounting to twelve, the cause was decided by fuch a number as was necessary; but here, because they brought up only twelve, and therefore they were all to be of one * mind in order to make the * Page 94.

verdict;

verdict; therefore it was necessary there should be feveral qualifications mentioned in fuch perfons, who are to give in the verdict in that cause; and if any of the qualifications were wanting in any one, it was fufficient reason to reject such person. The first is, that they should be liber. & legales homines; and therefore villains, outlaws, excommunicated persons, and aliens were Rast. 118. a. b. excluded; the next was de vicineto; and therefore originally they were to be of the fame hundred; but afterwards, they required only fix. 48 Ed. 48 Aff. 5. Afterwards only four. 7 H. 28 Ed. 4. 49. they were fettled at fix; 4. 46. for the difficulty of getting hundredors, and the partiality they found amongst them, the neighbours having generally a particular attachment to one party more than the other, made the judges willing to contract the number; but by 35 H. 8. c. 6. and by 27 El. c. 6. two only were neceffary; but if the lord of the hundred be a party, then it is fufficient they should come from the next hundred; and now by the statute for the amendment of the law, the jury comes de corpore comitatus.

* Page 95.

The next qualification, Quorum quilibet habeat decem libras terrar' ten'or', vel reddit' per annum ad minus, by Westm. 2. c. 28. * they were to have 20s. per ann. if the affize were within the county; and 40s. if without. By 21 Ed. 1. stat. 1. they were to have 40s. per ann. within, and 100s. without; by the flat. 2 H. 5. cap. 3. they were to have 40s. in case of death; or where the difpute was for above 40 marks; by 1 R. 3. c. 4. a juror in the torn was to have 40s. freehold, and 26s. 8d. copyhold; by 35 H. 8. 6. it is increased to 41. and by 4 & 5 W. & M. it is ordered, that all jurors, other than strangers per medietatem lingua, shall have 101. per ann. but there is a faving to cities and boroughs; and by 4 H. S. cap. 3. a citizen of London worth 100

marks

m

th

fr

p

fi

al

b

fe

p

h

h

21

C

e:

111

0

ei

0

to

tl

fa

p

W

ci

be

p

fa

in

01

fo

ev

m

b

th

W

al

ar

hig

marks in goods shall be a good juror: it feems, that in corporations the freedom and not the freehold makes them liberos homines.

The next is a per quos rei veritas melius ferri But it is no prin poterit & quod nec the plaintiff, nec the defendant, cipal challenge aliqua affinitate attingunt; all causes of objection was commisfrom partiality or incapacity, confanguinity and fioner for the affinity, are contained in the writ; if the juror plaintiff to exabe under the power of either party, as if counsel, because he is ferjeant of the robes, or tenant, thefe are ex-made commifprefly within the intent of the writ; fo that if he fioner by the king; but otherhas declared his opinion touching the matter, or wife being arbihas been chosen arbitrator by one side, or done trator, because any act, by which * fuch an opinion might be created by the conceived, as if he has eaten and drank at the felves. expence of either party after he is returned; all 9 Co. 71. incapable persons, as infants, ideots, and people * Page 96.

e

1.

1.

e

-

0

25

8.

ſ-

le

re

at

271

ve

n.

1.

s.

re

f-

4.

d,

n-

1-16

er ut

by

00

rks

of non fane memory, are likewife excluded.

But where the juror is not under a biass on either lide, or if he has not given apparent marks of partiality; yet there may be fufficient reason to suspect he may be more favourable to one lide than the other; and this is a challenge to the favour; as if the juror's fon has married the plaintiff's daughter, because this is not contained within the words of the writ; therefore no principal cause of challenge, but only to favour; because such juror is not within the power of the party; and in these inducements to suspicion of favour, the question is, whether the juryman is indifferent as he stands unsworn; for a juryman ought to be perfectly impartial to either fide; for otherwife his affection will give weight to the evidence of one party; and an honell, but weak man, may be as much biaffed, as to think he goes by his evidence, when his affections add weight to Difference bethe evidence; now fince the writ expects those by tween challenge whom the truth may be best known, it excludes favour. all those who are apparently partial, without Co. Lit. 156. a. any trial, because they are not under the qualifications in the writ, fince the * truth cannot be * Page 97.

mine witneffes, parties them-

known

The History and Practice

apparent, but only fuspicious, and the juror is to be treed whether favourable or not, and if the trees think he is, then he is to be excluded; zoddens examination is by two persons sworn to try the truth of the matter; as all trials touching the summations, where it was desired, were by two persons. This being whether such persons described in the writ were warned.

The lat qualification is ad faciend' quandam jurat' patria; from hence it is that peers are excluded, for they are not pares patrie, but pares of an higher rank; but if a peer be impleaded by a commoner, yet fuch cause shall not be tried by peers, but by a jury of the country; for though the peers are the proper pares to a lord of Parliament in capital matters, where the life and nobility of a peer is concerned, yet in matter of property, the trial of fact is not by them, but by the inhabitants of those countries where the facts arife; fince fuch peers, living through the whole kingdom, could not be generally cognizant of facts arifing in feveral counties, as the inhabitants themselves where they are done: but this want of having noblemen for their jury, was compensated as much as possible, by returning persons of the best quality; * therefore a knight is necessary to be summoned in any cause where a peer is party.

See p. 84, 90.

Page 98.
Raff- 116. and judgment quod pannellum quaffetur.

As they had those challenges to the polls, so likewise had they to the returning officer, if he was partial, for this reason, that all the pares did not come, but only twelve, which were selected by the sheriff; and therefore he ought to be as impartial as the persons returned; and the court, who were to see that an impartial person brought up the twelve, received all challenges to their officer, and they thought there could be no better rule to ascertain what should be a proper challenge to the officer than that which was allowed to each juror's partiality; for they did

not

n

200

ec

CC

fh

W

10

th

fh

m

હ

in

fo

ftr

pa

qu

off

juc

ret

COI

cai

acc

car

cha

bec

of

the

cau

onl

by

can

gav

tori

bein

tota

whi

not suppose, that they had a jury per quos rei veritas melius sciri poterit, unless they were selected by a person indifferent: therefore if there was Rass. 116. consanguinity or affinity continuing between the sheriff and either of the contending parties, or was in their power, or had declared his opinion Rass. 118. on either side, or had not returned an hundredor; these were principal causes of challenge to the sheriff; so likewise if the son of the sheriff was married to the daughter of either of the parties,

There were likewise challenges to the favour Rast. 117. b. in the same manner as to the juror, for the rea- Post.

fon before-mentioned.

ot is

e

0 -

y

15

771

23

y

h l-

i-

)-

y

ts

le

of

1-

15

as

17

ht

re

fo

he

id

ed-

as

rt,

ht

115

10

er

as

id ot * But if the sheriff returns a panel of jurors, * Page 99.

flruck by two strangers that savour neither of the parties, this is a good array, and shall not be quashed; and therefore it is common for the officers of the court, by the direction of the judges, to give a panel to the sheriff, which he returns; so the court seems to have power to compel the sheriff to make his return; but they can fine him if a sufficient jury does not appear, according to the precept of the writ.

Before we conclude we must observe, that in Rast. 119 capital cases, at common law the prisoner could challenge thirty-five peremptorily; and this was because the trial by the petit jury came instead of the ordeal; the petit jury of twelve being after the manner of the canonical purgation; and because the whole pares were not upon the jury, but only a select number was brought in and chosen by the criminal himself, as was usual among the canonists, therefore they took a middle way, and gave the defendant liberty to challenge peremptorily any number under three juries, sour juries being as many as generally appeared to make the total pares of the county.

38 H. 8. c. 3. They were reduced to twenty, which in felony is still in force, but by 1 & 2 W.

& M. c. 10. the challenge of thirty-five in treafon and petit treason is restored.

Page 100.

*But a peer cannot challenge any of his peers, because the whole peers sit upon him, who are

his proper judges.

But 15 Ed. 3. in the case of John Stradford archbishop of Canterbury, the house of lords appointed twelve, viz. sour bishops, sour earls, and sour barons, to try him for high treason; which gave an opportunity to the king, out of parliament, to appoint a less number than the whole body of the peerage to try a peer, for one precedent may not establish a right of trying the bishops by the peerage, since there were contrary precedents; and the case of a bishop does not relate to the blood and nobility of the peerage; but this prerogative is taken away by 7 W. 3. cap. 3. and the old law is restored.

Juror challenged himself as exempted, Raft.

r

d

t

ti ti w co fo

m w

fe

W

V

h

a

it

m

it

h

ti

b

117.

* Page 101.

*CHAP. XI.

Of Pleas Puis Darreign Continuance.

A T common law, no plea could be determined but in the presence of the parties, unless default was made by one of them; and therefore by the statute of Westminster 2. c. 28. to save delays at the nist prius, they ordered that the inquest should be taken, though the defendant made default and did not appear; from hence it became necessary, after issue joined, that there should be continuance from time to time till the verdict was taken; as before issue joined a li. lo. was given the defendant from term to term till his plea was put in; and if these continuances were not entered

l

-

e

0

y

-

ut

3.

A.

ni-

less

ore

de-

uest

de-

ame

be

was

ven

was

en-

ered

tered from term to term, the defendant was without day in court, and wherever he was fo, there was an end of proceeding in that writ, for he had fulfilled the command of the writ in appearing, and the court might give judgment against him if he did not plead; and if the court neither gave him leave to plead, nor gave judgment against him for want of a plea, he having fulfilled the writ, the matter was at an end; fo if * he had * Page 102. pleaded, and the court had not given a day to the parties to prove their allegations, there likewife, the defendant having appeared, the writ was complied with, and the matter was at an end, unless the court gave further time to verify the allegations; and therefore, in fuch cases, there must be continuances till the verdict; so upon demurrer, or after verdict given, if the court take time to consider of their judgment, they must give day to the parties; because they can determine nothing in the absence of the parties; and the command of the writ being complied with by the defendant's appearance, and the effect of the 2 Dany. 151. writ being answered, it is at an end; and the Stil. 339. court can give only from one term to another; cont. 144 for if they could give day to a fecond term, they might give to a 5th, 20th, or 100th, and they would have power to delay ad infinitum, the defendant could give but one plea in bar, and on that, if there was an issue (or demurrer) the cause was determined, because there could be but one verdict in a cause; but if any new matter had happened pending the writ, he might plead it after a former plea pleaded, provided he pleaded it before the next continuance, because, such matter being new, it was not in his power to plead it when his former plea was pleaded; and it would be hard, because * he had pleaded, to exclude * Page 103. him from any advantage which he had not at the time of pleading, fince there was no laches in him; but this he cannot plead after a continuance, becaule,

21 H. 6. 10. Bro. cont. 27. 2 Lut. 1143, Idem 1174.

cause, having suffered the former plea to continue, he rests upon it, and waives the benefit of any new matter; if a release be given after the nifi prius, and before the day in bank, he cannot plead it, for there is a verdict already in the cause, and upon another plea; and therefore the case is determined: fo that he is put to his audita querela to hinder the execution of his judgment.

r Salk. 178.

21 H. 6. 10. Bro. cont. 27. 1 Lev. 80.

1 Sid. 93. 2 Lut. 1143, 1174. 1 Sid. 93.

114, 118, 185, * Page 104.

15 Ed. 4. 4. Bro. cont. 31.

2 H. 6. 13.

1 Sid. 143.

But there are two cases wherein a man may plead, though it be after the last continuance, viz. outlawry, and the death of the plaintiff; as to the outlawry, it is upon the prerogative, that the debt itself is forfeited to the king, and by virtue of the prerogative nullum tempus occurrit regi; and therefore he may plead it, though a continuance has happened after the outlawry; so he may plead the death of the plaintiff, because though a continuance has been entered, yet that continuance is a nullity, because there was no plaintiff in being when day could be given; fo it may be pleaded if the plaintiff died after the day at nifi prius, and before the day in bank; and the reason is, that if there is no cause in court, * no judgment can be given for a person that is not in rerum natura, and if it be given it is erroneous; and if the plaintiff's attorney will traverfe that plea, he cannot fay the plaintiff comes per attorn'; because that would be to forejudge the matter in iffue; but the attorney by his name, viz. J. S. venit pro magistro suo & dicit.

1

0

13

CC

m

m

m

10 afi

occ ait

pr

aft

an

But a release, as I conceive, may be pleaded, though there hath been imparlance between; because there is no continuance of a former plea pleaded, and by the libertas loquendi, the defendant has time given to plead what makes most for his advantage.

But if the writ be only abateable, as if the plaintiff be made a knight, or the plaintiff being feme fole takes a husband, it must be pleaded after the last continuance; for otherwise he depends

of the Court of Common Pleds.

on his first plea, and waives the benefit of his new matter; but it cannot be pleaded between the day at nist prius and the day in bank, because there has been trial in the same cause before.

But if the lessor of the plaintist dies, this can- Hob. 5. not be pleaded puis darreign continuance; because

the right is supposed in the leffee.

fi

d

d

la

W

2.

to

ue

i;

he

ife nat

no it

ay

, *

not

us;

n';

in

S.

ed,

be-

olea

ndfor

the

eing

fter ends

011

Time and place for the venue must be laid in 2 Lut. 1143. this, as in all other pleas.

Allen 66.

* The pleas are twofold, viz. in abatement, Page 105.

* The pleas are twofold, viz. in abatement, Page 105.

and in bar; if any thing happens pending the writ to abate it, this may be pleaded post darreign continuance though there is a plea in bar; for this Allen 66.

can only waive all pleas in abatement that were to it is a waiver of in being at the time of the bar pleaded, but not the bar, and no subsequent matter; but though it be pleaded in advantage shall be taken of it. I Salk. 178.

tory, as well on demurrer as on trial; because, after bar pleaded, he has answered in chief, and therefore can never have judgment to answer

So it may be pleaded in bar; but whether it be pleaded in abatement or in bar, in the first place it must be leaded quod breve cassetur, and the other quod a tonem ulterius manutenere non debet, and not that the former inquest should not be taken; because it is a substantive bar in itself, and comes in the place of the former, and therefore must be pleaded to the action.

There can be but one plea puis darreign continuance, that the plaintiff may not be delayed in infinitum; for if he made a fecond change, he Bro. cont. might have made a third, and fo in infinitum: but 405. Fitz. some have held, that he might plead an outlawry is like 178. after the last continuance, because Nullum tempus occurrit regi; but quære, whether the subject shall after plea puis darreign continuance partake * of the * Page 106. prerogative, or whether it shall be presumed, after such trisling, that it is frivolous and untrue, and therefore rejected.

If

Moor v. Green.
It need not be pleaded puis darreign continuance.
Bro. cont. 2.
26 H. 8. 2.
Yelv. 140.

If a matter happens after plea pleaded, and before issue joined, it shall be pleaded to be done pending the writ; but if it happens after issue joined, it shall be pleaded post ultimam continuationem.

If the plaintiff release the defendant after the award of the nisi prius, and if on the day of the nisi prius the jury remains proper delicium, the defendant may plead it at the day in bank; because the cause was not determined by the jury; and therefore he is at liberty to plead it as at any other day of continuance; and it may be tried by the jury when they appear

by the jury when they appear.

If the plaintiff after a writ of inquiry awarded, release the defendant, he cannot plead this release at the day in bank, because there is no day given him, and judgment is already given; but if the plaintiff dies, such death may be pleaded, because there is no person in court to whom judgment can be given; but now by the 8. W. 3. cap. 10. the executor, &c. may have a scire fac' on such an interlocutory judgment.

* Page 107.

*CHAP. X.

Of Amendments at common law, and by the statutes, and objections to the uncertainty of declarations.

WE are now come to motions in arrest of judgment; and here it is to be noted, that matter amendable, and matter of form, as the law now stands, will not arrest judgment; and therefore it is necessary to know what is amendable, and what not, what matter of form, and what matter of substance.

At common law there was very little room for amendments; and this was from the original confli-

tution

tution of the courts, as it appears by Britton; for Brit. 25 the judges were to record the parolls deduced before them in judgment, and Britton fays, in the person of Ed. 1. we have granted to our justices to record the pleas pleaded before them, because we will not fuffer their record to be a warrant to justify their own misdoings, nor that they eraze their words, nor amend them, nor record against their enrollment. This ordinance of Ed. 1. was fo rigidly observed, * that when justice Ingham in * Page 108: his reign, moved with compassion for the circum- 3 lnst. 72. flances of a poor man who was fined 13s. 4d. erazed the record, and made it 6s. 8d. he was fined 800 marks, with which a clock-house at Westminfter was built, and furnished with a clock; yet notwithstanding there were some cases that were amendable at common law.

First, all mistakes were amendable the same 8 Co. 157. term, because it is a roll of that term, and even a new roll might be brought in the cause, and con-

fequently the fame roll may be amended.

Secondly, that part of the count which records is Saund. 317. the writ was amendable at common law, though Vide pofice Brit. ubi fupre. of a subsequent term, as South for Southampton, without a tittle or dash, was amended at common law, because the recording of the writ was surplusage; and by the law, which constitutes the court, they were not to record against a former record with the writ; and therefore the court by that constitution was obliged to set such misprissions right.

Thirdly, an essoin, if the plaintist's name were missaken, or an essoin made as guardian, when 2 H. 4. 4. there was no guardian in the writ, this was F. Amendment amendable at common law; because such an ess-B. Amendment soin was contrary to the writ, and consequently 26. they * by such inrollment would contradict a for- * Page 109.

mer record.

d

n

ie

fe

nt

c.

an

es,

of

ed,

as and

da-

and

for

Mi.

tion

Fourthly, continuances could be amended at 6 E. 3. 25. common law, as A. brought a bill against B. who F. Amendment G 2 vouches 73.

vouches C. who enters into warranty, and pleads to iffue, a ven fac' and a jurat' inter A. & B. which jurat' ought to have been inter A. & C. because it appears by the record of the iffue, and the venire itself, that the jurat' ought to be between A and C. this is amended, because it was an involment against a former record.

42 E. 3. 6. F. Amendment 19. 8 C. 156.

Fifthly, in the case of the king they amended the writ, where the fault was in the form, as in a quare impedit brought by the king, the writ was prasentere instead of prasentare, and it was amended; for they supposed, that the original constitution of the court was not to destroy the prerogative of the king; this constitution of Ed. 1. was found to be very inconvenient, because the court being tied down fo strictly not to alter their records after the first term, several judgments were reverfed by the misprision of their clerks in processes; wherefore 14 E. 3. c 6. the justices had liberty on the challenge of the party to amend the process, where the clerk had mistaken one fyllable, or letter; and the judges afterwards construed the * Page 110. flatute fo favourably to * fuitors, that they extendedit to a word; † but they were not fo well agreed, whether they could make these amendments, as well after as before judgment; for they thought the authority touching that plea was determined by the judgment; and therefore to put an end to the divertity of opinions by 9 H. 5. c. 4. it is declared, that the judges shall have the fame power, as well after as before judgment, as long as the record in process is before them; this flatute is confirmed by 4 H. 6. cap. 3. with an exception,

to

te

fa

W th

ać

acc mi

COL

lac

Wh of

fat

and

of t

rem

i That is to say, (according to the annotator) the judges arbitrarily fet themselves or their interpretation, clearly above the law-For neither the letter nor the spirit of the 14th of Ed. 3. c. 6. extended to a whole word, as appears by the statute itself, pur mejprendre en enscrivant une lettre ou un filable trop on trop peu." (It was a liberty taken for the good of the subject, and thousands have felt the benefit of it.)

exception, that it shall not extend to process on

outlawry.

Though the flatute gave the judges a greater power than they had before, yet it was found, that they were too much crampt, having authority to amend nothing but process, and they did not construe this word in a large fignification, to comprehend all proceedings in real and perfonal actions, and in criminal and common pleas, but confined it to the mesne process and jury process; wherefore, to enlarge the authority of the judges, 8 H. 6. cap. 12. gives them power, by them and their clerks, to amend what they shall think in their discretion to be the misprision of their clerks in any record, process, and plea, warrant of attorney, writ, panel or return; per 8 H. 6. c. 15. the judges may amend the misprision of * their * Page III. clerks, and other officers, as theriffs, coroners, &c. in any record, process, or return before them, by error or otherwife, writing a letter or fyllable too much or too little. As the flatutes only extended to what the justices should interpret the misprission of their clerks, and other officers, it was found by experience, that many just causes were overthrown for want of form, and other failings, not aided by this statute, though they were good in substance; wherefore for the further relief of faitors, the 32 H.S. c. 30. it is enafted, that after verdict judgment shall be given according to the verdict, notwithflanding any mispleading, lack of colour, insufficient pleading, or jeofail, miscontinuance, discontinuance, misconveying of process, misjoining of the iffue, lack of warrant of attorney of the party against whom the iffue shall be tried, or other negligence' of the parties, their counfellors or attornies: this flatute, tho' much more extentive than the other, and though it very much enlarged the authority of the judges in amendments in millakes; yet it remedied no omission, but that the parties own neglect

neglect in not filing his own warrant should not after verdict prejudice the right of the party that had prevailed; therefore to remedy the omissions, which the prevailing party might be guilty of, * Page 112. as * well as the other fide, by 18 El. c. 14. after verdict no judgment shall be arrested for want of form, falfe latin, variance from the register, or other faults in form, in any writ original or judicial, count, declaration, plaint, bill, fuit, or demand, or for want of any writ original or judicial, by reason of any imperfect or insufficient return of any sheriff, or other officer, or for want of any warrant of attorney, or for any fault in, process upon or after any prayer in aid and voucher.

These statutes were only extended to the courts above; but the fubiequent flatutes carry to all courts of record, and remedy feveral defects and omissions not included in the former jeofalls; this was made 21 Ja. 1. c. 13, and ordains, that after verdict no judgment be flopped for variance in form only between the original or for lack of averring any life, for it be proved they are living; or because the venire, habeas corpus, or distringas was awarded to a wrong officer upon any infufficient fuggestion; or for that venue is in some misawarded, or sued out of more or fewer places than it ought to be, fo as some one place be right named; or for missnaming any of the jurors in furname or addition in any of the writs, * Page 113. or returns thereof, fo as they * be proved to be the same man as was meant to be returned; or for that there is no return on any of the writs, fo as a panel of the names of jurors be returned and annexed thereto; or for that the sheriss or other officers name is not fet to the return of fuch writ; fo as it appears by proof, that writ was returned by them; or for that the plaintiff in ejectment, or other personal action, being under age, appeared by attorney, and the verdict paffed

passed for him. The main design of this statute was to help any mistake in the jury process; but there were feveral things still to be fupplied, and feveral others to be adjudged form, which were always construed to be matters of fubstance, and confequently not aided by any of the former statutes: wherefore 16 & 17. Car. 2. c. 8. was made the act, which Twisden called the omnipotent act, which enacts, that after verdict no judgment shall be arrested for want of form, or piedges returned on the original; or for want of the sheriff's name, or for want of pledges upon any bill or declaration, or for want of any profert, or for want of vi & armis & contra pacem, or for the mistake of any name, sum, day, month or year, in any pleading, being right before, and to which the plaintiff might have demurred specially; nor for want of hoc parat' est verificare, or verificare per record or prout patet per * Page 114. record'; or for want of right venue, fo as the trial was by a jury of the proper county or place where the action was laid; nor judgment after verdict, cognovit actionem, or relicta verificatione, be reverted for want of misericordia or capitaur, or one entered for the other; or ideo concess. est per cur', for confiderat' eft, &c. or for that the increase of costs after verdict in any action or nonfuit in replevin are not entered to be at the request of the party, for whom the judgment was given; or that the colls in any judgment whatfoever are not entered to be by confent of the plaintiff; and all fuch omillions, variances, and defects, and other matters of like nature, not being against the right of the matter of the fuit, and whereby the iffue nor trial are altered, shall be amended where such judgment is given, or shall be removed by writ of error.

1

r

y

s,

n

n

7:

e

le

5,

De

10

is,

ed

11s

of

rit

iff

n-

ict led

The plaintiff declares, and the defendant pleads, and the plaintiff replies, and the defend-

ant

ant demurs, and the plaintiff joins in demurrer; the question was, whether the plaintiff should amend his declaration? And the true diffinction upon the debate of the judges at Serjeant's inn feemed to be this, that where there is a demurrer, and joinder in demurrer, if the cause be flill Page 115. in paper, * upon paying of costs, and giving the defendant liberty to alter his plea, the plaintiff may be at liberty to amend; because the pleading in paper came in only instead of the antient way of pleading ore tenus; and in the pleading ore tenus the record was only in fieri; and therefore, though a man had joined in demurrer, he might come before that was entered on record, and pray to withdraw his demurrer, and amend; but after the pleadings were entered on record of the fame term, then it could not be amended or altered; this upon the conflitution of Ed. 1 which forbids judges to alter or change any of the records or rolls of the court: and therefore no alteration can be made in a record, unless it be in the fame term, whilft the record is supposed to be in fieri; but out of this rule we must except all amendments made by virtue of the flatute of jeofails; for those enable the courts to amend at any time within the purview of fuch statutes.

M. 8 Rep. Strange 1150. Pruffet v. Martin ibid. Thorpe.

In the King's Bench, declaration on a bail bond the memorandum was of Trinity term, and the affignment was not till November following: and it was objected, that the plaintiff of his own shewing had no cause of action at the time of the action brought, the plaintiff prayed to amend; and it was * Page 116. objected, that there was nothing to * amend by, but the court gave them leave to file a new bill as of Michaelmas term, which is inflead of the original writ, and to amend the memorandum by that bill.

In all the statutes of amendments from 8 H. 6. there is an exception for appeals, indicaments of

high treason, and of felonies.

It

th

pa

pa

ru for

ma

cau

on

wit

ftat for

thu

a w

ren was

the ant

the

Fac

the

dift

COI oft

the

am

rol

thre

jeo:

10 ame

of f tha

the

the

cou

ing lubi

1

It has been a great question, whether any of thefe flatutes extend to the cafe of the king, or party, either to remedy the parties, where the party has prevailed against the king, or the king against the parties; and in both cases it has been ruled that these statutes do not extend to the king; for there only indictments, appeals, and informations on penal flatutes, are mentioned yet because the first statute says, it thall be amended on the challenge of the party, in which the king with decennary cannot be included, the insequent flatutes are supposed to be made on the fame plat- 1 civ. 511. form, and this exception, only abundante cautela; thus in a quo warranto, where the detendant claims awarren, and the defendant preferibes for a warren within the manor of Ridge; and the venire was awarded from the villa of Ridge, and not from the manor of Ridge; and a verdict for the defendant; the court awarded a new venire fac', because , Jon. 310. they held the king was not within * the flatute 21 * Page 117. fac. So in an information for a feditious libel, the venire was returnable 23 October, and the distringus tested the 24 October; that was a dif-Mod. Cafes 263. continuance, because not returned in the presence 2 Lev. 139. of the party; and though the queen had a verdict, Hale cont's the court would not amend it; though fuch amendment would have been warranted by the roll, where the distringus was well awarded; but three of the judges declared, that the statute of jeofails did not extend to the king.

e

d

d

1

f

e

it

d

te

0 h

d

-

it

n

15

as

al

6.

of

It

First, we are now to consider the several parts of judicial proceedings, how and where they are amendable, and what is matter of form and what of substance; by these statutes the general rule is, that the misprissions of the clerks and officers of the courts are amendable in all cases; and that the mistakes and omissions of the party, their counfellors, and attornies, are amendable, accord-Blackmore's ing as the flatutes make them matter of form or cafe. Substance; and this will appear through the whole

bee

WO

ud

we

If

and

the

rity

wh

war

Eli

Ho

but

El.

as i

chia

fou

the

ten

and

* W

reci

ceff

anc

mo

on'

of t

quoi

def

the

the

fen

orig

ran

he

of t

the

F

11.b 213. 1bid. 114.

thread of proceedings. And first, of this writ, which is amendable; by 8 H. 6. where the wit does not purfue the directions given to the curfitor, it may be amended by the instructions; a if the inflructions were for a pracipe, leven, thorpefrank, generolo, the writ shall be amended accord-Page 118, ing to the instructions * given to the cursitor; fo dentit for demilit, vacariam for vicariam, because the inflicactions to the curfitor in both cases were richt.

So where there are two defendants, and this writ is pracipe to them both, quod teneat conventionem; this shall be amended, because the instructions being against leveral, the curfitor had not

purfued them.

Cro. Car. 74. Turner v. Palmer.

A Quare impedit was brought, ad prasentend ad ecclefiam de Wotton; this is an error in the fubflance, the vicarage being diffined from the parfenage; and though it is a mistake in the substantial part of the writ, yet because the instructions of the curlitor was ad vicariam, and that it was a peremptory weit, they allowed it to be amended.

Secondly, the writ is amendable, if there be

false latin, or a word that is no latin, if it be only

in the form of the writ; but if it be in the fubstance it shall not be amendable: the statute (for the expedition of the fuitor) gives the court leave (where they have fufficient authority to proceed to amend the form, but not to make an authorty for themselves, by allowing the substance of the writ; fo if the writ fays, imaginavit pro imginatus, ave for avia, this shall be amendable; and though in Blackmore's case hos breve for hoc brew * Page 119. is * denied to be amended; yet later resolution hold the contrary; but the effential part of writ shall not be amendable; as in affize, when the tefte was duodemo die instead of duodecimo die, the writ was abated, because it would have been proceeding on a wrong writ; for this could not have

rit

25

De-

d-

10

ufe

ere

his

nti-

uc-

no:

end'

ub-

10-

an-

uc-

that

o be

be

only

Cub-

(for

eave

eed

ori-

e of

ama-

and

brea

tious

of a

vhett

e, the

pro

han

been

been pleaded in bar to a new affize, and the court would not amend it, because the cursitor was judge of the day, when the writ iffued, and there were no instructions to amend the writ by sei. fa. If writ be brought against executors in the debet and detinet, that shall not be amendable; because the action is misconceived, giving the court autho- 8 Co. 159. rity to proceed against executors jure proprio, when they are not fo chargeable by the law; the want of an original is helped after verdict, by 18 Eliz.c. 14. So is the want of a bill upon the file, Hob. 130, 134, 264, 282. Danvers's Abr. 357. but that statute does not help a vitious writ. Cro. El. 722. Yelv. 108. 1 Sid. 84.

But if the original be mifrecited on the roll, 1 Saund. 317. as in ejectment, if it be summonitus instead of atta- 5 Co. 87. chiatus, after verdict, if on fearch no original is found, fuch mifrecital shall not be erroneous; for the statute helps the want of an original to all intents, as if there had been a good one on the file; 1 Mod. 3. and if there had been a good one, fuch mifrecital i sid. 433. * would not have been erroneous; and if the * Page 120. recital of the original being but form, it was ne-

ceffary after verdict to amend the bill.

As to the mefne process, we must consider the ancient practice foon vanished, which was to fummon the defendant on the original in debt; and on the non est inventus returned, there was an entry of the pleadings obtulit fe, and had a new writ toties quoties; but the fummons giving notice to the defendants, and cauling perplexity in the process, they took a capias in the first instance, and made their original returnable the same term the defendants appeared; or if they took out a special original, they made the defendant file his warrant of attorney of the fame term, in which he really appeared: hence they took no notice of the mefue process on the roll, but began with the account, that the defendant was fummoned;

for

dan

the

exc

atta

f n

gati

of t

give

illeg

mer

cert

mo:

her

ou

erm

neru

d in

prou

um

s cui

real

ritho

o bo

eafts So

n ag

he b

evera

ecau

ut if

een

uali

Bu

not

e me

tales

tot

Wh

ne all ot p

for though it appeared, that the sheriff has returned non est inventus on the original, which was necessary in order to intitle the plaintiff to a capias; yet the defendant having filed his appearance, as of the term in which the original was returnable, this warrants the recital that he was fummoned; nor is this return of non est inventus to the writ contrary to the declaration; for * Page 121. the defendant might not be * found in the county to which the writ was directed, yet he might have had notice, and appeared according to the

Second.

fummons.

We come now to the fecond head, (viz.) the parol, which includes the whole pleadings till the jury process iffues; and here the milprisions of the clerks are amendable by 8 H. 6. c. 12. and likewife matters of form, though not fubflance, by 18 El. c. 14. and the subsequent statute; the meaning of this is, that the gift of the action must be substantially alledged; but any other circumstances relative to that action, shall be supposed by the verdict; for it was not the intention of the statutes perfectly to destroy the allegata; for this would have ruined all proceedings in the courts of justice; but the design was to cure any infufficiency, that was not of the effence of the plaintiff's action by the verdict.

What is substance and what not, must be determined in every action according to its nature: that feems properly to be the effence of the action, without which the court would have no fufficient grounds to give judgment. In the fame manner, that is of the effence of a plea, where the court has fufficient ground to dismiss the defendant on fuch plea found for him; and here it is to * Page 122. be noted, that by 2 H. 6. c. 7. * after a verdict the

plaintiff shall not discontinue.

2 Saund. 319.

If there be no fufficient certainty in that which is the gift of the action, there is no foundation for a verdict; for it cannot appear whether the damages

of the Court of Common Pleas.

is h

15

al

51

1-

10

1-

ht

ne

he

he

of,

nd

e,

he

on

rer

p.

oa d;

in

ire

of

ere:

9i-

ffi-

ine

the

nd-

to

the

ich

1011

the

nges

damages given by the jury be proportionable to the demand; or whether it be extravagant and excessive; and fo there would be no power to attaint the jury if they gave an ill verdict; and f no verdict can be given on fuch improper allegation, there can be no judgment; fo if any part of the demand be uncertain, and intire damages given, it is the same, because that part of the ellegata being uncertain, there cannot (by the former reason) be any damages given; but in the certainty of the allegation, the court requires no more than the nature of the thing required; and herefore if a contract be made in general terms, ou shall declare upon the contract in the same erms it was made; and therefore, a quantum neruit for diversa vestimenta & omnia alia materialia d inde spectantia is good; fo where an action is brought for things not subject to distinction by number, weight, or measure, it has been adjudged scumulum fieri spinas suas capit; so in trespass for reaking his close with beafts, and eating his peafe ithout faying how much, this is good, because o body * can number or meafure the peafe the * Page 123. easts have eat.

So when there are feveral parts which compose n aggregate body, there it is sufficient to mention he body, and it is not necessary to mention the everal parts: Trover for a thip and fails is good, ecause the fails go to make up the aggregate; ut if it had been for fails only it would not have een good without specifying the number and uality.

But trover for a beam with scales and weights not good for the weights, because there may e more or less of the weights used with the ales; and therefore all together are uncertain to the quantities or weight of them.

Where it is only by way of aggravation, and le allegation is uncertain, or that circumstance ot proved to the jury, yet this shall not arrest

the judgment; because the gist of the action is

the thing itself in demand, and the aggravation

th

al

p

b

la

el

th

ge

ti

th

di

th

a

fo

of

qu

de

go

tha

the

is

Li

der

po

the

ing

WO

per

cer

pro

La

WO

cie

and

WO

one

is only the manner of doing it; and though this may increase the damage something, yet it is not to be out of proportion of the thing in demand; as if trover be brought for a box with writings and charters, or vestments, this is good, because the trover is for the trunk, and for the detention of the goods therein, which are with-held by the value of the goods; and therefore anciently they allowed it only for a trunk locked, but now they admit it though the trunk be not locked.

because the detaining is still the same.

So in action upon the case against three, for arresting and imprisoning the plaintiff without just cause, it was alledged to be per conspirationem into eos habitam, and upon trial two of the desendants were found not guilty; so that the conspiracy by the verdict was sound against the plaintiff; yet he had judgment against the third desendant, because the gift of the action was the salse imprisonment, and the conspiracy was only matter of ag-

The declaration must likewise contain such certain affirmation, as that it may be traversed; for if there be no certain affirmation to make the declaration itself traversable, it will not be cured after a verdict, because it is a defect in the substance, if nothing be positively affirmed to be put in issue; and therefore if a declaration be quod cust the defendant affaulted him, and the desendant pleads not guilty, there is nothing put in issue for the pleadings have affirmed nothing; for the pleadings have affirmed nothing; for though the desendant be found guilty on the issue, yet the plaintiff cannot have judgment, because nothing is positively affirmed in the desendant by the * Allegata; but if the plaintiff declares quod cum the desendant cancessis se tento.

* Page 125 ant by the * Allegata; but if the plaintiff de clares quod cum the defendant cancessit se tener or quod cum mutuatus suisset non solvit, or cum dimissifet, and the defendant ejecit, in these case

15

n

115

ot

d;

ıfe

OR

he

the

ley

OW

ed,

ar-

juli

nta

anti

by

yet

be-

fon-

ag-

fuci

fed;

e the

urea

fub-

e pui

Cus

adani

iffue,

; for

that

t, be

fend

T de

tener

r. cui

cali ther there is a politive charge upon the defendant, and the quod cum being a branch of the whole period, and making one fentence with the latter part of it, it is a politive affirmation; therefore being politive, it is equally traversable with the latter part; and therefore a man may plead non est factum, non mutuatus, non dimisit; because though these came under the quod cum taken together with the rest of the sentence, being positive they make substantive issues of themselves.

If on a demife the plaintiff declares quod cum per quandam indenturam testat' existit quod demisit, this is ill, as it feems by Lutwich, after the verdict, because there is no positive affirmation that there was a demife; and so he has not set forth a demife in a manner that it may be traverfed. for the traverse must be of the demise, and not of the indenture; but if in covenant he declares quod per quandam indenturam testat' existit, that the defendant did covenant, this with a profert is good, because when he says the indenture attests that he did covenant, this is a certain allegation there was fuch an indenture; and the indenture is * only traversable on the issue non est factum. * Page 126. Licet is an affirmation for what is contained under it, as licet ad hoc faciend' fæpius requisit' is a polive affirmation that there was a request.

The pleadings are in the Latin tongue, that This is altered the records may be kept for ever without chang- by flat. 5 Geo. ing; and therefore there must be proper Latin 2. all proceedwords to express the cause of action, or a proper English. periphrafis, or a proper Latin description concerning sufficient certainties; but if there be no proper Latin words to express the thing, and the Latin being a dead language, there can be no words for new invented things, there it is fufficient to form the word under a Latin termination, and explain it by an Anglice; so when the Latin word is equivocal, and fignifies more things than one, it may be fixed down by an Anglice, because

the two languages being of a different genus, there may not be words in both that exactly anfwer each other; and therefore it may be often necessary to use such words as are equivocal.

But it is not fufficient to use a general word with an Anglice, where there are proper Latin substantives and adjectives to express the species; and the reason is, because the policy of the law, and the statute of 37 Ed. 3. c. 15. required the Page 127. memorials of * the court, which are always to be preserved, should be in Latin; and such a concession as this would bring all into English.

But if there be a proper Latin word in the declaration, and it be wrong Englished, and the jury find verdict generally for the plaintiff, this shall be good, because the court will intend that they give damages, for the Latin declaration, without having regard to the English.

If the word be utterly intentible, and intire damages given, the court will intend after verdict, that the jury gave no damages for it, an infentible part of the declaration being as none.

As in case of technical words, these are known to the law, and therefore they are not true Latin, but they are allowed in all pleadings; so if there be a Latin word syllabically mistaken, yet is it has so far the countenance of a Latin word that it may be known, it shall after verdict be good; for such syllabical mistakes would not tend so to the general corruption of the records, as it would to coin new Latin words where there were already good ones in that tongue, or using generally words with Anglices.

* Page 128. be so brought before the jury, that * their verdict may be given under the peril of an attaint.

Now the jury may be attainted two ways; first, where they find contrary to evidence, 2dly, where they find out of the compass of the allegata; but

b

W

h

li

je

h

b

ta

th

it

bi

th

m

ju

V

fu

th

de

al

tif

it

tu

tif

tri

fin

m

to

ur

Wi

, -

n

d

n

;

V,

ne

be

n-

he

he

115

hat

on,

ire

er-

an

le.

nwo

tin,

nere

fit

that

od;

o to

bluc

eacr

rally

lara-

may

ver-

nt.

firf.

vhere

; but

to

to attaint them for finding contrary to evidence is not easy, because they may have evidence of their own conuzance of the matter by them, or they may find upon diffrust of the witnesses on their own proper knowledge; but if they find upon evidence that which the allegata does not warrant as damages greater than alledged, there it is easy to subject them to an attaint, because it is manifest, that what is fo found is on evidence not corresponding to their iffue; and this was the only curb they had over the juries; for the judge being master of the allegata, and best knowing what proved the allegata, if they did not follow his direction touching the proof, they were then liable to an attaint; and therefore fince the judges, from the difficulty of attainting the jury, have granted new trials, whereby jurots have been freed from the fear of attaint, they have taken greater liberty in giving verdicts; but fince the attaint is only disused, and not taken away. it is necessary that a certain matter should be brought before them; and therefore in trefpais, the quantity and value of * the thing demanded * Page 129. must be so conveniently described, that if the jury find damages beyond fuch quantities and value, it may be apparently excellive, and they subject to the attaint: and fo on special contracts, they must be set forth so precisely, that if evidence be given of another contract, not in the allegations, and yet the jury find for the plaintiff, they may be subject to an attaint; and were it otherwise, if the plaintiff had a jury to his turn, and the judge should direct that the plantill be nonfuit, yet if the plaintiff would frand the trial, the judge must give positive directions to find for the defendant; and there would be no means of compelling the jury to find according to the directions of the judge, if they were not under the terror of an attaint if they did otherwife; fo this is the only curb that the law has put

put in the hands of the judges to restrain juron

from giving corrupt verdicts.

Hence therefore it was a necessary conclusion, that if part of the thing in demand was uncertainly fet out, that the jury gave intire damages, it would deftroy the verdict, and arrest the judgment; because that part of the declaration was fo uncertainly fet out, that the jury could not find under the peril of that attaint: as to that it could * Page 130. not be a good verdict, and it cannot be faid * that the damages are to be applied to what is certainly demanded in the declaration, because the judge of the nist prius is to receive evidence of every thing in iffue, and to fettle the allegations; it is not his duty to diffinguish the uncertain part of the declaration from what is certain, and to proportion the damages as to what is alledged with good and proper certainty, for that were to take upon him the business of the court above from whence the iffue was directed: but if there be words made use of that are uncertain, where there are proper latin expressions to fignify it, they were to be taken as perfect nullities; and therefore no damages are supposed to be given for them; for when nothing is fet forth, no damages are prefumed to be given; and therefore this is different from an uncertain allegation in a declaration which the judge is not prefumed to fift or distinguish.

there be a judgment by nihil dicit, and intire damages given, this is also bad, though the jury do not find under the penalty of an attaint; because the allegation cannot be bad, in case they had gone to issue, and good, if they had not; nor is it proper to trust a jury further on an inquest, than they would trust them on an issue; nor would it be easy to settle how far, * and when they are mistaken, where there was such uncertainty in the allegations; and therefore they have

If there be any thing uncertainly alledged, and

* Page 131

fettled

b

f

8

C

fo

m fc.

V

fe

ha

in

VE

tie

W

th

of the Court of Common Pleas.

fettled one uniform rule, that the judgment shall be arrested, where intire damages are found on such incertain allegations by an inquiry of damages as well as an issue.

3

1,

it

as ad ad

at

ry

is of

0-

th

be

re it,

nd

10

re

ift

nd

la-

do ife

ad

15

or

en er-

ve

ed

* CHAP. XI.

Of Repugnancy.

TTE are now come to repugnancy, and how far it is cured by verdict; furplufage does not vitiate according to the maxim, utile per inutile non vitiatur; therefore, if fuch furplufage be repugnant to what was before alledged, it is void, for contradictions cannot fland; and therefore what was redundant, and need not be put into the sentence, and contradicting what was before, is as it it had not been put there; and if it had not been inferted, the count would have been good; for ex hypothesi is a furplusage and the count is good without it; as if in trover the plaintiff de- 2 Cro. 148. clares, that he was on March 4, possessed of goods, Yelv. 94. &c. and that afterwards, scilicet 1 March, they came to the defendant, who converted * them : * Page 132. to in ejectment the plaintiff declares on a leafe made to him 3 May, and the defendant postea, scilicet 1 May, ejected; this was held good after verdict; for by the postea it appears, the defendant committed a tort on the plaintiff's title; and when he fays a repugnant day, it is as if he had laid none; and if no day be laid, it shall be intended after verdict, that the tort was committed before the action brought; for it would be very foreign, after verdict, to intend that the action was brought by the spirit of prophecy for a wrong to be committed afterwards; and belides the jury could not take conuzance of any fact H 2 done

done fince the action brought, for that was not in iffue.

Quare, whether this declaration be not right on a general demurrer; for it would in that case be foreign, to suppose the tort to be done after the action brought: but the desendant may take advantage of it, on a special demurrer, because the plaintiff has not formally laid the injury be-

Note; the scilicet is not always explanatory, or

fore the action brought.

1 Saund. 169.

mere furplusage, but often contains what is necessary to be alledged; as if the condition of a bond be to stand to the award of J. S. so that the award be made on or before the 16 March, and Page 133 no award * be pleaded; and the plaintist replies, that after the making the bond, and before the action brought, scilicet 16 die Martii, they made an award, here the scilicet is a direct assumation, that the award was made within the time limited by the condition, and may therefore be traversed.

2 Cra 549.

In debt on obligation the defendant pleads payment of 50l. 14 Junii 11 Jac. according to the condition; the plaintiff replies, quod non folvit 50l. præd' 14 Augusti anno 11 supradict' & eundem diem solvisse debuisset, & hoc, &c. the verdict found, quod non solvit præd' 14 Junii, prout the defendant had alledged; the objection here was, that no isfue was joined, because they do not meet in the time the money was paid; but the word August being plainly surplusage; for when he said quod non solvit præd' 14 die, it is a sufficient traverse without the word August, and August is plainly repugnant to the word præd', for præd' refers it to June, and such surplusage being a repugnancy to what was before material, was idle and void.

Henbury v. Ireland. 2 Cro. 618. The bill was found 18 Jac. fetting forth, that the defendant 20 Januarii, 17 Jac. beat the plaintiff's fervant, per quod the plaintiff fervitium magnum tempus, scilicet prædict 20 Martii 17 supradict

usque

usque idem Martii extunc prox' sequen' perdidit; on a " nihil dicit a writ of inquiry was awarded; and " Page 134. 101. damages; the defendant has judgment, because the gift of the action being for the loss of the fervice, is not ex necessitate rei relative to the battery; and the plaintiff having laid a different month from the battery, there is nothing in the record to determine the court to the 20th of January, and to reject the word March as repugnant; and if the loss of the fervice stands on the month of March, 17 March following, it takes three months of the time of the action brought, for which the jury was not authorized to give damages.

If there be a repugnancy in any point material there it is not helped by verdict, unless the verdict appears to have been given on a different part of the declaration; as if the plaintiff on his own thewing had not fo much rent due as he declares for; it is plain he may release the regugnant part of his demand after general demurrer; because the defendant having answered to the whole, fo that there is no discontinuance, the plaintiff may discharge any part of it, and the jury (ut videtur) may find the part that is right, as the plaintiff discharges what is wrong: but if a man makes several demands in one declaration, and in the toto fe attingunt miscasts the whole sum, and makes * it more than what is contained in the feveral ar- * Page 135. ticles demanded, this shall not vitiate, because the casting up one total is mere furplusage; and that total not agreeing with the parts, fuch difagreeing furplulage cannot hurt; for it is plainly the mistake of the clerk in not computing the demand right, and not of the party in thewing any particular demand otherwife than he ought.

t

e

1

d e

y

0

0

But if a man bring a plaint in an inferior court, and the declaration fets forth particular demands, which over-run the furns mentioned in fuch plaint, though never to little, and the jury give a verdict according to the sums in the declaration,

be

W

iff

fta

fu

ra

th

ca

11

an

at

ve

pl

lu

10

W

on

ch

th

pl

pr

co

ma

in

th

m

co

the

till

m

rei

tal

by

he

the

fo

pr

Ju

Ti

this is erroneous; for the plaint in an inferior court is in nature of a writ, and is the original and foundation of the whole proceedings; and if the declaration, verdict, or judgment, are for more than is contained in the writ or plaint, it does not purfue that authority given the court by fuch writ or plaint; and if it be beyond it never fo little, by the fame reason they might go to larger sums in infinitum; and then the writ or plaint could be no direction for the future proceedings of the court.

But if the plaintiff remits such overplus declared for, and given by the jury before judgment, * Page 136. it seems no error; because judgment * would be

given according to the writ or plaint.

If an acceptance of rent of an assignee be pleaded, quod receperunt & acceptaverunt de præd' J. V. redditum sicut fertur superius reservat', (viz.) sex denarios de redditu præd'; this is repugnant, because it is in a point persectly material, and it is repugnantly pleaded, because it is saying he received the whole rent, and yet received but part, which is in substance a different thing, and sex denarios is no surplusage, because it is the certain sum that is alledged to be accepted; and therefore the repugnance is not in the form only.

5 H. 7. 27. Cro. Jac. 264. 1 Saund. 116. But if the replication be repugnant to the declaration, it makes the declaration bad, because the subsequent pleading falsifies the declaration; as if a man declares on a bond made I Martii, and the desendant pleads a release 2 Martii; this falsifies the declaration, because it could not be made the first. So if the rejoinder falsifies the bar, the bar is vitious, and a verdict doth not help what is matter of substance, but the matter of form only. Matter of substance is whatever is essential to the gift of the action; for it was not the intent of the statute of jeofuils to supply any thing that is essential to the action that is not put in issue; because if it had been put in issue, it might have

been * found against the plaintiff, and a verdict * Page 137. will not help that, which was never put in iffue; for the action may be ill founded, not withstanding that verdict, if something essential to maintain the plaintiff's action was not put in iffue; but if the verdict be upon a matter collateral to the plaintiff's action, and all the effentials to the action are well alledged, there no advantage can be taken, because, when the cause is tried, the whole weight of it is put on the point in issue; and where the parties had been at the expence of a trial, it was the intent of the statutes, that the verdict should determine the cause, and the wrong pleading of fuch collateral matters should not turn to the difadvantage of any of the parties; for the benefit of fuch collateral matters is waived when they have put the stress of the controversy on the point in iffue; as if trefpass be brought for Saund. 246. chasing the plaintist's beasts, the defendant says the place where, &c. is his frank tenement; the plaintiff in his replication prescribes for common pro magnis averiis in the place where, levant and couchant in Dale, and does not shew they were magn' averia, or that they were levant or couchant in Dale; yet if the prescription be in issue, and that be found for the plaintiff, he shall have judgment and because the issue being on the right of * * Page 138. common, which is collateral to the injury done by the beafts, and the right being found for the plaintilf, the defendant has waved all other benefit he might have taken of the replication by a demurrer; and therefore the statutes hinder him from taking any benefit after verdict; for the defendant Co. El. 453. by his issue confesses the injury in chasing the S. P. beafts, if there be no right of common, and waves the advantage he might have taken on demurrer, for the plaintiff's not bringing himself within the Post. 141, 2. prescription of what was effential, to shew an injury in chaling the beafts. So in affumpfit by John Thomas executor of Archibald Joyce against Willoughby,

S

S

afte

for

not

be :

unl

the

mat

tio

be 1

verd

nec

mu

bar

for

the

bad

the will

defe

and

not

peq

eade

der

but

hav

in f

P

will

ned the

and

did

cau:

dera

A

Cro Jac. 587.

loughby, for a promise to the testator, that in consideration that the testator would deliver to him on request 401. to repay him at such a day, and the declaration was quod idem Archibald divit in such a quod ipse idem Arch' delivered him the 401. on non assumpsit, and a verdict for the plaintist, the judgment was arrested, because there is no averment that the money was delivered, the delivery of the money being the consideration of the promise, which was the gift of the action.

Bulft. 173.

* Page 139.

But if any thing effential to the plaintiff's action be not set forth, there, though the verdict be found for him, he cannot have judgment; because, if the effential part of the declaration is not put in iffue, the verdict can have no relation to it; and if it had been put in iffue, it might have been found false; and such matter, as is the foundation of the action, not being alledged, there is no ground for the judgment; as if an action of trespass be brought by a master for the affaulting and beating of his servant, and does not say, per quod servitium amiss, this is ill after verdict.

Whatever is effential to the gift of the action, and cannot be cured by a verdict, are fuch fubftantial facts as must be laid in proper time and place, so that the defendant may traverse them distinctly if he pleases; for as he may traverse the whole, so he may traverse each substantial part, in order to put the weight of the cause upon any thing, that will put an end to it : and this is allowed that the jury may be more eafily attainted of false verdict; but such parts of a declaration, as cannot make a substantive issue, shall be intended after verdict, because they are matter of form only, which the statute defigned to cure; and therefore, if the plaintiff declares, that the defendant promised, if the plaintist married his daughter at his request, that he would give him 101. and alledges in fact that he did marry her but does not alledge any request, this is good after after a verdict; * because the request is only a * Page 140. form in which the promife was conceived, and not an effential part of the promife to be proved to be precedent to the marriage; for the father, unless he had defired the match, had never made the promise; and therefore secundum subjectam materiam, it cannot be supposed to be the intention of the parties, that a previous request should be necessary, and therefore shall be intended after verdict.

As the plaintiff's action must have all effentials Cro. El. 778. necessary to maintain it, so the defendant's bar must be essentially good; and if the gist of the bar be bad, it cannot be cured by a verdict found for the defendant; but if it had been found for the plaintiff, he thall have judgment, either for the badness or falsehood of the bar; but if it be bad only in form, a verdict will cure it; and if the gift be traverfed, all collateral circumstances will be admitted after verdict.

1

o -

d

d

a,

1-

e, 7-

he

rt,

nv 11-

cd

m,

n-

rm ind

de-

his im

her

bod

ter

Thus in action of debt on fingle bill, and the 2 Cro. 377defendant pleads payment without an acquittance, Bell. and it is found for the defendant, yet he shall not have judgment, because the gift of the plea is bad, fince the obligation is in force until diffolved codem ligamine que ligatur, and the acquittance un- Cro. El. 778. der the leal of the plaintiff is the gift of the bar; but if it be found for the plaintiff, * he shall * Page 141. have judgment, because the bar was not only bad in substance, but found false, so that his declaration stands unimpeached.

But if the bar be only bad in form, a verdict 2 Cro. 435. will fupply it; as if in debt on a bond conditio- Hoimes & ned for the payment of 100l. 25 Junit prox', and the defendant pleads payment on the 20 June, and it is according to the condition found that he did pay 201. though this bar be bad in form, because it does not follow the condition, the plaintiff might have taken advantage of it on special demorrer, yet the verdice having found payment

before

before the day, that in law is payment at the day, and the substance is found: but where the gist of the bar is good, though some of the collateral circumstances are omitted, which the plaintist, by demurring specially might have taken advantage of, yet if they go to issue on the bar, and that be found for the defendant, the verdict will cure this omission, because the collateral matters are admitted and waved by going to issue on the gist of the bar.

Ante 138.

2 Co. 44-Prame v. Fringer. Page 142.

As in trespass vi & armis, the defendant justifies, for that he had common for all his beafts levant and couchant in the place, &c. by prefcription, and put in the faid cattle ut eand' commun', &c. there iffue was on the prescription, and found for the * defendant: exception was taken that he did not aver that the beafts were levant and couchant; but this after verdid was intended; for the gift of the bar is the right to burthen the plaintiff's foil; and when the plaintiff takes iffue on that, and controverts that right, he admits there was not any trespass, in case that the defendant had such a right; he likewife admits that the defendant has brought himfelf within that right, because it would have been nugatory to have denied that right of prescription, which if it had been found, the plaintiff had not brought himself within it; and therefore the traverse of such gift of the bar is a waiver, and admittance of fuch collateral circumstances.

CHAP

ngs, W

mei

he :

cour

art

mer

ut t

Af

arla

hat i

p t

qual

ruct

he o

nore's

ath

een

ut h

le joi eems ot m In ill ar his is hey coecauf Mice But he ge

CHAP. XII.

1

.

d 11

27

its

e-

11-

n,

n

its

8

ht

he

at in

e-

nen.

p-

tiff

ore

er,

S.

The Manner of Amendment.

TAVING thus confidered what is matter of I form, and what is substance in the plead-

We come in the next place to confider how the mendments may be made through every part of he record; and here we may observe, that the ourt during the fame * term may amend any * Page 143. part of the roll, because it is yet in fieri, and such mendments might be made at common law without the aid of any of the statutes.

After the first term you may amend the im- Rol. 198. arlance roll by the office paper book, because Hob. 264. hat is instructions to the prothonotary to enter Cro. El. 258. p the imparlance roll; and therefore that is Lit. Rep. 278. qually amendable as the original is by the in- Hob. 184. ructions given the curfitor; but this is done on Latch 86. he oath of the defendant's attorney, as in Blacknore's case to amend the writ, Chamberlain's case; ath must be made that the paper book has not een altered fince the defendant's attorney has ut his hand to it, which he always does when e joins in iffue or demurrer; and this amendment ems to be reasonable, because the defendant has ot misled or deceived.

In the King's Bench this will amend both the ill and the roll of the office paper book, because his is instruction for making them both; but hey cannot amend from any other paper book, ecause such book is not instructions left in the flice to make both the roll and the bill.

But where there is no office book, as where be general iffue is pleaded, it feems they should amend

ro

rol

pat

cor

ten

of

try

im

rigi

cau

ano

ack

lanc

par. whi

the

begi

take

ame

bles

the

may white

the e

torn

ger

cann

that

plea milta

quere a fia

50l.

if th

phi to 15 er cour

If

amend either the bill or the roll, by the declara-* Page 144. tion of which they gave * the defendant a copy because fuch declaration is the only infruction to the clerk of the office to enter.

If the bill on the file be with blanks, or the imparlance roll be with blanks for dates or quantities, yet it may be amended by the paper book by the clerks themselves, till a recordatur be entered on the verdict returned on the mife prius roll Worthey's Case, but after such recordatur it can be only be amend. ed by the court, for the roll lies with the prothonotary to be made up according to the paperbook, till the recordatur of the verdict be allowed but if after the recordatur be entered it is entered on the roll in flatu quo, then the court is supposed to take conuzance of it in what manner it the was; and if clerks might afterwards alter therol after entry of the verdich, they might amend

in the verdice, which is in the nife prius roll, and

which was fettled by the judge of nifi prius, and

cannot be altered but by rule of court.

Roll. Ab. 198, 199. Hob. 251.

Jon. 304. Cro. El. 722.

Cro. Jac. 654.

Litt. Rep.

Kelynge 52.

Latch 164.

The imparlance roll cannot be amended by the original writ, because the original writ is the thority on which the court proceeds, which the plaintiff must profecute; for otherwise, he doe not proceed in that cause; if the count varies form, the defendant may plead it in abatement for he has abated his own writ by profecuting it in a different manner; but if it varies in in * Page 145. stance, the defendant may move in arrest of judg ment, because he has no authority to proceed having profecuted a different matter from the which the writ has given authority to the cou to take cognizance of.

Cro. | 12. 92. 415. Lit. Rep. 72. Hutt. 33. 3. 1 Danv. 345.

The imparlance roll cannot be amended the plea roll, or nisi prius roll; for the impa lance roll is the original declaration, and the ple

T Compare the reasoning here adduced with the Note in gold

12.

to

the

anok,

en-

oli;

nd-

-010

Det-

ed;

erel

ofed

hen

rol di

200

2.10

y the

21 h th

doe es il

nent

ing fub

udg

ceed

tha

cour

d b

npar

e ple

n F. 59

roll is no more than a recital of the imparlance roll; and therefore it begins with an alias prout patet, and it is no more than the count of the fecond term, to which the defendant pleaded ore tenus; and the nift prius roll is but a transcript of the plea roll, to carry the iffue into the coun-

But if a declaration be against J. B. and he Roll's Ab. 159, imparls by the name of R. B. but pleads by the right name J. B. this is no material fault, because it is only a continuance from one term to another; and by pleading by the right name, he

acknowledged he imparted by a wrong name.

The plea roll may be amended by the impar- Cro. Car. 92. lance roll, because it is but a recital of the im- Lit. Rep. 72. parlance roll, but not by the nife prius roll, Hutt. 92. which is but a transcript from the plea roll: if Cro. Jac. 354. the plaintiff or defendant be well named in the Cro. El. 204. beginning of the record, * but afterwards be mif- * Page 146. taken, and the name is idem Jonans, this shall be amended, because that is but a mistake in syllables by the apparent vitium feriptoris, which it is the intent of the statute to amend.

If there be a mistake in the attorney's name, it Moor 711. may be amended by the warrant of attorney, Rigs. which being precedent will amend the roll, and Yelv. 38. the court will take notice that it is the fame at-Hughes v, torney that appeared; but if the name of a stran- Cro. Jac. 13. ger be put into the plea, this will be error, for it cannot then appear to the court the fame man that appeared did plead, and then there was no plea pleaded; and fo if the defendant's name be mistaken in the putting in his plea as in an auditu querela, the plaintiff furmifes that he entered into a flat. 300% to the defendant for the payment of 501. per ann. for fix years, to John Bufh a itranger; if the defendant comes and protestand, &c. pro phi to id. Johan. Bush instead of the defendant, this is erroneous, because it does not appear to the Cro. El. 94. court but that the plea was put in by the firanger

to whom the payment was to be made, and not the defendant; but if the plea had been that the præd' plaintiff ven' & dicit, instead of the defend. ant, this will be construed to be but the mispriss. on of the clerks; for it is apparent that the plain-Page 147. tiff could not be the * defendant, but it shall be fupposed to be put in by him that appeared, fince there is no other person.

Ruffel and Grange.

Poft. 161.

CHAP. XIII.

Of Iffues .

V E come now to the joining of iffues; and here we must observe that where the iffue is immaterial, the verdict will not aid it, but where it is informal it is helped.

An informal iffue is where it is not traverfed in

a right manner.

A verdict cannot help an immaterial iffue, because what is alledged in the pleadings is not put in the issue, or, if it be, is not decisive between the parties, and so the verdict is no good founda-

tion for the judgment.

If what is material in the pleadings be not put in the iffue, it is not made necessary to be proved on that trial, and will not in all cases be a foundation for the judgment; for the courts in thest cases are judges on what point they ought to go to iffue, fo that it be a legal charge by the plain-* Page 148. tiff, or discharge * by the defendant, fince it is the province of the judges to fettle the matters of law,

3 Leon. 66.

and the jury the matters of fact. If the plaintiff declares on a promise to find the plaintiff, his wife, and two servants, with meat form and drink for three years, on request; the defendant pleads that he promised to find the plaintiff 20th

ł

t

tl

C

th

n th ifl

be

tif

pla 101

ha tra

de iffi

the

car

tho the

and

cor ta.

pay of

the dict

teri

verf

plea

plea

S men ot he

dfi-

nbe

ice

and

eis

nere

d in

he-

put

reea nda-

put

o ved

oun-

thele o go

lain-

is the

law,

nd the

meat

and

and his wife, with meat and drink, &c. absque, that he did promise to find, &c. the plaintiff replies, the defendant did promise to find for three years next following, & hoc petit, &c. and verdict for the plaintiff, yet he shall not have judgment, becaufethe promife is traverfed in the same manner; the plaintiff in his replication alledges to promife next after he was married, which is not the fame the defendant traversed, so that they are not at issue on a point traversed in bar.

So in trespass, the defendant pleads an award 1 Rol. Rep. 96. between the plaintiff and J. S. of the one part, and the defendant on the other part, and plaintiff replies quod non habetur talis concordia between plaintiff and defendant as alledged, and on iffue joined, verdict for the plaintiff; yet he shall not have judgment, because the plaintiff does not traverse the same concord that is set out in the defendant's bar, but puts another * concord in iffue not alledged in the defendant's bar, between the plaintiff and defendant only, and the court cannot be certain which is proved on the trial; and tho' it may be faid in this case that either may bar the action, yet only one thing is to be put in iffue; and if it should be otherwise, there would be no correspondence between the probata and the allegata. So in debt on bond, conditioned for the Cro. Jac. 585. payment of 1051, the defendant pleads payment of 1001. secundum formam & effectum conditionis, the plaintiff replies non folvit prad' 1051. and verdict quod non folvit the faid 1051. this is an immaterial iffue not aided, for the plaintiff has not traverfed the fame payment that is in the defendant's plea.

So in debt on bond, conditioned for the payment of 60l on the 25th of June, the defendant pleads payment on the 20th of June, secundum formam & effectum conditionis, and issue is joined, fend- and the verdict finds quod non folvit 60l. at the aintif 20th; the plaintiff shall not have judgment; for

* Page 149.

Yelv. 54. Cro. Jac. 44. the iffee is dehors the matter of the condition, and so void, it might have been paid the 25th, and though it was not paid the 20th, fo it does not appear that the condition was broke; but where the issue is decilive between the parties, though it be not fo apt, yet this shall be cured after a verdict;

Page 150. as in replevin, the * defendant avows that Ellen Enderby was feized in fee, and took Pigot to husband, and had by him Thomas; that Ellen and that Thomas granted a rent-charge, for which he distrains; the plaintiff replies, that one Fisher being feized in fee, gave the land to J. Enderby in tail, who had iffue Ellen; that F. Enderby died, and Ellen entered being feized in tail, took Pigot to hufband and had iffue Thomas who is dead, who granted, &c. absque hoc, quod Ellen was feized in fee, though this was an informal iffue; for the plaintiff ought to have traverfed that Thomas the grantor was feized in fee; yet it is a decifive iffue; for it is allowed on both fides, that Thomas was in by descent from Ellen, and if Ellen was feized in fee, Thomas was too, and confequently had good right to make the grant. If an iffue be on a point that is impossible in the

fubiliance and nature of the thing, it is not cured by the verdict; but if it be only impossible in the manner and form of it, a verdict will cure; for where the substance is impossible no verdict can cure it, because it cannot make that true which cannot possibly be; but where it is only impossible in the manner of it, the thing which is possible may be found to be or not, and the mannet which is impossible totally rejected: thus if an * Page 151. action of assault and * battery be brought, and the defendant justifies by conveying to himself and estate by copy of parcel of the manor of C. whereof D. is feized, and that the plaintiff came upon it, and that he laid his hands mollitur; the plaintiff replies and conveys to himfelf an effate by copy of another parcel of the manor, and that I.

1

1

0

m

10

10

it

m

th

CO

pa

fer

ag be

day

for

the

rep ma

/um

non

nec છ

for clot

wer hav

tion

farie tion

that

and

plain

men plead

S

lord of the manor had for himself and tenants a way over defendant's piece of land; issue is

joined, and verdict for the plaintiff.

This is a void prescription; a copyholder being 4 Co. 31. b. originally but a tenant at will, could not prescribe at will, but in the name of the lord; for an easement in the manor he could not prescribe in the lord's name, but must lay it by custom, as the lex Hob. 112. loci being laid here by way of prescription, is in its own nature void, and the verdict could not make that, which was repugnant in the nature of the thing, to be true or false, and by consequence could not help it.

But in debt on a bond conditioned for the Cro. Car. 25. payment of 1001. on 31st of September, and de-78. fendant pleads payment at the day, and it is found against him, the plaintiff shall not have judgment, because the payment is what is material, and the day is impossible, and altogether idle and void, for not being paid before the end of that month

the obligation is absolute.

R

0

1

e

.

n

1,

of

d,

ed

he

he

f-

as

135

tly

he

red

in

e ;

liet ich

ffi-

ble

net an

and and

erc-

n it,

ntiff

opy

D.

lord

* But where the substance of the bar, and the * Page 152. replication be put in issue, though it be infor- 1 Sid. 341. mally, yet it is cured by a verdict; as if an af- 42. Burton and sumpfit be for wares fold, and the defendant pleads nonage, and the plaintiff replies they were for necessaries, & hoc petit quod inquiratur per patriam, Hob. 113. E præd' defendant fays the like, this iffue is informal, because the plaintiff ought not to have closed the issue, but averred his affertion that they were for necessaries which the defendant might have denied; yet fince the matter of his replication be put in iffue, viz. whether they were necesfaries or not, the defendant has waived all objections to the form, and by fuch a waiver it appears, that he is not any wife injured by not rejoining, and it being found that they were necessaries, the plaintiff ought to prevail.

So in debt on a bond conditioned for the pay- Cro. Car. 316, ment of 81. on a certain day, and defendant 317.

Parker and pleads payment on the day in the condition, & Taylor.

de

de hoc ponit se super patriam, & præd' the plaintiss; and sound for the plaintiss; here the desendant has closed the issue on the plaintiss by the hoc ponit se super patriam, yet the desendant cannot take advantage of the informality of his own plea, and it is waived on both sides, when they go to issue on the substance of it.

* Page 153. 1 Sid. 345. Cro. Car. 599. 8 Co. 66. *But if in trespass the desendant pleads a special justification, and the plaintiff replies, de injuria sua propria, there though the issue is sound for the plaintiff, yet it is wrong after verdict, because the injuria sua propria does no more than affirm the declaration, and does not consess or deny the bar; and therefore the gist of the bar is not put in issue at all, but rather stands confessed by the replication, since the cause is not traversed; for saying it was de injuria sua propria, is not more than saying, that notwithstanding the cause mentioned in the bar, the desendant committed the injury, which the bar being a sufficient excuse to, cannot be; but it does not in the least put the bar in issue.

If the issue be joined on a negative pregnant, that is an issue that rather supposes an affirmative, than the contrary, though it is bad on the demurrer (because the plea, &c. is not a certain affirmation or negative of any single point in question) yet after verdict, this being only an error in phrase shall be good; as if an action of trespass be brought for entering into his house, the defendant pleads the daughter licensed him to enter, by which he entered; the plaintiff replies, quod non intravit per licentiam suam, though this replication be a negative pregnant, yet it will be good

after verdiet.

* Page 154. 2 Cro. 312. Gill and Class.

* So is it be an affirmative pregnant of a negative; as if in debt for rent on a lease, the defendant pleads, quod querens nihil habuit in tenemento tempore dimissionis; and the plaintiss replies, quod habuit in tenemento, without saying what estate, though this had been bad on a demurrer;

because

b

p

e

h

fe

fe

re

W

an

ra

m

tra

ab/

cal

hia

and

be

and

jud

hoc

yet

deed

in f

feize

fend

cove

the o

ved

the a

fed

bad 1

may

fallit

to th

plea,

plea

If

S

because by not shewing what estate he had, it is I Sid. 444. pregnant of this negative, that he had not such an I Vent. 70. estate by which he had power to demise, nor that \$2 Keb. 628. he had not such an estate as he could demise.

So in an action of affault and battery, the defendant pleads, that the plaintiff neglected his fervice, per quod moderate caffigavit: the plaintiff replies, quod non moderate caffigavit; and the iffue was found for the plaintiff; for though this be an informal traverse and bad on demurrer, being rather a traverse of the chastisement than of the moderate manner of doing it; and the right traverse should have been de injuria sua propria absque tali causa; yet after verdict it is good, because the jury have ascertained that he did beat him immoderately.

In an action of debt, if not guilty be pleaded, Noy. 56.
and there be a verdict for the plaintiff, it shall Cro. El. 778.
be aided by the statute, because, being an ill plea
and a false one the plaintiff ought to have his
judgment, both for the badness and for the salsehood; but if the * verdict was for the defendant, * Page 155.
yet the plaintiff should have judgment, because the

deed is not answered by the bar.

d

is

ie

nt

ıft

ıt,

e,

1-

13-

n)

in

ass

le-

er,

uod

oli-

boc

ga-

de-

nen-

lies,

ef-

rer;

So in an action of covenant, that C. was feized i sid. 289. in fee, and assigns for breach, that C. was not feized in fee, and fic infregit conventionem, the defendant pleads non infregit conventionem, though in covenant the defendant ought to traverse either the deed or the breach, and both cannot be involved in non infregit conventionem, because the gist of the action lies on the deed, which must be traversed by itself: yet when the defendant pleads a bad plea, which is found against him, the plaintist may have judgment either for the insufficiency or fallity of the plea.

If a defendant pleads to part, and fays nothing Ante.
to the other part, and the plaintiff replies to such
plea, without taking judgment for part of the Pest 158.
plea not answered to; this is a discontinuance,

I 2

becaufe

because he does not follow his entire demand in the court; but such discontinuance is cured by the verdict, because it was the intent of the statute, that when they descended to issue they should waive all objections of this nature; for both parties by descending to issue supposed a cause in court; and therefore they should not afterwards make any objections, that the cause was out of court before trial.

* Page 156. 3 Lev. 55. Graver v. Morely.

* But if the verdict itself made a discontinuance. and found part of the declaration, and nothing to the other part, this is a discontinuance not cured by the statute: because the intent of the issue is that the whole event of the matter in iffue shall be determined; and the answering to part doth not answer to the precept of the court, nor to the defign of the iffue, which is to determine the whole cause, that so it may be a bar to any other action. So that fuch imperfect verdict ought not to be received by the judge of nifi prius, it not answering to the iffue; and if it be received, it ought not to be entered of record; and if it be. it is erroneous, because the whole matter in iffue is not answered, and a ven' fac' de novo ought to be awarded, fo that the whole matter in iffue may be determined in that action, and this is not aided by the statute, which did not intend to help imperfections of the verdict, which is flill defigned to make an intire end of the iffue; but it helps the discontinuance before the verdict, because the verdict is by the statute a foundation for the judgment, which the parties cannot by miltake change or alter.

3 Lev. 39.
Randall v.
Brees.
Carter 51.
Ayre v. Bloffom

* Page 157.

Thus in debt for rent referved out of the copyhold and freehold lands, the defendant pleads the eviction of the whole by the devise of the leffor (the plaintiff's father:) * the plaintiff by protestation, that he was not evicted of the copyhold, replies that the freehold was entailed; and therefore the devise was void; the defendant tra-

verfeth

t.

d

a

t

1

15

15

6

ca

in

fa

th

to

th

th

cu

go

pl

an

fe !

an

bu

wl

de

tif

th

th

the

for

and

15

no

ou

10

is

n

y

d

h

e

-

as

e,

ıg

1-

ne

all

th

he

118

er

101

ot

it

oe,

ue

be

be

by

-19

to

the

the

lg-

nge

-00

ads

lef-

by

py.

and

tra-

feth

verseth the entail, and verdict sound for the plaintiff for the whole rent; in this case the plea as to
the copyhold was discontinued, and that the verdict was for the rent issuing out of the copyhold,
as well as the freehold; yet the court held, that
the verdict aided the discontinuance. So in action of assault and battery against two, and there Co. 11. Hidis a discontinuance as to one of the desendants, it gen's case.
is cured by a verdict.

In trespass for entering his house and close, Cro. Jac. 304. Grenden the defendant justifies by virtue of a 350. capias utlagatum against Shelling, and that he went King. in the foot-path through the said close to the 1 Sid. 96. said plaintiff's house, who licensed him to enter; the plaintiff traverseth the licence, and found

for him, though here was a discontinuance as to the close, yet the issue being on the licence, and that being found for the plaintiff, the verdict

cured the discontinuance as to the close.

If a man justifies to the whole, and his plea Salk. 179, 180. goes but to part, the plea is bad, because being pleaded as to the whole, and going but to part, and being an insufficient answer to the whole, confequently the * plaintist must have judgment; * Page 158. and if the plaintist on such plea does not demur, but takes issue, since he takes issue on a bad bar, whether the issue be found for the plaintist or desendant, the judgment shall be for the plaintist, because the bar is insufficient; for though the issue should be found for the desendant, yet that will not amend the bar, and make that go to Then it would the whole, which goes to part only, and there-be better to try fore here the issue is material.

But if the defendant has pleaded a bar to part, Ante 155. and fays nothing to the relidue, there the plea is good as to the part to which it is pleaded, and nothing being faid as to the relidue, the plaintiff ought to have judgment for want of a plea, as to the relidue: if he does not take judgment, it is a discontinuance of his action; for the de-

fendant

fendant having faid nothing to that part, if that nihil dicit be not entered, there being no conti-

nuance of that part of the action, by what the defendant hath faid to it, the plaintiff likewife not having faid any thing to it, to continue it in court, it is a difcontinuance; and if any part of it be discontinued, it is a discontinuance in the whole; for there is not the fame demand fubfifting, that the plaintiff had fet forth in his declaration; but if the plaintiff takes iffue, and * Page 159. obtains a verdict, the discontinuance is aided * by the statute of jeofails, which cures all discontinuances before verdict; for the iffue is immaterial, because the issue is not material to every thing to which the plea is pleaded; for being not material as to the whole, it was in that cafe an immaterial isfue.

t

f

t

b

t

f:

tı

d

d

f

n

p

th

b

n

0

1 Salk. 100. Market v. Johnston.

6 Mod. 626.

Where the plaintiff declares in Michaelmas term before cras animar' fo as to have a plea to enter of that term, and the defendant gives him a plea to part only, and the plaintiff enters his plea as of Hilary term, and upon demurrer in Hilary term the defendant objects the difcontinuance, and defires the plea may be entered as of the term in which it was entered, the court would not interpose to make them enter their plea on the rolls of Michaelmas term, because, if the court had done this, the plaintiff's action must have been discontinued by fuch rule, whereas the plaintiff having given the defendant an imparlance, when he needed not, it is not erroneous, or any wife prejudicial to the defendant, and the plaintiff has the whole Hilary term to take judgment for the part not pleaded to, and therefore there could be no discontinuance during Hilary terin.

But if an action of debt be brought against an executor or administrator, and he pleads feveral * Page 160. judgments to cover the * affets, and as to fome of the judgments the pleas are good, and as to fome

at

i-

lie

ife

in

of

he

b-

6-

nd

by

ti-

13-

ry

ng

afe

rm

ter n a

his

in

11-

of

uld

Cil

the

uil

the

ar-

us,

the

ig-

ore

ary

an

ral

me

to

me

fome bad, this is a discontinuance of the plaintiff's action, because the plaintiff's demand remains the same, and is still pursued; and since the judgments of fome are avoided by a good plea, and all the judgments amounting but to one cover of the affets, if one of them be voided, the plaintiff must have judgment for the whole, Vaugh. 104. because there is not a sufficient bar to his de- Semble con. mand, fince the whole avoidance of the plaintiff's demand to charge the affets, amounts to but one bar.

So in an action of battery and wounding the Hob. 187. defendant justifies as to the battery, but fays no- Freestone and thing as to the wounding; and the iffue was found Boyer. for the defendant; though this plea is a difconfinuance quoad the wounding, the plaintiff hav- Quad. ing descended to iffue in the justification of the 12 Mod. 603. battery; and that being found against him the verdict cures it; and the judgment is not here for the discontinuance of the plaintiff, but on the verdict, because it will then be a bar to another action.

But in trespass for a coat and cloak, and not 3 Lev. 55. gurlty pleaded, if the jury find that the defend- 3 Cro. 133. ant as a conflable took his coat for a tax, but fays nothing as to the cloak, this is an imperfect verdict, not determining the point in iffice between the parties.

* If to an iffue tendered by the plaintiff, the Page 161. defendant joins the similiter by the plaintiff's date. name, or the plaintiff joins the fimiliter by the defendant's name to an iffue tendered by the celendant, this shall be amended, there being a negative and affirmative before, between the plaintiff and defendant, which is the pattern from whence the joining of the iffue is to be taken; there is a fufficient copy from whence this may be amended, it being a plain millake from the nature of the thing of one man's name for another.

CHAP.

ra tl di th

222

fa th

th th

10

pl

ju

di

th

af

pa

an

th

fai

af

to

in

up

th

be

att

w

tei

wh

ju

me

tai

C H A P. XIV.

Further confiderations touching amendments.

Cro. Car. 204. Week's Cafe.

F the nife prius roll varies from any material part of the plea roll, and the plaintiff becomes nonfuit through fuch variance, there the nonfuit shall not be entered up, but a venire fac' de novo awarded; as if the nifi prius roll mifrecites a judgment on which the action is founded, and it be entered right on the plea roll, the reason of this * Page 162. is, that the nife prius roll being materially * different from the plea roll, it is no transcript: and therefore the nonfuit for not proving what was not in iffue is a perfect nullity, and it ought not to be entered on the record.

Cro. El. 340. Long v. Mitchell.

The nifi prius roll, on which the postea is entered, ought to be preferved to warrant the entry of the judgment on the plea roll; for in a writ of error, if they alledge diminution of the postea and habeas corpora, and there is none to be found, the judgment is erroneous, because there is no warrant to enter the verdict on a plea roll.

Moor 631.

If the nift prius roll differs from the plea roll in any matter that alters the issue, it cannot be amended by the plea roll; because it does not give the judge of nifi prius authority to try the matter which is in iffue between the parties on the plea roll; but if the nifi prius roll differs in any other matter which does not alter the iffue between the parties, there it may be amended, because the judge of nifi prius has authority to try the matter in issue between them; as if issue be on the addition of defendant's name, whether J. S. was hulbandman die impetrationis br'is, and the nift prius roll be, whether he was huibandman generally,

8 Co. 166. Plackmore's Cafe.

rally, omitting the words die impetrationis bris, this is not in the plea roll. So in a bond con-Brown! 47. ditioned for the payment of a certain fum * at * Page 103. the first scass next ensuing the date, and on the nist prius roll the day be omitted, this is not the same issue on the plea roll, because there is nothing on the nist prius roll to fix the feast on which the payment was to be made; and so the issue on the plea roll is not right.

But where the defendant's name is omitted in Dy. 260. joining the issue, this shall be amended by the plea roll, because the issue is not varied, and the justices of nife prius have authority to try it by

distringas.

S

ŕ

0

e

5

d

IS

ot

-

of

of

nd

ne

1-

Ille

be

ot

he

he

ny

en

he

ter divas

nisi nelv, So when in action upon the case upon assumpsit, Ibid. the defendant (upon the plea roll) pleads non assumpsit, and on the nisiprius roll it is non culpabilis, after the verdict, the nisiprius roll shall be amended by the plea roll, for both pleas traverse the gist of the action, and the defendant has the same advantage in the non culp' as in the non assumpsit, and the issue is the same in substance.

The special verdict may be amended according Bull. 217. to the minute or note, because the minute is the ² Cro. 235. ²⁰ H. 6. 12. instructions taken at the assizes for the entering it Styles 207. up; but nothing can be added to the minute, though never so strongly proved by the evidence, because that would be to subject the jury to an attaint for a fact that was never found by them;

which is contrary to justice to do.

* If the jury find a certain verdict, and it is en- * Page 164tered uncertainly on the record; if the judge, Cro. Car. 338.
who tried the cause, remembers certainly how the
jury found it, it shall be ascertained by the memory of the judge, and the verdict be made certain as the jury found it.

Judgments.

1 Leon. 13.

We come now to the amendment of judgments; and we must here note, that the court will make no amendment that will defeat a judgment, the flatute allowing amendments in affirmance of judgments only.

The names of the plaintiff and defendant may

1 Sid. 70. Raym. 39-Cro. Car. 57. Cro. El. 656. 865.

be amended, if the docquet be right; but if the docquet roll and judgment be both mistaken, quare, whether this will be amended; for the docquet roll is the Index to the judgment, and made at the fame time, in order that purchasers may find out fuch judgments and be fafe; therefore, if the docquet roll be right, the judgment will without doubt be amended, because there is a proper indication to purchasers, that there is fuch a judgment, and there is fufficient on record, from whence to amend the judgment: but if the docquet and judgment both be wrong in the Page 165 names the purchaser may be deceived; * and quære, how far the court will amend the judgment,

though there be sufficient instructions on the record to amend it by; because a purchaser may be defeated of his title by this amendment, though he has done every thing the law requires, to make himself secure in his title.

But fince the flatute of 11 W. &. M. the court will amend the judgment, but not the docquet; if the judgment be right, and the docquet wrong, before the statute the judgment bound the lands, because the judgment was the lien on the lands; and the docquet no more than an index to find the judgments readily, and the stranger aggrieved by fuch misdocqueting had only his remedy against the officer for not docquetting them truly.

But fince the statute such judgment not bind the purchaser, for a false docquet is as

The

p

r

T

ju

fi

th

ir

tr

vi

fee

re

th

ju

ed

cle

ho

cle

iŧ

his

me

and

anc

per

me

no

mile

jud

mad

exe

reco

anne

erro

givi

The judgment is amendable from any other 8 Co. 162. part of the record, when there is any thing on 2 Ro. Represent itself to set it right; as if the verdict be to 253, 254. recover Dale from A. and Sale from B. and the judgment be to recover Sale from A. and Dale from B. this might be amended; for this is only the misprission of the clerk, since he had sufficient instructions from the verdict to enter the judgment truly.

*So in a quare impedit for the prefentation of a * Page 166. vicarage, and the judgment is quod recuperet eccls-

fiam, this shall be amended.

;

e

ly

10

n,

he

br

:15

e-

nt

is

15

rd,

hè

he-

ind

nt,

line

nay

igh

ike

the

oc-

net

the

the

dex

iger

his

ting

loes

5 35

The

So if the judgment be given on a demurrer against the plaintist, and the entry of the judgment is of a nonfuit, instead of a judgment in demurrer, this shall be amended.

If the damages de incremento be mistaken by the clerk, the court will amend it by the judgment book, because that is a sufficient instruction to the clerk to enter the judgment by; and therefore it was his misprission not to go according to his instructions, which may be rectified and amended.

Thus, if judgment be against a man and wife, Palm. 199. and the judgment is, quad the wife is in misericordia, Hob. 127. and not the husband, this was amended by the pa-Cro. Jac. 6.

per-book that was right.

But if there be a mistake or error in the judg-Cre. El. 451. ment in any such matter in which the clerk has Palm. 198. no instructions, as if a capiatur be entered for a misericordia, or e converso, this was error in the judgment; because before 16 & 17 Car. 2. it made fine to the king, and a difference in the execution; and there was no instruction in the record itself in the judgment book, whereby to amend it; and non constat, whether it was the error of the clerk in entering, or of the court in giving the judgment.

The

* Page 167. Cro. El. 435. 459, 677. 8 0. 16: Moor 407. Rol. Abr. 209. coni.

* The inferior court from whence the record is returned, whether it be the Common Pleas, or ano-2 Rol. Rep. 471. ther court of record, may amend after judgment, as well as before a writ of error brought; and the rule of fuch amendment is to be certified by the clerk of fuch inferior court to the fuperior; for a record is removed by writ of error; and a mittitur recordum is entered on the roll; yet the writ of error is to fend the record in the state, and condition, in which it ought to be by the law, and that is corrected, as it ought, from all misprisions of the clerks; for by the laws they are to correct the misprisions of the clerks before or after judgment; and fuch corrected records they are obliged to fend, that the misprissions of the clerks may not be taken for their errors; and if they do thus correct the misprission of their clerks after the writ, of error has been brought upon the record, it is proper to fend up their clerks, who are the officers of the court, and have the custody of the records, or they may alledge diminution, and fend up the record amended, as it ought to be, or it may be amended in the fuperior court, if the other refuseth; because such misprissons are not to alter the judgment; and therefore the court, that fuper-intends the inferior court, ought not to correct the misprissons of the clerks of the court, in the record fent to them.

* Page 168. Smith v. Smith.

* But there is this difference, where the clerks carry the rules of amendment to a superior court, and where diminution is alledged, and a certioran thereon issues; for where the clerks bring up the roll, it appears to have been mended by the date of the roll after error brought; but when diminution is alledged, they bring up the record in flatu quo, and the certiorari finds it; and therefore when it is brought, they will intend it to be amended at the time of the judgment given, and that the transcript first fent up was a diminution and mittake; and therefore, if dower be brought

againft

2

E

f

t

t

V

C

e

al

aı fa

te

no

m

ter

94

ide

per

inc

car

col

the

juc

err

be

in e

the

for

fho

the

not

of t

van

reco

tiff,

ium

I

S

•

c

iè

a

17

of

1-

at

of

a

er

re

KS

ey

11-

he

ho dy

m,

to

rt,

ons

the

ght

the

rks

art,

rari

the

late

mi-

in

ere-

be

and

ion

inft

against an infant, who appears and pleads by guardian, and the judgment is against him, quod fit in misericordia, this is error, because appearing by guardian he ought not to have been amerced; for an infant cannot be amerced for his indifcretion, nor a guardian, because he is appointed by the court; fo this is error in the judgment itself, which is not amendable; and if certified by the clerks of the court to have been amended after error brought, could not have been amended above, but yet certified to the certiorari rightly amended, they will suppose it was amendable the fame term judgment was given; and during the term, the judgment being in fieri, they can rectify not only the misprissons of clerks, but their own mistakes.

* If on a demurrer joined the judgment is en- * Page 169. quod pl'itum præd' minus sufficien', &c. and omitting Poole v. Longaideo considerat' est, quod ille the plaintiff nil capiat vill. per breve fuum, fit in mifericordia, and defendant eut inde fine die, this omission shall be amended, because there is no judgment returned on the record fent in answer to the writ of error; and then the writ of error itself is not answered, unless the judgment be fent with the roll; for the writ of error is judic' inde reddit sit, unless the judgment be transcribed upon the roll in error, the plaintiff in error must be nonsuit; and therefore it is for the advantage of the plaintiff in error, as well as for the defendant, in whose behalf the judgment should be entered upon the record; because if there be no judgment, the plaintiff in error cannot be hurt by fuch non-entry, nor has he whereof to complain; and therefore for both their advantages the judgment ought to be entered on record.

In debt on bond, after verdict for the plain-, Vent. 132. tiff, the judgment was entered, quod recuperet the Raymium in the narr' pro mis. & custag, instead of pro i Sid. 70. deb'o

deb'o prad' and this was ordered to be amended. because pro mis. & custag' is contradictory to the * Page 170. prad' fum', * which was in deb', and the judgment would have been good without; so that they being furplufage, and repugnant to the former

words in the judgment, cannot vitiate it.

An interlocutory judgment may as well be amended as a final judgment; but if there be contradictory reasons given for the entry of such judgments, and the judgments themselves, it remains a quare, whether it can be amended, because it is faid on the one hand, that there being a repugnancy in the material part of the judgment, fuch act of the court, being in itself an inconsistency, it cannot be amended; and the reason of the judgment being the ground and foundation on which the judgment is given, it is a material part of the judgment, and not mere furplufage, as in the other case; and if the paper-book in fuch interlocutory judgments be right, it is faid, it will not amend it, because though the paperbook will amend the pleadings of the parties, fince fuch paper book is the instructions of the parties given the clerks to enter; yet fuch paperbooks are no minutes taken from the court of interlocutory judgments, and therefore cannot amend fuch judgments; but on the other fide it is faid, that if the judgment be right, and the reason on which the court gave it be not so, * Page 171. * yet the judgment itself being right ought not to be reverfed for want of rectitude in the judgments

good or fufficient reason for such judgment. As in the award of a repleader for the error of the defendant's plea, if it is entered quia pl'itum est sufficien' in lege, instead of quia minus sufficiens eft, the court held this not amendable, though it was right in the paper-book between the parties:

themselves, and not for want of their producing a

but Popham and Glanville contra.

If

1

1

C

fi

20

w

po

re

fu

pi

er

hi

tif

ha

m

ha

ca

int

an

Owen 19. Waller's Cafe.

If any part of the record be vitiated by ra- Ro. Abr. 209. fure, the court will restore it by amendment, Latch. 162. because the wickedness of any person in corrup- 1 Rol. Abr. 208. ting the records of the court ought not to obstruct the justice of the court, or prejudice any of the parties; as in ejectione firma the leafe was made 10 May after verdict; for though it was made the 11th of May by a rafure, and it appearing to the court that the declaration was vitiated by fuch rafure, they amended it both com' banc' and banco regis.

It is a general rule, that though the court will make amendments in favour of judgments, yet if a writ of error be brought, the defendant in error hall pay all the costs of the writ of error; because, till the record was amended, the plaintiff in error had fufficient * reason to bring the writ; but if he proceeded to reverse the judgment on any other error, there the defendant shall not pay costs for * Page 172. his amendments, because it is plain, that the plaintiff did not depend on the error the defendant has amended, but on others to reverfe the judg-

f

1

n

5,

I e 75 d 0, 0

ts a

of 773

NS

it

5:

If

ment.

CHAP. XV.

Jury Process.

W E are now come to the award of the venue, and the process which is in order to have the judices facti, or proper parties to try the cause; and here, if that be rightly awarded, the intent of the flatute is to make the process thereby amendable.

If

Yalv. 169.

If there be a blank left for the county to the sheriff, whereof the writ should be awarded, yet it will be amended, because it cannot be awarded to the sheriff of any other county; and therefore it is the omission of the officer in entering the award of the court; but if there were a local plea into another county, fo that there are two counties

* Page 173. mentioned in the pleadings, there the * blank cannot be amended, because there is originally no award of the court to whom the process shall go; but where the plea carries the matter, there the venue must be from the last place, because

Cro. El. 26,468. the declaration by fuch plea stands confesfed.

21 Jac. 1. 3.

If the court award the process to an improper officer, yet this is aided after verdict, for that only makes an infusficiency in the return of the jury; and infufficient returns are aided; for it was the defign of the statute, that if the cause was tried by a right jury, that it should not be material what officer got them together.

· Cro. 278. nes and Norbut if the nan had cried

If the sheriff returns but twenty-three on the venire, and twenty-four on the habeas corpus, and the twenty fourth omitted on the venire appears of the and is fworn, the verdict will be void; because he is not returned according to the award of the court in purfuance of the venire; and therefore a state ibid. has no authority to try the cause, for the award to distrain one not summoned is void, and he is not returned of the tales de circumstantibus, so that he is not a proper juror by the writ nor sta-

If the number of the qualifications of the jury be omitted, it feems it may be amended by the particular number of the qualifications in each roll, which is directed by the law in all cases.

* Page 174.

* But if the place be totally misawarded this is not helped by any statute, because they have not the proper judices facti, unless they have them from the place where the fact arises; but if it be

n

1

í

te

110 di

11

ce

W

th

aid

th

ed

1117

ges the

1110

the

are be 1

in t

ceri

plii

held

the an

only misawarded in part, this is helped by the express words of 21 Jac. 13 because it is supposed, that the persons, that were near any part of the place might know the sact in issue between the parties; but in the statute for amendment of the law the award is at large, viz. venire facias his, because if he takes any out of the county it is sufficient, for it was found that the persons in the neighbourhood were generally more partial than strangers.

The award of the venire must be to a day in the Moor 402, 465 same term, or the next term; but it must be in term, otherwise it is erroneous; because this is not such a discontinuance as is aided by the verdict, since it is an error in the court in awarding the process, which makes it utterly uncertain when or where the parties should appear to receive judgment; and it is an act of the court which is erroneous, and not a mis-entry of the clerk, which the statutes do not intend to

aid.

2

it

0

f

0

25

k

0

);

re

fe

1-

er

n-

11-

25

ed

at

he

nd ars

ife

he

ore

to

nat

a-

iry

ich

is

not

be

nly

If a venire be of the fame action and between the fame parties, all other faults will be amended.

But these are incurable, because by such ve- Page 1756 nire they are not, as it appears, the proper jud-Godb. 1946 ges of the causes between these parties; for if they do not come from that place, they are not, judices facti by the law; if they do not come in the same action between the same parties, they are not judges in that cause; but if the distringuist be right, it is construed by a venire omitted.

But if the number of qualifications be omitted 1 Ro. Abr. in the venire, yet it is sufficient because that is af-204, 205. certained by the law and amended by the roll.

Thus in ejectment where the venire was de 1 Cro. 275, 278. pli to transgr' omitting ejectione firma, the court 2 Cro. 528. held the venire to be ill, because it were not in Al. Cont. the same action; for an action of trespass, and an action of trespass in ejectment are different,

ſŀ

0

p

ta

ft

CI

0

fu

b

fu

ri

th

ve

th

ch

th

ne

be in

he ed

pe

ca

OI

m

WI

a

H.

ed

tu

I.C

10 ca

re

te

and there might be an action of trespass between the same parties; but if the distringus had been right, they would have judged this venire to have been null, and the want of a venire is aided by the statute.

So if on an action of trespass issue is joined be-

Cro. Car. 426. lones 367. Peffin v, Fen-

tween the plaintiff and two defendants, and the one dies, and the venire is awarded between the plaintiff and both defendants after fuch defendants death, and verdict is taken for the plaintiff, and Page 176. the death suggested on the roll, and judgment * against the furvivor, the venire being only a judicial process, and pursuing the award on the roll, and it plainly appearing to be in the same cause, and that the trial was laid by proper judges; and

judgment being against the defendant, who is

charged with the whole action, it is good.

Crn. Car. 275, 278.

But if the jurata mentions the issue to be plita transgr', where the action is debt, and the award of the venire and distringas is debt, this shall be amended; for the jurata is an award of the diftringas in pursuance of the award of the venire, and the venire being right, the fecondary process ought to be accordingly, and there is a fufficient authority by the writ of distringas, for the judge of affize to try the cause.

Cro. Car. 275, 1 Ro. 202.

So if the sheriff returns nomina jurat' inter pat' præd' de pl'ito transgr, where the venire is de pl'ito debi', this shall be amended; for in dorso brevis he fays, executio islius br'is patet, &c. which could not, if it was not the same action.

3 Mod. 71. 1 Danv. 340.

So if the distringus be without the day of nist prius, or mentions a wrong day, if the jurat roll be right, the distringus may be amended by the jurat roll.

Cro. Jac. 64. So if the return of the venire be mistaken, this Yelv. 64. Cro. El. 438.781. may be amended by the roll; and if the tefte of * Page 177. the venire be out of term, * and before plea plead-Moor 623, 699. ed, it is no error; for the tefle of the judicial Cro. Car. 90. writs being only matter of form, if mistaken, yet Noy 58. fhall Moor 465.

Cro.El.202.36;

shall not vitiate, fince they have the proper judges

of the fact by fuch process.

The nomina jurator' in the venire are the proper parties to try the action; and if there be a miftake in the christian name it is incurable; for the statute does not extend to it, but it extends to cure surnames or additions, for there can be but one name of baptism, but there may be various surnames and additions; and therefore if it can be proved what person the sheriff meant by his surname or addition, it may be amended and set right.

If the distringus be omitted or wrong in any of 3 Buls. 180. the above particulars, it may be amended by the venire; for it is a secondary process to bring in the jury; and if the names of the jury, either christian or surname, be wrong in the body of the distringus in the panel returned, or in the pa- 1 Ro. Abr. nel of the jury sworn, yet if it can be proved to 196. 197. be the same man that was intended to be returned 1 Brownl. 174. in the venire, having there his right christian name, he is the proper judex facti, and it may be amend-

ed by the statute.

d

is

ta

d

e

f-

nd ht

0-

ſ-

at'

ito

he

ot,

nist

oll

he

his

of ad-

cial yet

nall

If there be such a fault in the venire as makes it a persect nullity, so that it has no relation * to the * Page 178. cause yet if there be a good distringus, that being Godb. 194. one of the jury process, the omission of the former is cured; for the omission of any judicial writ is aided by the statute; and a venire, that is a nullity, and has no relation to the cause, is as if there had not been any; and so of a distringus Cro. El. 259. where there is a proper venire.

If on a fuggestion on the roll, process be award-Cro. El. 581. ed to the coroners, and then the sheriff either re-574, 586. turns the panel or tales, it is erroneous, because not collected by the proper officers; and therefore they are not the proper judices facti of that cause; and it appears on the record, that the return is otherwise than the court hath direc-

ted.

But

Cro. El. 369: Hare v. Brown.

But if the sheriff after he is discharged returns the panel to the venire, this is no principal cause of challenge, for the sheriff having returned the nomina jurator', to the court above, on the venire on which they have awarded a diffringas, with a nifi prius of that return to be controverted before the judge of nifi prius, is bound down by a record of a superior court, on whose records it appears that he is sheriff; but the judge of affize tries the challenges, because he himself swears the jury; and though he cannot determine who is the legal officer, because he is bound down, as we have already faid, yet he may well try the matter of fact, * if fuch legal officer be competent to fummon the jury, fince it is much better, as we have faid, that fuch matter should be tried at nife prius, than the witnesses brought up to Westminster to determine fuch fact there; and though the legality of the officer be no principal cause of challenge, because it is settled above, yet if such panels be favourably returned, you may challenge to the favour and illegality of the officer, as a strong evidence of partial array, fince a person, that had nothing to do with the return, has intermeddled therewith, viz. named by the plaintiff or de-

* Page 179.

Raft. 115. b.

fendant.

Salk. 268. London and Andrews. The latest resolution is, that the returns of the ministerial officer are to be challenged at the day of the return; for if the court then admits them to be their officers, and the parties do not except against them, they are concluded, since the proper judices facti are admitted by them to be returned.

Cro. Car. 427. Smith v. Smith, Ro. Abr. 758. ib. 575.

But if the sheriff that returns his venire, be discharged before the teste of the venire, it is error, and shall be tried by the record of the discharge; because if the legal officer does not return, the proper judices facti did not try the cause, and so the verdict is ill.

But

1

0

e

3

ti

Vi

th

h

be

er

m

m

bu

to

pe

on

fee

of

Lo

of

ing

mo

inc

the

M

 M_i

+

farn

2

1

S

e

t

e

e

e

1

But if they affign for error, that though he was Bro. ret de fheriff at the tefle, yet he was discharged before the brev. 40. 13 return, this must be alledged " in the pleadings, " Page 180. and the discharge must be tried by the record of the Chancery, certified into the court where the writ of error was returnable; and whether he returned it after his discharge is a matter in pais, and must be tried by pais, whether these matters may be removed in arrest of judgment at the day in bank, because they had a day in court, at the awarding of the distringus, and that seemed to be the proper time to shew the illegality of the returning officer; but on the contrary, if the parties flew any thing before judgment, that would make the judgment erroneous when given, it should feem a proper matter to flay the court from giving judgment.

In London and Middlesex both sheriffs make but one in both counties; and therefore it feems to be a good cause of challenge, if the writ appears to be returned by one sheriff only; and if one of them die, the office is at an end, till another is chosen; the first beginning of this custom feems to be upon the foundation of the charter of king John, + who granted the fheriffwick of London and Middlefex to the mayor and citizens of London at the farm of 3001. per ann. fo that being a grant in fee of the sherisswick to them as a corporation, they had a right to name one or more officers, * in order to execute the fame ; * Page 181. and they thought it proper to name two officers indifferently to execute both offices, and both of them execute as one sheriss, though the writ in Middlesex is directed to them as one vic' com' Middx. pracipimus tibi; in that of London vice-co-

mitibus

Henr othe first, and not John, granted the sheriffwick of London and Middlefex to the mayor and citizens of London at the farm of 3 cel. per annum. Lee the Customs of the City of Lsaden, D. 1.

mitibus London' pracipim' vobis; and the reason of this difference feems to be, that before this grant of the sheriffwick to the corporation, the corporation nominated to the crown, and the crown appointed the sheriffs for London, and the London Theriffs were responsible to the king for the London profits of the sheriffwick; and this was the reason why two were appointed, that both might be refponfible; and this nomination was that the citizens might exhibit to the king responsible persons; and that feems to be the reason, that in many of the corporations, that are cities and counties, there are two sheriffs; + but when by the charter of king John, the sheriffwick of London and Middlefex was granted to the citizens as a perpetual feefarm, then they entered their sheriffs, which before were nominated for London only, and the election of the two was for both sherisswicks, but the directions of the king's writs were as before, viz. in London to the two sheriffs, and in Middlefex as if

ł

r

* Page 182. there was only one. 3 Co. 72. * 1 Show. 162, 163, 289. 2 Show. 262, 286. Lev. 284. Priv. of London, fo. 5, 6, 7, 272, 273. Hob. 70.

CHAP. XVI.

Of Imparlance.

N the Common Pleas, they antiently proceeded by original writs, which were warrants out of Chancery for them to proceed; these always gave the defendant notice of the cause of action; and as he had a view of the writ before he appeared, if he had any dilatory plea, he was to put

it in immediately; but when he pleaded in chief, and came in towards the end of the term, they gave him time to make his defence, which was

called imparlance.

of

nt

0-

0-

on

on

n

e-

1-

s;

10

S,

25

1-

-

re

n

1-

in if

3,

d

of

VS

)-1t

it

But in the King's Bench, when the defendant comes in by latitat, he does not know till after his imparlance what the plaintiff declares for; and as he had no fight of the bill before-hand, he had time allowed him to plead any plea in abatement, which is called special imparlance.

When the Common Pleas proceeded on clausum fregit, which they did when imparlances became common, † or plaintiffs poor, as the defendant was under the same * disadvantages ‡ as when he * Page 183. was arrested on a latitat, he had the same privilege 11 Mod. 575. to have time to make his objection to the declaration.

Hence imparlance is, First, General. Secondly, Special.

The words of the imparlance general are, petit licentiam interloquendi. And the words of the special imparlance are, sulvis sibi omnibus & omnimodis advantagiis tam ad breve quam ad narrationem; and sometimes thus, salvis sibi omnibus advantagiis tam ad

† Imparlance, as it is here called, was a necessary indispensable part of the old process in trespass, whether the plaintist or defendant was rich or poor; and the fair common law reason given for it by Britton, is, " que chaque desendant soit garny de l'intention de son adversaire."

I Here the chief baron candidly allows, that the arrest by claufum fregit in the Common Pleas, and by the latitat in the King's Bench, did lay the desendant under disadvantages. If the chief baron had said, under unwarrantable oppressions in open violation of King John's great charter, not only by subverting and perverting the antient process of the law in trespass, but also by an arbitrary and barbarous abuse of special bail: if the chief baron had stigmatized this process by latitat with the seemingly harsh, but richly merited terms above mentioned, as Sir Orlando Bridgeman chief justice of the Common Pleas did, when the latitat was sirst introduced into the King's Bench, he would perhaps have done no more than an honest indignation, at the innovation, would warrant.

ad jurisdictionem curia, quam ad breve & narrationem, as the case is; and the defendant has a general imparlance of course, but the special imparlance must be obtained from the court.

Three things are to be considered in impar-

First, what must be done before imparlance. Secondly, what must be done after general impar-

Thirdly, what after frecial imparlance.

First, what things must be done before imparlance; these are threefold.

First, if a defendant pleads to the jurisdiction of the court, he must do it instanter on his appearance; for if he imparls, he owns the jurifdiction of the court, by craving * leave of the court for time to plead in, and the court shall never be ousted of its jurisdiction after impurlance, because the lord might reverse his judgment by writ of difceit, and it goes in bar of the action itfelf, (viz.) in that court.

Secondly, if the defendant in a plea of land would have Oyer of the deed, he must demand it before imparlance; for by imparling he undertakes to defend the land mentioned in the plaintiff's count, and it would be abfurd in him to de-

fend what he does not know.

Dy. 38. 300. Hob. 62.

Lut. 117.

Doet - plt - 224.

Lut. 83.

Hard. 365-Lut. 46. 7 H. 6. 39.

22 H. 6. 7.

Dy. 210. in Margine.

Styles 90.

* Page 184.

Thirdly, wherever a defendant pleads femper paratus, as in dower, and tender of money, &c. it must be done before imparlance; for by craving time he owns he is not ready, and therefore fallifies his plea.

Secondly, what may be done, after a general im-

parlance; two things only, (viz.)

First, pleas in suspension.

Secondly, pleas in bar.

Unless the writ abate after impurlance, as if a man be excommunicated after the term in which imparlance was allowed, fuch excommunication may be pleaded after imparlance.

Thirdly,

p3

or

vi la

bi

ry

pa

it

re

in

Da

tic

th

63

oi

lec

de

3

de

m:

as

Thirdly, what may be pleaded after special im-

parlance.

All pleas in abatement, (unless to the jurisdiction and privilege) after special imparlance ; * pri- * Page 185. vilege can be only pleaded after a general imparlance, because it is neither an objection to the writ, bill, or count.

1 Sid. 29. 2 Ro. Rep. 244. feem to be contrary, and that privilege cannot be pleaded after imparlance; it is not faid in either of the cases, that it was a special or general imparlance, and the latest refolution, (viz.) Hardress and Lutwich are express in point, that it may be pleaded after a special imparlance, for it does not ouft them of their jurifdiction, but is a privilege, which each court allows the officers of another, to be fued in their own court.

C H A P. XVII.

Of Pleas.

W E are now come to pleas; and here are two things to be treated of, (viz.)

First, defences in general.

Secondly, the feveral forts of pleas, and the time

oi pleading.

1

t

a

h

11

Defence cometh from the word defendo, so called from the manner of pleading, (viz.) ven' &

defend', and is twofold.

* First, half defence, which is ven' & defend' vim * Page 186. & injur' quando, &c. the ven' is the record of the defendant's coming into court, and is necessary to make him a party, but the defend vim & injur', Ge. were not used in clausum fregits and affaults; as appears by the old entries, fo. 5, 13, 30. So that

the want of them is not fatal, tho' shewn for special cause.

Lut. 9. Secondly, the feveral forts of pleas, and these are threefold, viz.

First, Abatement Secondly, Sufpension. Thirdly, Bar.

First, abatement; pleas in abatement are, when the defendant shews cause to the court why he should not be impleaded; or, if impleaded, not in manner and form he now is. As these pleas enter not into the merit of the cause, but are dilatory, the law has laid the following restrictions on them.

First, by the statute for the amendment of the law, no dilatory plea is to be received, unless on oath, and probable cause shewn to the court.

Secondly, no plea in abatement shall be received after a respondeas ousler, for then would they be pleaded in infinitum.

Thirdly, that they shall be pleaded before general imparlance.

* Fourthly, that when iffue is joined on them, if it be found against the defendant, it shall be peremptory.

Pleas in abatement are threefold.

First, to the jurisdiction of the court.

Secondly, to the person. First, of the plaintiff; and Secondly, defendant. Thirdly, to the writ, and therein,

First, to the form. Secondly, to the action.

Jurisdictions of Courts.

First, Of pleas to the jurisdiction of the court, and here three things are to be observed.

First, they must be pleaded before any imparlance; for by craving leave to impart, the defendant submits to the jurisdiction.

2 H. 6. 30. 22 H. 6. 7. Hard. 365. 1 Lut. 46. Dy. 210. in Margine. Styles 30.

4 Ann. c. 16.

3 Saund. 41.

Lutw. 178.

* Page 187.

Except

t

le

C

tl

pi

le

0

21

to

de

ئ

હ

wi

w

vi

E

co

cy

the

and

roi

of to

not of

fo

in :

con

arii

inf

Except where antient demesne is pleaded; for this may be done after imparlance, because the lord might reverse the judgment by writ of difceit, and it goes in bar of the action itself, (viz.) in

that court, because it is coram non judice.

Secondly, the defendant must plead it in propria persona, for he cannot plead by attorney without leave of the court first had, which leave acknowledges their jurisdiction; for the attorney is an officer of the court; and if they put in a plea by an officer of the court, that plea must be supposed to be put in by leave of the court.

* Thirdly, the defendant must make but half * Page 188. defence; for if he makes the full defence, quando, Co. Lit. 127. &c. he submits to the jurisdiction, &c. being quando

& ubi cur' confideraverit.

Under this head of pleas to the jurisdiction, it will be necessary to make a division of the courts, which, as far as our purpose requires, may be divided into,

First, the courts of Westminster.

Secondly, the rest of the temporal courts in

England.

t

e

le

s

ed

be

e-

m, be

ifi;

and

urt,

parend-

cept

First, the courts of Westminster are the superior courts in the kingdom, and have a superintendency over all the other courts by prohibition; if they exceed their jurisdiction, or writs of error, and false judgment; if their proceedings are erroneous; fo that these courts have conuzance of all transitory actions, except between the scholars of Oxford and Cambridge, and every thing supposed to be done within their jurisdiction; unless the contrary especially appears. On the other hand, nothing shall be intended within the jurisdiction of an inferior court, but what is expresly alledged; to that where an action is brought on a promife in a court below, not only the promise, but the confideration of the promife, must be alledged to arise within an inferior jurisdiction; because such interior courts * are bounded in their original * Page 189.

creation,

b

C

P

m

m

m

Ch

the

by

the

tio

fler

the

all

the dia

by

and

are

by 1 to I the

bard

cour

the

actio

it b

bridg

dicti

1

creation, to causes arising within the limits of fuch new erected jurisdiction; and therefore, if adebtor, that has contracted a debt out tof fuch limited jurisdiction, comes within it, yet they cannot fue there for fuch debt; because the cause of action did not arise within such jurisdiction; and therefore it is not within the limits of their commission to try and determine; for which reafon the consideration of the promise, which is the cause of action, must be alledged to be within the jurisdiction of the court; and not only so, but it must be proved upon the trial; and if the plaintiff proves a confideration out of the jurisdiction, that cannot be given in evidence; and if it be, the defendant's counsel may propose a bill of exceptions, the bill will appear to be erroneous; and therefore the first book of Saunders 74. in the case of Deacock and Best, makes a true distinction between counties palatine, and other inferior courts; for the county palatine is a general court, for all the subjects of that palatinate, and not merely for the causes arising within the palatine; for if a debtor goes from the foreign into palatine, his objections go along with him, as much as if he went from one kingdom to another; and if it were otherwife a palatinate jurisdiction would be • Page 190. * a shelter and asylum to debtors; for no process

The city of London is an exception to this general rule. For when Henry the first, by his charter, granted that the citizens of London should not plead without the walls of the city in any plea whatever, this was done, as I have before observed, in proof of the city of London being newly erected into a county of itself, and as fuch, had the charter stopt here, it would have been no matter of favor, because, by a preceding law of the same king, the county of London qua talis then flood upon the same footing in regard to non-foreign pleas as all the other counties in the kingdom : but this charter goes on, and farther grants " that all debtors who owe the citizens of London any debts shall pay them in London, or discharge themselves in the city, by shewing that they owe no such debts; but if they will not pay the same, nor come thither to clear themselves, the citizens to whom such debts are due, may take namia, within that city, borough, or county, where he remains who owes the debt.

but the supreme prerogative process runs there; and therefore it is truly determined, though the cause of action be out of the palatine; yet if the party be a subject of that palatine, as he is by coming into that dominion, that the action there may be brought against him.

Secondly, of temporal courts in England, these

may be divided into three, (viz.)

f

f

h

e

1

e

e

-

1,

e

d

le

-

;

10

a

IS

ie it

be

ſs

ut

10

of

he he

25

of

to

ut

we if-

ich

ke

ins

First, Courts Palatinate.
Secondly, Inferior courts.
Thirdly, Courts not of Record.

First, Courts palatinate, which are three; first, Chester; secondly, Durham, erected by William the conqueror; and thirdly, Lancaster, erected by act of parliament in Edward the third's time: these were superior courts within their jurisdiction, in as ample a manner as a court of Westminster, and the king's ordinary writs do not run there.

Secondly, inferior courts of record; they are all the king's courts, though another may have the profits, and they fit either mediate or immediate from the king; and they are either erected by letters patent from the king, or by prescription; and the proceedings are preserved on rolls, which are of so high a nature, that they are to be * tried * Page 191. by themselves; only some of these have franchise to hold pleas within such a compass, through these breve domini regis non currit.

Thirdly, courts not of record, fuch as the court

baron, hundred court, and county court.

There are no pleas to the jurisdiction of the Go. 4. courts at Westminster in transitory actions, unless last 213-the plaintiff by his declaration shews the cause of action accrues within the county palatine, or if it be between the scholars of Oxford and Cambridge.

Nor in local actions, unless within those juris-

dictions, where breve domini regis non currit.

For

4 Inft. 224.

For where a franchife, either by letters patents or prescription, hath a privilege of holding pleas within their jurisdiction, if the courts at Westminster intrench on their privileges they must demand conuzance; that is, defire that the cause may be determined before them; for the defendant cannot plead it to the jurifdiction; and the reason is, because a defendant is arrested by the king's writ; but within a franchife, where the king's writ doth not run, he is not legally convened, and therefore may plead it to the jurisdiction; but the creating a new franchise does not hinder the writ from having the same juris-

Page 192. diction over the cause, but grants * jurisdiction to the lord of the liberty; and whenever the king's courts intrench on his jurisdiction, he may make his claim, and demand that the cause be determined before him.

So that the pleas to the jurisdictions of the courts at Westminster are,

Antient demesne. Herne's plead. 351. Held of the king's manor. Hans. 103. 2. Counties palatine. Raft. 419. Herne 7.

Cinque ports.

We are now come to the privileges that franchises by letters patent, or by prescription, have of demanding conuzances of the courts at West minster; and here we will confider,

First, what courts can demand conuzance.

Secondly, of what and where they shall be denied, though the cause accrued within their jurisdiction.

Thirdly, the manner and time of demanding

First, what court can demand conuzance.

No court can demand conuzance, unless it be of record, because all courts of record are the king's, though another may have the profits of

them. Co. Lit. 117. b. So that although the cause goes out of the king's courts at Westminster,

2 Inft. 140.

vat

1

d

f

a

11

be

nı

ać

th

dy

no

W

th fh

do

th

the

fra

tio

yet it goes to * another of the king's courts, to * Page 193. which he has granted the privilege of determining the causes arising within a limited jurisdiction: but it is below the dignity of the king's courts to part with any cause to another's court, such as the county court, &c.

Wherever the defendant can plead to the jurifdiction of the courts at Westminster, there the franchise may demand conuzance, but not vice

verfa.

1-

ft

e

ıd

y

re l-

ne

es f-

n

he

ay

be

he

in-

of

er;

ni-

rif-

ing

t be

the

s oi

the fler,

vat

Secondly, of what they can demand conuzance, and whether it shall be denied, though the cause

accrued within the jurisdiction.

They have conuzance of local actions; for as 1 Sid. 103. to transitory actions, the plaintiff may suppose them to arise in what county he pleases; but if they are laid in the county palatine, it being a superior court shall have conuzance; as if it be between the scholars of Oxford and Cambridge in a transitory action, the University shall have conuzance, because by their charter consirmed by act of parliament they shall have jurisdiction over the persons of their scholars.

First, where the franchise cannot give a reme-Failure of Jusdy, and there would be a failure of justice, it shall tice. not have conuzance, although the action accrued

within their jurifdiation.

* As in quare impedit, because they cannot send * Page 194. a writ to the bishop; nor in replevin, because, if 4 E. 3. 29. the plaintiff be nonsuited, a second deliverance 89. shall be granted, which the franchise cannot Dalt. 12. do.

31 Ed. 3. 31.

Nor in waste, because by the statute the writ Dalt. 12. must issue out of the Chancery at Westminster, and these writs are returnable into the king's courts there; and not into any inferior court.

Nor in admeasurement of pasture, because the 5 Ast. 9. franchise cannot grant a writ de secunda super onera-

tione.

So

So if a fine be removed out of the franchise by writ of error in B. R. and a scire suc' issues out to have execution, they shall not have conuzance, because the king never parts with the records of his court, and without it they can do no right to the party.

2 Ven. 363.

If a scholar of Oxford or Cambridge be sued in Chancery for a special performance of a contract to lease lands in Middlesex, the University shall not have conuzance, because they cannot sequester the lands.

22 Aff. 83.

If a trespass be brought within a franchise against a foreigner who has nothing within the franchise, conuzance shall not be granted; for they cannot oblige a stranger to answer who hath nothing within the franchise.

Privilege of Courts.
3 Lev. 149.
Lit. Rep. 304.

* Secondly, and as they shall not have conuzance where there is a failure of justice, so shall they not likewise where the plaintist is a privileged person in any of the superior courts at Westiminster; for it would be inconvenient and below the dignity of these courts, that the officers should be compelled to quit their attendance, to obtain justice in an inferior court.

Cont. Bendl. 233. Bro. Con. 50.

But the defendant being in cuflod' mar' in the King's Bench, or the plaintiff's commencing a fuit in the Exchequer on a quo minus as debtor to the king, are not fuch privileges as will oust an inferior jurisdiction; for they are now grown the common way of iffuing in those courts.

Han. 509.

Nor can they have conuzance of fuch actions which were not in Ese at the time of their charter, but created fince by act of parliament.

14 H. 4. 20. 22 E. 4. 22.

But if an action of law is given against a personby another name, as debt against an administrator, they shall have conuzance.

Thirdly, the manner and time of demanding

Dal. 12. 1 Sid. 183. As to the manner of demanding it by letter of attorney, the letter of attorney must be in latin,

and

u

n

a

l

C

tl

15

W

ar

no

re

CO

fo

re

and present in court; and if the conuzance be demanded by virtue of a charter time out of mind, or by prescription, there an allowance must be pleaded * before justices in eyre. Co. 9. Abbot de * Page 196. Strat's case.

As to the time, it must be demanded before an sid. 103. imparlance, and the same term the writ is returnable after the defendant appears, because until he appears there is no cause in court; otherwise there would be a delay of justice; for if conu-6 H. 7. 2. zance after imparlance when the defendant has a conday already allowed him, he would have two days, since when the conuzance is allowed, the franchise prefixes a day to both parties to appear before them; and it is the lord's laches, if he does not come soon enough, so as not to delay Rast. 128. the parties.

We are now come to the fecond fort of pleas in

abatement, viz.

1

0

n

0

t

10

fe

10

70

th

ce

ey

ed

";

11-

m-

ice

the

nit

the

fe-

the

ons

ter,

fon

tor,

ling

of

atin,

and

To the person of either

Plaintiff or Defendant.

rst, To the person of the plaintiff; and here are First. As to the following disabilities which may be pleaded the person of in abatement of the writ, and the plaintiff shall the plaintiff. not be answered until he hath removed them; and therefore by the ancient law he was said to lose liberam legem, because he was not rectus in cur' until he had removed such impediment.

First, outlawry; for until this is reversed, or First.

the king has granted his charter of pardon, he Outlawry.

is out of the protection of the law, because he Dy. 212, 28.

would not be amenable and attendant to the law, Ass. 49. B.

and ought not to have any privilege from it; but Inability 25.

none should be outlawed until after the exigent be Page 197.

returned; for the inquiring after him in the county is in order that he may appear; and therefore if he does appear at the return of the exigent the law is satisfied, and the outlawry must not be recorded against him.

L

But

Doc. plit. 300.

But this disability is only pleadable when the plaintiff fues in his own right; for if he fues in auter droit, as executor or administrator, or as mayor with his commonalty, outlawry shall not disable him, because the person whom he reprefents has the privilege of the law; and not fuing for himself, where he has the advantage of another, that is no objection to his representation, or any reason why he should not be answered.

Nor when he brings a writ of error to reverse

Go. L. 118. Doct. plit. 396. 7 H. 4 110.

Go. Lit. 128.

an outlawry, shall outlawry in that fuit, nor at any stranger's, disable him; for if he were outlawed at feveral mens fuits, and one should be a bar to another, he could never reverse any of them; the outlawry itself is no objection, for that would be exceptio ejusdem rei cujus petitur dissolutio; nor is another outlawry pleadable in bar to fuch Page 198. writ of error; for then two erroneous * outlawries would be irreversable; and therefore that is tantamount to exceptio ejusdem rei cujus petitur disfolutio; fo if there be an attaint brought on a verdict, outlawry grounded on that verdict thall not be pleaded in bar, for the reason above.

Doct-plit. 393. Stamford 103. Fitz. Co. 233.

As this is a dilatory plea, when it is pleaded in another court than where the outlawry issued, the defendant must bring it in immediately; for this being in delay, if the court should give time, and it should not be brought in, then the delay of justice would be from the court, and fince there is a way of having it immediately, by producing it under the great feal, no time shall be given to bring it sub pede figilli; but otherwise when it is in the same court, for then the record is already in court.

In pleading outlawry in difability in another court, the ancient way was to have the record of the outlawry itself sub pede figilli by certiorari and mittimus; but this being very expensive, it is now lufficient to plead the cap. utlegatum under the leal of the court from whence it issues; for the

Muing

C

b

fi

d

e

ti

th

fu

C

tl

aj

TE

ti

ju

th

th

ev

be

th

th

bi

an

m

th

rie

m

ec

0)

iffuing of execution could not be without the Co. Lit. 128.
judgment; and therefore such execution is a Clerk's Entries
proof to the court that there is such a judgment, Doct. plit.
which is a proof that the defendant's plea of Tix. Outlawry.
matter of record is proved by matter of record;
and therefore appears * to the court not to be * Page 199;
meerly dilatory; and therefore on shewing such

execution,

3

t

h

is

1-

10

in

ne

is id

of is

it

to

in

in

of

nd

WO

the the

ing

If the plaintiff will plead nul tiel record, the court will give the defendant a day to bring it in; but where you plead excommunication, it is not fufficient to shew the writ de excommunicato capiendo under the feal of the court, for the writ is no evidence of the continuance of the excommunication, fince he may be affoiled by the bishop, and that will not appear in the king's court, because fuch affoilment is not returned into the king's court from whence such fignificavit is sent; but the reverfal of the judgment of outlawry must appear in the same court where the outlawry is returned; and therefore the issuing of the execution is a firong proof of the continuance of the judgment; and if it is denied on the other fide, they will give him a day to maintain his plea; but in case of an excommunication, the issuing the writ is no certain proof of excommunication, even at the time of issuing the writ, for he might be affoiled between the fignificavit and the iffuing the writ de excommunicato capiendo; and therefore there must be a certificate under the seal of the bishop to maintain the plea since it is dilatory;

and the court, on shewing only the writ de excom- Fitz. Cor. 2336 municato capiendo, have no ground to give the de- 23 E. 4. 16.

* fendant time; besides it is below the dignity of Poet. plit. 396.
the court to write to the bishop to satisfy dilatories; and there is no way by certiorari or mitti-

mus to bring it in.

Outlawry in a county Palatine cannot be pleaded in any of the courts of Westminster; for he is only ousled of his law within that jurisdiction, and

L 2

it shall not extend to disable a man in another county where they have no power; for the county Palatine being a royal jurisdiction within bounds, the losing the privileges of law, within that jurisdiction, can be no disadvantage to him in another county; and if he does not live within the Palatine jurisdiction, he is not obliged to attend there; but it feems that outlawry in the county Palatine of Lancaster may be pleaded in the courts of Westminster; because that county was erected by act of parliament in the time of Ed. 3. but Durham and Chefter are by prescription.

Wherever outlawry is pleaded, it may always be pleaded in abatement, but not in bar, unless the ground or cause of the action be forfeited; not in bar in real actions where the land is forfeited, nor in personal actions where the damages are

uncertain.

Outlawry for felony may be pleaded in bar to all actions concerning lands and tenements, as well as goods and chattels, * for all his lands are

forfeited by the felony.

Outlawry may be pleaded in bar after it is pleaded in abatement, because the thing is forfeited, and the plaintiff has no right to recover.

In real or personal actions where the damages are uncertain (as in trespass, of battery of goods, of breaking his close, &c. and are not forfeited by the outlawry) there the outlawry must be pleaded in disability of the person: but if the ground or cause of the action be forfeited by the outlawry, as in action of debt, detinue, &c. the outlawry may be pleaded in bar to the action.

If outlawry be pleaded either in bar or abatement, and the plaintiff replies nul tiel record, and the defendant has a day given him to bring in the record, and in the interim the plaintiff removes the record by writ of error, and reverfes the outlawry, though the defendant fails in bringing in the record, yet this shall not be fatal and peremptory

12 E. 4. 16.

Co. Lit. 123. Dect. plit. 395.

• Page 201.

2 Lut. 1513. 4, 1604-11 H. 7. 11. 3 Lev. 29. Co. Lit. 128. 5 Co. 109. 2 Lut. 1513. Dyer 9. Cro. El. 262.

Owen 22.

E

t

f

P

P

h

f

P

ea

ci

pl

DU

te

ch

m

140

by

tor dro

law

dec

to

ufc:

on him; for in the first case he shall have liberty to plead a new bar; and in the fecond, the judgment thall only be respondens ouster; because his plea was a true plea at the time of pleading it, and the plaintiff was actually disabled from suing, not having then his liberam legem.

* So that outlawry does not abate the writ, but * Page 202. is only a temporary impediment, that disables the Co. Lic. 128. plaintiff from proceeding; for upon obtaining a Doct. plit. 397. charter or pardon, or reverfing the outlawry, he is restored to his law, and shall oblige the defend-

ant to plead to the fame writ.

The fecond disability is excommunication, and Second. this cannot be pleaded after general imparlance; Excommunifor thereby the plaintiff is admitted to be a good 9 E. 4. 36. plaintiff, but after a special imparlance it may be Plac. Gen. 10.

pleaded.

d

S

y

15

s

t-

re

to

as

re

15

it-

es

ls,

ed d-

nd

ıt-

ıt-

te-

nd

he

he

ry,

re-

ory

on

When this is pleaded, the bishop's letter under his feal, witnessing the excommunication, must be thewn; and though the plaintiff cannot deny a plea, yet the writ shall not abate, but defendant eat inde fine die, because the plaintiff upon produ- Lit. Sect. 201. cing his letters of absolution shall have a refum-Bro. Appeal. mons or reuttachment; if in appeal the defendant Bro. Excom. 16. pleads excommengeent in the plaintiff, he is let Co. Lit. 135. out on main-prize until the plaintiff purchase let- in Margine.
ters of absolution for then be much placed in 44 E. 3. 17. ters of absolution, for then he must plead in chief.

But in other cases, the writ shall abate if the matter pleaded cannot be denied, except outlawry, when the plaintiff purchases a pardon before judgment is entered upon the plea or reverles it

by error.

Excommengement is a good plea to an execu- Co. Lit. 134. tor or administrator, though they fue * in auter 43 E. 3. 3. droit, and the difference between this and out 21 Ed. 4.49. lawry is, that an excommunicate person is exclu- * Page 203. ded from the body of the church, and is incapable to lay out the goods of the deceased to pious ules; but the cutlaw, though incapable of law for

for his own benefit, may be allowed to do all charitable actions for the foul of the deceased; and it is one of the effects of excommunication that he cannot be procurator or attorney for any other person; and therefore cannot represent the deceased.

12 Co. 61.

Excommunication is no plea on a qui tam, because it is for example, and the statute having given the informer an ability to fue, and not excepted excommunicated persons from the liberty of informing, he is enabled to fue by the flatute, notwithstanding the censures of the church.

b

b

b

d

p

1

n

to

b

m

h

in

ad ci

th

b

al

ca

di

th

ry

Theol. 10, 11. Excommengement 9. 28 E 3. 27. 8 Co. 68. Though it be for another caufe than that in queftion, the plaintiff shall not be disabled be-

Theol. 11.

30 E. 3. 4. Co. Lit. 134.

When prohibition is brought against the bishop, and he pleads excommunication against the plaintiff, and in the excommunication there is no cause of fuch excommunication shewn, this is no good plea; for in fuch case it will be intended that the excommunication was for endeavouring to hinder the bishop's proceeding by application to the temporal court; and if such excommunicaticause himself is on were allowed, it would destroy all prohibitions, and the plea of excommunication in this case is * Page 204. exceptio ejusdem rei cujus petitur dissolutio.

In an action brought by the bailiffs and commonalty, the defendant shall not plead excommengement in the bailiffs, because they sue as a corporation, and a corporation cannot be excluded from the communion of the visible church.

Bro. Excom. 3. 3 Bulf. 72. 20 H. 6. 25. Roll 226 76.

When excommunication is pleaded in the plaintiff, he shall not reply that he has appealed from the sentence; for the sentence is in force Pl'ita Gen. 10. until it is repealed, and whilst it is in force he can-172. 73. 74. 75. not appear in any of the courts of justice; but he may reply that he is absolved, for then his difability is taken away.

In the times of popery, excommengement 16 E. 3. 31. Excom. 2. certified by the pope, or delegates commissioned 1 Roll. 883. by him, did not disable the plaintiff; because the 34 H. 4. 14. Bro. Excom. 17. courts had no person to whom they should write 21 E. 4. 29.

to have him affoiled: and it feems by this, that if the fentence be a nullity, as if they excommunicate for a temporal offence, the king's court will write to the bishop to affoil him; and when the plaintiff brings in the letter of absolution, the court will oblige the defendant to plead in chief.

The court will not receive the certificate of Bro. Excom. 21. excommunication of one bishop from another, Fitz. Excom. because they must have the certificate from the 8 Co. 68. bishop whose subject he was; and he might have Co. Lit. 134. been associated by his own ordinary after the first Page 205. certificate to the bishop.

Nor will they receive a certificate from a bishop Bro. Excom. 2 deceased, because he may stand assoiled by the 26. present ordinary that now is, after the decease of 1 Ro. 683. the bishop who has certified; and the court will not receive any certificate, but from such person

to whom they can write to affoil.

d

t

e

-

(-

V

e,

p,

n-

ſe

bc

at

to

to

ti-

15,

is

m-

m-

i a

X-

ble

the

led

rce

an-

he ifa-

ent

ned

the

rite

to

The third disability is alienage, where one is Third Alienage, born out of the king's liegeance; for none shall Co. Lit. 128. maintain any action either real or personal whilst he is subject to an enemy to the king; but this Co. Lit. 129. impediment may be removed by being

Naturalized by act of parliament, Infranchifed, or by letters patents.

But an alien in league shall maintain personal Co. Lit. 129. actions, or else he would be incapacitated to mer- 1 Buls. 134. chandize; but no real or mixed action, because

there is no necessity that he should settle.

If it be pleaded in an alien in league, that must Bro. Denz. 16. be in disability of the plaintist; but if it be an Co. Lit. 129. alien enemy, it must be pleaded to the action, because it is forfeited to the king, as a reprizal for the damages committed by the dominion in enmity with him.

*But an alien enemy that is prior, may sue for * Page 206. the convent, because he sues in his corporate ca- Co. Lit. 129. pacity, and not to recover for himself, or to carry the goods or effects out of the land.

It

la

al

b

fo

th

ei

in

ar

pe

h

CO th

fr

th

ari hi

or

W

tin an

ap

de

lec

fha

ou

in

ani

ani

the

WO

giv

any

ten

cor

the

Gro. El. 142. Wentworth Executors 22. Owen 45.

Molloy 370. 1 Danv. 325. Cro. El. 683. Moor. 431. Charter 49, 191. Richfield and Ux. v. Udal.

It has been long doubted, whether an alien enemy should maintain an action as executor; for on the one hand it is faid, that, by the policy of the law, alien enemies shall not be admitted to actions to recover effects which may be carried out of the kingdom, to weaken ourselves, and enrich the enemy; and therefore public utility must be preferred to private convenience; but on the other hand it is faid, thefe effects of the teftator's are not forfeited to the king by way of reprisal, because that they are not the alien enemies, for he is to recover them for others; and if the law allows fuch alien enemies to possess the effects as well as an alien friend, it must allow them power to recover, fince that there is no difference, and by confequence he must not be disabled to fue for them; if it were otherwif, it would be a prejudice to the king's ful jects who could not recover their debts from the alien executor, by his not being able to get in the affets of the teffa-

Fourth. Præ: munire.

Fifth. Recufancy.

The fourth disability, is when a man has judgment given against him on a writ of * pramuning Page 207. facias, or is attainted of high treason or felony.

The fifth disability, is popish recusancy convict; because 3 fac. cap. 5. disables to all intents, as excommunication, except where he fues for lands, tenements, leafes, annuities, rents, and hereditaments, or for the iffues and profits thereof, which are not to be feized into the king's hands, his heirs or fuccessors.

Levinz. Ent. 10. adjudged good plea, vide etiam 3 Lev. 2.

Second. Person of defendant.

We are come now to the second fort of plea in abatement, to the person, (viz.) to the person of the defendant; and under this head it will be necessary to confider the privilege that the courts at Westminster give to all suitors in general, and their own officers and fervants in particular. As

0

n

-

5,

e

m

e,

to

a

e-

is

a-

g-

n-

ts,

or

ie-

of,

ds,

am

in

the

ary

est-

nwa

As

As the courts of justice are open to all, so the 2H. 7.2. law protects the persons of those who come to Rol. Ab. 272. attend them both in going thither and returning; 38 H. 6.30. but in this case the desendant must appear in person, that the court may examine him, and that they may be satisfied upon his oath, that he was either prosecuting or desending some suit pending in that court, when he was arrested.

So if the court gives either plaintiff or defend- 2 Ro. Ab. 272.

ant leave to go after evidences in * any cause de- 13 H. 4. 1.

pending in that court, and he be arrested, he shall Fitz. | Corpus

But if he goes without the permission of the Eod.

court he shall not be protected; for the court will then presume it to be only an excuse to get free from the arrest.

The courts not only protect the persons of 2 Rol. Ab. 273, their attendants, but likewise all the things that 3 H. 6. 4. are necessary for his journey, or the desence of his suit, but not merchandizes or goods for sale or traffick.

If an action of debt be brought in the courts at 2 Ro. Ab. 274 Westminster, and the defendant is arrested a second 12 H. 4. 21. time in the same action by process out of London, and the defendant fues an habeas corpus, and it Prio' Brockett appears to the court, that it is the fame plaintiff, Fitz' Corp' cam defendant, and adion, and the plaintiff being col. defendant, and action, and the plaintiff being called is nonfuit in the first action, yet the defendant shall be discharged, because at the time of suing out the fecond action they were legally attached in the superior courts; and therefore the defend- 2 Ro. Ab. 274. ant ought not to have been drawn from thence to Bro. Superfed. answer the same action at the king's fuit; for as the executive power is lodged in the king, it would be unreasonable that this court, which gives relief to private persons, should protect any subject from being brought to justice for offending against the laws, which concern the whole common-wealth; * the courts not only protect * Page 209. the parties themselves, but all witnesses are pro- 1 Moor 66. tected

tested eundo & redeundo; for fince they are obliged to appear by the process of that court, they will not fuffer any one to be molested, whilst he is

paying obedience to their writ.

Officers.

The particular privilege, which the officers of each court enjoy, is, not to be drawn out of their own court, to be impleaded elsewhere; for as their attendance is constantly to dispatch the business of the court to which they belong, if they might be fued in any other place, their causes must fuffer, because they could not be fored from their own court to defend them.

3 Inft. Clerica-

Whenever therefore he is impleaded out of his own court, he shall fay, that he is attorney, &c. of another court, and conclude with unde no intendit quo cur', &c. hic pl'tum prad' versus eum cognoscere velit & debeat, &c.

Exceptions.

Firft. Not fame Remedy.

But this is to be understood, when the plaintiff can have the fame remedy against the officer in his own court, as in that where he fues him; for if money be attached in an attorney's hands by foreign attachment in the sheriff's court in London, he shall not have his privilege; because in this case the plaintiff would be remediless; for the Page 110. foreign attachment is by the particular * custom

of London, and does not lie at common law; fo that if the attorney should have his privilege, the plaintiff should be without his redress.

I Saund. 67.

So if a writ of entry, or other real action be brought against an attorney of the King's Bench, he cannot plead his privilege; because, if this should be allowed, the plaintiff would have a right without remedy; for the King's Bench hath not cognizance of real actions.

Ib. 16

So if an attorney of the Common Pleas be fued in an appeal, he shall not have his privilege; for his own court hath not cognizance of this action, and by this protection he should go unpunished.

It

thi

by

co

ce

th

3

bu

ar

Vi

h:

th

th

to

ar

2

th

le

0

m

m

la

tl

fi

tl

fi

P

F

It has been held by some of the books, that Bro. Br. 25. this may not be pleaded after imparlance, because 9 Ed. 4. 53. by imparling he affirms the jurisdiction of the 22 H. 6. 71. court, which by this plea he would ouft.

But in all these cases (except 22 H. 6. 71.) it is not faid, whether it was a special or general imparlance; and after a general imparlance, it is certain, it cannot be pleaded, for the defendant

then must plead in chief.

i-

ey

18

of

ir

ir

·fs

ht

r, vn

of

y,

116

ımı

iff

is

if 0-

n,

115

he

m

fo

ne

be h,

115 ht

ot

bs

10

C-

u-

It

22 H. 6. 71. there was a special imparlance, Bro. 15. &c. (viz.) salvis omnibus allegationibus & exceptionibus omnimodis, tam ad breve quam ad narrationem, and the court would not allow the defendant privilege: because * says the book, by imparling he * Page 211. has admitted the jurisdiction of the court; but the true reason of that resolution seems to be, that by this imparlance he has confined himself to take advantage only of the defect in the writ 2 Ro. Ab. 275. and count; but had he obtained from the court Han- 365. a general special imparlance, (viz.) salvis omnibus & Lut. 110. omnimodis advantagiis & exceptionibus, he' might then have pleaded his privilege; for that is not to oust the court of their jurisdiction, but is a privilege which each court allows to the officers of the other to be fued in their own court only, and the modern authorities are express, that privilege may be pleaded after a general special imparlance.

But the privilege, which the court indulges Gage's Cafe. their officers with, is restrained to the suits only, Hob. 177. which they bring in their own right, for if they Second In auter fue or are field as executors or administration fue or are fued as executors or administrators, they then represent common persons who have not that privilege; fo that when an attorney is fued as executor or administrator, he may be impleaded in another court; for he is fued as in auter droit.

So if an officer of one court fues an officer of 20 Ro. Ab. 274. another court, the defendant shall not plead his 2 Mod. 193. privilege; for the attendance of the plaintiff is as Hambleton and necessary

CE

di

m

u

m

pi

ag

br

in

hi

an

no

cla

th

ma

the

Ki

po

pl

bu

of

in

an

TY

ha

is !

is l

he

the

the

nai

tha

Page 212. and therefore the * cause is legally attached in the court, where the plaintiff is an officer.

The original reason t of privilege, as is mentioned, was because the attornies and officers of the court were obliged to attend the court, and to do their business there; this is a real reason why an attorney shall not be drawn out of Westminsterhall into inferior courts; for they cannot attend the business of the courts of Westminster, and a suit brought against them in the country; this was likewise a good reason in relation to the King's Bench, and Common Pleas, and Chancery in its original; for the King's Bench and Chancery being ambulatory with the king, ubicunque fuerit in Anglia, if the officers of each court were drawn by suits into the other, it might be a prejudice to the business of the Common Pleas.

So if a privileged person brings a joint action with others, he loses his privilege in this case, because the others, are not officers of the court, nor intitled to the attachment which the court grants to their own ministers.

So if an action be brought against him and others, he shall not have his privilege, for he would then destroy the plaintist's action; for the plaintist must sue the others by original writ, and him by petition to the justices; but this is to be understood where * the action is joint, and cannot be severed; for if the action can be severed without

Dy. 377. Godb. 10. 1 Vent. 288, 289. 2 Mod. 197. 298. 1 Ro. Ab. 275. 14 H. 4. 21. 20 H. 6. 32. Page 21 3.

Though the Chief Baron gives the reader the original reason of the privilege here in question, yet he does not mention a single word about the origin of the privilege, so that the readers are lest in the dark as to the time when this privilege was first pleadable by an attorney in the court. Certain it is, that Britton, who has written the clearest and most methodical treatise of the laws and processes of mr law-writs, is totally filent with respect to this privilege. It seems to be of no very ancient date, as the modern nonsensical jargon of a general special imparlance (mentioned in the preceding page) sufficiently indicates.

without doing any injury to the plaintiff, the offi-

cer shall have his privilege.

If an attorney of the Common Pleas be in cuflodia marshalli for want of bail at the suit of A. he may plead his privilege; for though he be taken upon bill of Middlesex, or lutitat, and in a common person's case if he were brought in by such process, he is to answer to the plaintist's demand against him by bill, and not to the process that brought him, yet since actus legis nemini faciat injurium, such sictious trespass to bring the party to appear shall never ous the attorney of his real privilege.

But if he be in custod' Mar' at the suit of A. 2 Ro. Ab. 275. and B. declare against him in custod' mar' he shall not plead his privilege against B. because B. declares against him collaterally as he is in prison at the suit of A. and as to B. he is truly in custod' mar'; for being once ousted of his privilege at the suit of A. he can no longer attend as an attorney in the other court, but is fixed in the King's Bench, and therefore cannot by the supposition of the necessity of his attendance oust the

plaintiff of his action.

The court not only privilege their own officers, Bro. pr. 8. but likewise the tenants and attendants of their officers, that they shall not * be impleaded, but * Page 214. in the court where their masters are attend-34 H. 6. 15. ants; but it must be in such servants as are necessary to them in their attendance; for they shall not have the privilege for any others.

Thus the plaintiff may reply, that the defendant 34 H. 6. 15. is fervant of an officer in the court; but that he Bro. Traverse is husbandman in the country, and traverse, that 27. he is fervant to the officer, on his attendance to

the court.

1

ł

t

d

We come now to other pleas in abatement of Missomer. the writ itself; and the first of missomer, since the names are the only marks and indicium of things, that human kind can understand each other by, if

the

m

m

ta

m

ſe

ar

po

tw

CO

if

W

gu de

re th

ch

th

by

tri fil

de

de av fa

ca di

th

an

na w

31

n

the name be omitted or mistaken, there is a complaint made against no body; that is, no complaint at all made; therefore we must first see, what the law is, if the name be omitted.

First, In declarations.

Secondly, In grants and obligations.

As to the mistake of the name,

First, In declarations, if the name be omitted on the gift of the action the action the declaration is bad; but if some thing be omitted, as the lach of the names to what was formerly faid, yet is not the declaration bad,

Cro. El. Law & Saunders 913.

3. Law in an affumpsit declares thus, 3. L. Queritur de Thom Saunders, &c. cum in confidera-* Page 215. tione, quod idem J. L. would * marry the daughter of the faid Thomas Saunders, super se assumpsit to pay him 100l. the declaration is bad, though after a verdict, because it does not say, prad Thomas Saunders super se, &c. for no body is exprefly charged with affuming, and when it is indifferent whether there be any injury or no, it is not by the court to be supposed.

But if the plaintiff counts against J. S. as seized of the manor of Dale, and J. S. levies a fine of the manor of Dale, without faying prad' J. S. or de maner' præd', this after verdict shall be taken to be fo, for he being named to be feized, and this by verdict being found, it is necessary it should be intended the J. S. mentioned; for here it cannot possibly be taken indifferently either

Secondly, In grants and obligations, if either the christian or furname be wholly omitted, it may be supplied by averment: if there be no christian name, there is no repugnancy to any other name, but that it may be averred; of which fee hereafter.

Thirdly, As to the mistakes of names it is to be known first, what names may, or may not be mikaken,

Cro. Jac. Watt's Cafe

152.

mistaken, and who shall take advantage of that mistake.

First, What name may, or may not be miftaken, is, only here to be confidered; for who may or may not take advantage of * that mistake, * Page 216. will fall under pleas to the writ.

And First, as to mistakes in the names them-

felves; Secondly, in the additions.

1

n

h

5

.

1is

-

10

n

is

ld

it

er

he

be

an er

ee

be be

n,

First, As to the names themselves; and they are twofold, either of natural persons, or of bodies politick.

First, The names of natural persons are again

twofold, christian and furnames.

First, Christian names; and here it is to be considered, if it be wholly mistaken; Secondly, if it be truly put at first, and varied from afterwards.

First, If it be wholly mistaken; and this is re- Cro. Jac. 558. gularly fatal to all legal instruments, not only to 640. declarations, but grants and obligations; also the Lit. 3. reason is, because it is repugnant to the rules of Owen 107. the christian religion, that there should be two Dy. 279. al christian names, for that allows no rebaptizing; 36 H. 6. 26. therefore you cannot declare against the party but by that name in the obligation, and bring in his true name by an alias; for that supposes the posfibility of two christian names, and you cannot declare against the party, and aver he made the deed by his wrong name; for that is to fet up an averment contrary to the deed, and there is that fanction allowed to every folemn contract, that it cannot be suppressed but by a thing of equal validity; and if he be impleaded * by the name in * Page 217. the deed, he may plead that he is another person, and that is not his deed.

And therefore if Edward obliges himself by the Co. Lit. 3. name of Edmund and is fued by the name of Ed- 2 Ro. Ab. 135. ward, with an alias die? of Edmund, it is error: 9 E. 3. 454. and though a person cannot have two christian 22 R. 2. 936. names at one and the fame time, yet they may, 3 H. 6. 26. according 34 H. 6. 19.

12 Ra. 2. 58. 2 H. 6. 26. Vid. Tit. Err.

according to the institution of the church, receive one name at their baptizing to make double names, yet it doth force a man to abide by the name given him by his god-fathers when they come themselves to make profession of religion.

First exception to this rule is in case of felony, for it is faid at common law, if a person be indicted by a wrong christian name, yet he shall not plead missioner to the felony; for the first is fwom against the party present, and appearing to their view, and so no injury by the misnomer, as might be where the party appears by attorney, and felons generally go by no certain name, and have no fixed habitation; and therefore is altered by

the statute of additions.

Second exception is in grants, which is where there are fuch fufficient marks of distinction that the grant would be good without any name at all, and when there is a sufficient expression and specification of parties, whatever is redundant and over and above, like all other furplufage, though Page 218 * mistaken, cannot hurt and destroy the force of the grant, according to the rule utile per inutile non vitiatur; and therefore a grant to George bishop of Norwich, where his name is John, or to Henry earl of Pembroke, where his name is Robert, or to Emmy the wife of J. S. where her name is Emelyn, it doth not vitiate.

Idem ib.

Co. Lit. 3. 11 Co. 21.

> But in pleading, in these cases, the christian name ought to be flewn; for the death of the individual is a good plea in abatement, which often falls out where the fame office, dignity, or relation, continues in another.

3 Leon. 18.

The third exception is on a devise, tho' the christien name be mistaken, that if there be a sufficient specification of the party, the devile is good, because it must be construed according to the intention of the devise.

2 Inft. 665. 1 H. 5. 5. 6.

And

t

6

n

C

n

C

tl

C

is

di th

an

And therefore if a devise be made to Abraham the eldest son of B. where his name is William,

this is a good devise.

Fourthly, if a man is impleaded by his wrong Hill. 8. Gul. name, and upon the plea in bar pleaded, judg-Reg. ment is given for the defendant; if he be afterwards impleaded by his right name, he may plead in bar the former judgment, and aver that he is un' & ead' persona, for no man ought to be forced to take advantage of the missoner.

* Secondly, the fecond thing to be confidered is, * Page 219. if the name be truly put at first, and afterwards varied from; and this matter is to be confidered in conveyances, declarations, and judg-

ments.

ve

le

ne

ey

у,

C-

ot

rn

11

ht

ve

by

at at

11,

nd

gh

of

2011

op

nry

to

yn,

ian the

ich

10

the

uf-

vise to

And

First, In conveyances, in fines or feoffments, the Hale super change of the real christian name into another Lit. name doth not avoid it; for there is no apparent 1 Aff. 11. mistake of the clerk, and charters receive a be-34 H. 6.9. nign interpretation, and most against the grantor.

Secondly, In declarations, and other judicial re-

cords; and here are these diversities.

First, If two names are in original derivation Cro. Jzc. 425. the same, and are promiscuously to be the same in 2 Ro. Ab. 136. common use, tho' they differ in sound, yet there is no variance; as Piers Griffith brought an audita querela, and outlawry was pleaded by the name Peter Griffith, and allowed.

Saunders and Alexander
Joan and John
Jane and Jone
Garet, Gerad, and Gerald
Franciscus and Francis
Randel and Rannus

The fame Names. 2 Ro. Abr. 125, 6. Cro. Jac. 584. 1 Leon. 147. 2 Cro. 23.

Secondly, If there be two English names that are Cro. Jac. 534. distinct, and one Latin name for * them both, 2 Ro. Abr. 136. this makes no alteration in the record; as James Page 220. and Jacob are two English names, and for them

there is one Latin word, viz. Jacobus, a direction to Jacob. vice-com. the return was respond' Jacob'; and well enough.

Thirdly, There is a fubstantial variance in found, original, and common use, that is not

amendable.

Cro. El. 400.

Moor 866.

2. 18.

16 & 17 Car.

Cro. Jac. 435. As if a man declares against J. S. and Agnes his a Ro. Abr. 135. wife, and the record of nist prius is Anne his wife, this is a material variance, and not amendable.

Cro. Jac. 425. Ralph and Randall
1 Leon. 232. Randulphus and Randalphus
Cro. El. 656. Sibyll and Isabell

Different names.

Thirdly, Judgments; and when it hath appeared to be a misprission of the clerk, it hath sometimes been amended, and sometimes not, less there should be mistakes in execution, and because they say judgments are the acts of the court, and not of the clerk, and so not within the statute that gives them power to amend the errors of the clerk.

Cro. Jac. 662. Declaration of John White against Thomas Wheeler; judgment quod Thomas recuperit amended and made John.

* Page 221. * Declaration against Thomas, and judgment against John was amendable.

Declaration against Sibyll, and judgment against Isabella is error.

The statute of Car. 2. says that judgment shall not be reversed for any mistake in christian and surname in any declaration, plaint or pleading. 2. whether this extends to judgments?

Secondly, We come now to the mistakes of furnames,

First, When it is wholly mistaken.

Secondly, When there is a variance.

When wholly mistaken.

First, In grants and obligations.

Secondly,

t

n

d

a

(

0

d

C

fe

0

th

m

a

Ca

E

ar

b

de

S

er

li

CO

b

01

n

ta

th

Secondly, In judicial proceedings.

First, In grants and obligations, the mistake of the furname doth not vitiate, because there is no repugnancy that a person should have two different furnames, so that he may be impleaded by the name in the deed, and his real name brought in by an alias, and then the name in the deed he cannot Hale fuper deny, because he is estopped to say any thing con-Lit. 3. trary to his own deed; for that is what they call 2 Ro. Ab. 146. an abfurdity to deny that which the party himself has formerly admitted; and he cannot with fuccels deny his real name, as an obligation of John Gate where his name of Gope is good.

* The declaration must be of the name in the * Page 222. obligation with an alias of the real name, for the Dy. 279. declaration, as is faid, must shew the cause of Buli- 216. complaint, as it is; therefore it must in all things follow the obligation, and the intent of the alias is only to shew he has been differently called from the name in the obligation; and therefore if a man oblige himself by the name of J. S. Esq. and afterwards he is made a knight, the plaintiff cannot declare against 7. S. knight, alias 7. S.

Efq.

t

ê

15

-

ıt

ft

11

ıd

g.

of

dly,

But a mistake in a letter has been allowed Saxey and amendable; as if a man bind himself in a bond Wempston.
Bull. 2166 by the name of William Saxex, and the obligee declares against him by the name of William Saxey, alias Saxex, this is good enough, and not error, because the judges have a power to amend literal mistakes.

But where a man makes an obligation to a Co. 10. corporation by a wrong name, they shall declare Rep. 125. by their right name, and alledge that the obligation was made to them by the other name.

Secondly, in declarations and pleadings, the fur-

name ought to be thewn.

Here the judges have power to amend the mif-Cro. El. 45. take of a letter it the record be before them; but Transon and the mistake of a letter may be very fatal to a just Delamere.

cause, M 2

cause, if the record be not before them; as if A. Page 223. brings an * affumpfit against B. and declares he was bail for him at the fuit of William Adderby, and the defendant affumed to fave him harmless, and that the plaintiff was taken in execution, and paid the debt; upon non assumpfit pleaded it was found that the defendant was arrested by the name of William Adderby, but they declared against by the name of William Adderly, and the plaintiff became bail for him, &c. in this case the opinion of the court was, that the defendant was not chargeable; for Adderby and Adderly shall not be intended the fame person, at whose fuit the plaintiff became bail; for the verdict hath no credit against a record; and therefore it cannot reconcile the difference that appeared between the records; but in this case if it had been before the court, it might have been amended.

Secondly, As to the variance of furnames.

First, In judgment; if the furname in the judgment differs from the furname in the declaration, yet it shall be amended; for in judgment the christian name need only to be mentioned, and the furname is redundant, and then utile per inutile non vitiatur; as if a declaration be against John Morgan Wolfe, and the judgment be against John Morgan, this is well enough; fo if a declaration be against Henry Skinner, and * judgment be entered quod * Page 224. Henricus Joiner recuperet 10.1. affeffed by the jury, and st. eidem Henrico Skinner de incremento.

Cro. El. 57. Deply and Sprats. 5 Co. 42.

Cro. El. 865.

Heb. 327. Cro. Inft. 632.

Secondly, The variance of the furname in the process to the sheriff destroys not the verdict; otherwise it is in the variance of the christian name; for when any man is named by two different furnames, as by law he may have; therefore if a venire fac' be to one by the name of George Thompson, and in the distringus he be named Gregory Thompson, and he appear and is fworn, the verdict is not good; but if there be two different

0

0

tl

k

tł

p

furnames in the record, they shall be intended his real names; and then the verdict shall not be awarded; as if a man be named in the ven' fac'. Thomas Barker of B. and he appears and is sworn, and tries the issue, the verdict is good notwinhtanding.

Secondly, The names of corporations and all bodies politick being artificial men formed by the king, he gives name in the fame patent, and how far they may vary from it on their grants and obligations to and from themselves, and in devises to them, and in declarations and pleadings, is to be

confidered.

2

e

e

e

t

5

First, In their leases, grants, feoffments, and * Page 225. obligations, &c. and here the differences * are to be observed between their names, and the

The names of men at this day are only founds for distinction fake, though they perhaps originally imported something more, as some natural qualities, features, or relations; but now there is no other use of them but to mark out the families or individuals we speak of, and to make them known from all others.

But the names of corporations are not arbitrary founds merely so individuative, but have a certain and significant meaning; and if that be kept to, though the words and syllables be varied, yet the body politick is very well named, for then there is enough said to shew that there is such an artificial being, and to distinguish it from others.

Secondly, The names of corporations are given i Leon. 163. of necessity, for the name is as the very being of Lock. 242. the constitution, and though it is the will of the king that erects them, yet the name is the knot of their combination, without which they could not perform their corporate acts; and it is no body to Hob. 88. plead and be impleaded, to take and give, until it 2 Co. 5. 1. hath got a name; but natural persons can take before

before they come into being, and when they are in being, before they have got a name; as a remainder may be limited to the eldest fon of F. S. Page 226 but if a remainder be limited to fuch a corporation as the king shall next erect, this is not good, though a corporation be erected before the particular estate be determined; for this body of men are only capable of taking by the name in the patent.

These names of corporations are usually taken

from five things.

From the persons of which they consist. From the use and design of their being.

From the names of the patrons that first procured their jurisdictions.

From the place where they refide, and,

From the names of Saints, &c.

11 Co. 11, 16. 20, 21, 125.

First. From the persons of which they confist; and here they note, that if the name be expressed by words fynonymous, it is sufficient; as if a college be instituted by the name of guardianus & scholares domus five collegii scholarum de Merton, and they make a lease by the name of custos & scholares, good; fo if the grant be made by prapofitus & focii, where it should be scholures, it is good.

To Co; 125.

So if J. S. abbot of B. makes a lease by the

name of clericus de B. well enough.

boroughs † are founded by the king.

If there be a corporation founded by the name of Mayor & Burgenses burgi dom' regis, an obligation is made to them by the name of mayor & burgenses de Linne regis, &c. without faying burgi don' * Page 227. regis, and * this was allowed a good obligation; for the parties are sufficiently expressed, and all

Guardianus

2

d

e

n

di

le

ع

g

th

at

aı

0

m

15

ac

th

tr

fo

re

fa

th

B

d

th te

d

fo

⁺ A berough, technically speaking, is nothing more than a decennary; where three, four or more decennaries have collected themfelves into one spot, or walled town, such town takes the name of a borough-town. When I fav decennary, I mean that species, where each of the decenners are flanding bail or furcties for one another.

Guardianus for guardian well enough, but they 3 Leon. 18. are an aggregate body.

Secondly, their name is taken from the end and

defign of their being.

e

e

.

11

15

7-

n-

2 re

r.

ut

If an house be founded by the name of minister Hob. 124. Dei pauperis domus, and a release be made by the name of minister pauperis domus Dei, this is well enough, for the main delign is specified by both names.

But if a house be founded by the name of guar- 10 Co. 125. diani & scholarum domus five collegii de Merton, and a Fisher v. lease be made by them by the name of guardianus & scholarus domus sive collegii de Merton, this is no good leafe; for it is a material variance of the name, fince they have not expressed the design of the house, which is a substantial part of the name.

But if a college be instituted by the name of Co. Arras's aula scholarum regina to be governed by a provost, Case. and they are confirmed by the king by the name of prapefitus & scholarus aula regina, and they make a grant of that advowson by that name, this is good; for that college would never have a name according to the words of the first charter; for then it would be a fole corporation, which is contrary to the general convenience * of fuch a body; * Page 228, for the name would be prapofitus scholarum aula regine, which cannot be intended, and the word scholares is not required, as in the former case, and the placing where it is, confirms the establishment;

and

But there is another species of boroughs, and that is where a Lord having his own court of Sac, Soc, Sc. is surety for all his servants dwelling in fao proprio plegio, and yet the family is fo numerous as to constitute as it were a town of itielf, and this is the origin of these boroughs, which we fill continue to say are held by burgage tenure, which is a baie tenure, and of which fees, as Britton tays, " nul gard ne append. mais nurture seulment, et dont les guardeyns font plutot servants, que guardeyns."—It is of this inferior fort of burgeffes. to which the 20th H. 3. c. 6. alludes, where it is enacted, that fuch lords as disparage their wards, by marrying them to " Villaris, vel aliis, ficut Burgenfibus (fuis) ubi disparagentur," shall lofe the wardship of fugh heirs.

and confirmation of the king, and common appellation are good interpreters of the original intent of the name.

Thirdly, The names of corporations are taken from the names of the patrons, that procured the

jurisdiction, or that have endowed them.

1 Rep. 124.

Edward 4. incorporated the deans and canons of Windsor by the name of the king's free chapel of St. George the martyr; and in the time of William and Mary they made a lease by the name of the dean and canons of the king's and queen's free chapel, &c. this is a material mistake of the name; for it takes its name from the founder, that is here mistaken, and the name of a different one substituted in its room.

Fourthly, Their names are taken from the places, where they reside, for a corporation has a fixed place, where it is settled, and from whence it cannot be removed; but to natural persons the name of the place is but an addition; for they may remove and change place, and so their names would

have perpetual alterations.

Page 229.

* Popham compares the name of a place of a corporation to the furname of a person, which regularly ought to be expressed in leases; but if it be not put with all exactness, yet it avoids not the lease; but however that be, it is certain the mistake of the very name of the place, which doth not missname the situation, is not material; for then it keeps within the general rule formerly given.

Ibid. Id. Britton and Wrightman. As if a corporation be founded by the name of the dean and chapter of the collegiate church in Oxford, and they make a lease by the name of the dean and chapter of the collegiate church in the university of Oxford, this is well enough; for the place of the situation is well and sufficiently shewn.

And. 196.

But if a corporation he incorporated by the name of the guardian and scholars of Merton in

the

ti

b

A th

ra

n

u

fo

di

to

Ca

de

th

cl

of

u

ar

fe

er

en

on

bu

de

on

lea

the university of Oxford, and they make a lease by the name of the guardian and scholars of Merton in Oxford, it is not good; for the place of the situation is not well alledged; for if the gene- 10 Co. 125. ral word Oxford contained town and university of cont. Oxford, it is in Oxford, and so the lease answers the name; but if the college is named to be within the university of Oxford, the saying generally it is in Oxford is not * sufficient, because it doth not appear within the precincts of the university.

* Page 230.

If a corporation be founded by the names of decanus & capitulum cathedralis sancta & individua 10 Co. 124: trinitatis Carliensis, sancta trin' in Carlistia & totum capitulum de ecclesia prad, this is good, though in Carlissia, for Carliensis, and individua be omitted.

If a corporation be founded by the name of the dean and chapter of the king's free chapel of St. 10 Co. 124. George the martyr within the castle of Windsor, and the lease is made by the name of the dean and chapter, and within the castle of Windsor, this is

well enough.

e

1

e

e

15

lè

s,

d

1-

ne

eld

a

eit

he

if-

ot

or ly

of

in

he

he

tly

he

in

he

But to creet an hospital by the name of the hospital in the county of S. or in the bishoprick Poph. 57. of B. it is not good; for the place is too large and uncertain; for it doth not distinguish its situation; and if one corporation may be erected, then a second may; which would cause an uncertainty and utter confusion in the names; but a college erected in academia Cambridge or Oxford, is well enough, because those are particular places, and the corporation must be there sufficiently known.

Fifthly, The name of the faint; and if this be omitted or mistaken, this doth not avoid their grants or leases; for the name * of dedication is but an empty found, and expresses no real use or * Page 231. design; and therefore is immaterial, and may be

omitted.

If the prior of St. Michael of Coventry makes a leafe by the name of our dean of Coventry this is 11 Co. 21.

good; Poph. 59.
10 Co. 124

good; fo if they granted an annuity or corrody, and the name of the faint had been omitted.

war

den

half

doE

with

it w

by t

nam

fue

tho'

thou

leav

it is

gina

bod

exp

kno

peri

his

will

he i

linc

but

cor

is a

be 1

is lo

bur

mai

nali

ple:

luri

the

ple:

pla

A

I

(

S

If a corporation be inflituted in honour of St. George the martyr, and in the leafe they omitted

the word martyr, it is well enough.

Mob. 33. 19 H. S. S.

If a devise be to the abbot of St. Peter where it is really the abbot of St. Paul, the devise is void; for here the faint's name is the only specification of the party in the devise, which is mistaken.

The names of corporations are to be confidered

in declarations and pleadings.

10 Rep. 126. Hardr. 504-

feveral If the corporation Fir/t, have names.

Secondly, If the name be mistaken.

Thirdly, If he put the right name first, and varied from.

Firft, If the corporation have feveral names, there is a difference between an ancient corporatition, and a corporation newly erected; for an ancient corporation by use may have several names differing in substance; but otherwise of a corporation within memory; for this regularly can only

have the name by which it is conflituted.

* Page 232. Chancellor of Oxford's Cafe.

Hil. 8 Gal'

2 Salk. 45.

Salmon. 5 Mod. 327.

Regis; College

of Phyficians v.

* But any corporation by act of parliament may take by another name, than that by which it was instituted; for in acts of parliament the subject and defign of the legislature must be respected; and those, that have power wholly to change the name of things, have certainly power to alter it in any act of theirs; and all inferior jurisdictions are bound to support the fense of the law, and not to destroy it, if it hath any meaning; and therefore the flatute that advowsons of popish recusants convict be given to the chancellor and scholars of the university of Oxford, and they bring their action by the name of the chancellor, masters, and scholars of the university of Oxford, this is well enough.

The college of physicians were incorporated by the name of the prefident and college, or commonalty of the faculty of phylick; and after-

wards

wards in the patent it was granted, that the prefident of the college should sue and be sued in behalf of the college, brought an action against doctor Salmon, upon the statute for practifing without licence under the feal of the college; and it was controverted, whether it should be brought by the name of the prefident and college, or by the name of the prelident; and the court allowed to fue by either, and fo were the precedents; for tho' it was a rare instance, that the corporation * Page 233. should be incorporated by one name, and have leave to fue by another name; yet where it is fo, it is very natural and proper as well as by the original name; for by this they are inflituted as a body politick; as by the name that they have an express power of fuing by.

Secondly. If it be wholly mistaken; it is to be 2 Inst. 666. known, that fome have held, that when a politick Yelv. 34, 49, 50. person is impleaded, to name him by the name of his politick capacity, is fufficient, and that this will ferve instead of christian and surname, because he is not to be diffinguished from natural persons, lince as a natural person he is not impleaded;

corporations.

1

d

ıl

d

i-

1-

es

)-

ly

ıy

1-

nd

br

ne

in

re

to

re

nts

of

00

nd

ell

ted

merrds

Others have taken this difference : where there 2 Inft. 666. is a fole corporation, the christian name ought to be laid in the declaration; as where a fee-fimple is lodged in one person, as John, bishop of Canter-

bury, Thomas abbot of D.

But where the corporation is aggregate of many capable persons, as mayor and commonalty, dean, and chapter, &c. none of them in pleading are named by their proper christian and iurname.

but it is enough to distinguish him from all other

And the reason was before hinted at, because in the first case the death of the individual * is a good * Page 234. plea in abatement; for a new fuccessor comes in his place, that was not party to the former writ.

But

27 H. 6. 3.

But bodies aggregate are immortal and invariable, and therefore the parties to the first writ are always the same; but all are agreed, that if a corporation be impleaded, that the name of the body politick comes instead of the surname; for that is not necessary to distinguish him as an individual, or as a corporation, and there a writ brought by or against the bishop of Canterbury, omitting the surname, is good.

10 115. Co. ib. 65. Secondly, There is a difference between writs, declarations, &c. and obligations, and leases; for that if the name of a corporation be mistaken in a writ, a new writ may be purchased of common right; but it were fatal, if mistaken in leases and obligations, and the benefits of them would be wholly lost; and therefore one ought to be supported, and not the other. John, abbot of W. granted common of pasture to J. S. by the name of William, abbot of W. this is good enough causa qua supra.

6 Co. 65.

But if this name had been thus mistaken in a

writ, it had been fatal.

Ibid.

A corporation was inflituted by the name of prafecti & guardianorum naupegorum de Rederiffe; and an action is brought against * them by the name of prafecti, guardiani & socii, and accounted bad.

2 Bulft. 233.
Tipling and
Pexall.

* Page 235.

So if a writ be brought by Hugh, prior of Coventry, this is too general and shall abate, but, in

a leafe fo made, had been good.

Cro. Car. 574. Healing and Mayor of London. If the corporation be named by their name, and afterwards mistaken; as if judgment be given in an action of debt, that the mayor or commonalty, and citizens, should recover the debt, and 6d. costs eifd' major' & communitat', omitting civibus, this was allowed error.

Of the state, place of abode, and dignity, or fome periphrasis or circumlocution, by way of

necessary inducement.

17/

n

n

C

P

12

CE

fe

ec

fi

CO

be

na

co

m

ty,

pe

tw

ex

fee

the

fur

be

are

pai

fur

Gc.

in

om

7.

ior

sha!

mer

First, As to the state, place of abode, and dig-

nity.

112-

are

if a

the

for

in-

writ

ury,

rits,

for

in a

non

and

be

up-

W.

ame

aufa

n a

of

ffe;

the

nted

Co-

t, in

me,

iven

mo-

and

civi-

of of

Fir !

State is defined by the civilians, the capacity of moral persons; for as natural persons have a certain space in which their natural existence is pleaded, and in which they perform their natural actions; so have persons in a community a certain state or capacity in which they are suppofed to exist, to perform their moral acts, and execute all civil relations.

How far it is necessary to take notice of the flate and dignity, and place of abode, is here

confidered.

First, in judiciary forms. * Secondly, In contracts.

First, In judiciary forms; and here first it is to 2 Inft. 666. be diffinguished between names of dignity and 7 H. 6. 29. names of worthip, that we may fee what was the Fitz. Br. 198, common law in these cases; names of dignity are \$58, 250, 480. marks of distinction imposed by publick authority, and they always make the very name of the person to whom they are given: and they are of two forts, either of fuch marks of distinction as exclude the furname, fo that the persons may not feem to be of any common family, and fuch are the names of earls, dukes, &c. that exclude their furnames, and by them the parties must plead and be impleaded; otherwise the writ abates.

Secondly, They are fuch marks of diffinction as Hale fuper are always imposed by the supreme power, and are Lit. 3. parcel of the name itself, but do not exclude the 6 E. 4. 19. furname; fuch as knight, baronet, banneret, Theol. 50. &c. fince these are imposed by publick authority, Show. 392. in declarations and pleadings they could not be omitted; fo that if the capias be awarded against J. S. knight, where he is a baronet, it is void; for they are not of the same name, and therefore

shall not be intended the same persons.

But names of worship, such as esquire, gentle- 2 Infl. 666. men, and yeomen, fince they are only * names of * Page 237. distinction

Page 236.

30 E. 1. 1 Theol. diffinction in popular use, not given by the pub. lick authority of the supreme power, the law does not account them parcel of the name, and fo they were not necessary at common law in declarations and pleadings.

Male 89: 90.

The fecond fort of additions are of inducive periphrasis, or description, and there are two signal inducements to a great many actions.

First, As heir, Secondly, as executor or adminif-

trator.

First, Heir; and here it is to be considered, First, Where the inducement is mistaken.

Secondly, Where it is varied from.

First, Where mistaken; and here the diversity is, where the inducement is necessary, and mistaken, it is fatal to the action; otherwise, where the inducement is not necessary, but furplusage only: as if an action of detinue of charters be brought against & C. and the writ is præcipe J. C. fil' & hæred' of R. C. and he counts of a bailment to the defendant himself, the defendant pleads, that he was fon and heir to W. C. and not to R. C. this is no good plea, because he was charged with an injury done by himself; but if he had been charged upon any covenant of his ancestor, as his Page 238. representative, there the * periphrasis must have been rightly formed; for otherwise the plaintiff doth not intitle himself to his action, and there this had been a good plea.

10 Ed. 4. 12.

Secondly, Where it is varied, this is fatal; as if the writ be against the son and heir apparent, and the declaration against the son and heir generally, this is not good, and for fuch an error judgment may be reverfed; fo a declaration against heir, and judgment against son and heir apparent, shall be reverfed.

Crn. El. 333. Annelley and Stokes. Hale Super 1.it. 3. Poff. 25. El. 242. Woodeygate and Audiey.

Secondly, Executor; and it is to be known that if this inducement be not at first in a declaration, yet if it afterwards appears that the party is charged as executor, this is fufficient; as if an action of

1 Saund. 111. Dean, &c. v. Guife.

covenant

b

t

e

d

e:

tl

m

th

th

W

ca

th

to

cu

to

00

th

ad

mi

tra

fir

leg

bor

die

COI

ftat

cei

fici

fici

the

mi

ftr:

de

in

ıb-

oes

ey

ns

ive

ıg-

if-

lity

sta-

the

ly:

ght

8

the

he

is is

an een

his nave

ntiff

this

s if

and

ally,

nent

ieir,

fhall

that

tion,

rged

n of nant

covenant be brought against J. S. executor, and be not named at first J. S. executor of the last will and tellament, but afterwards it is shewn that the tellator did covenant and bind himself, his executors, &c. and made J. S. his executor, and died, and affigns a breach, this is sufficient without a formal nomination. In debt brought against B. executor of the will of C. the defendant pleads that C. made A. his executor, and died, and demands judgment of the writ; the plaintiff replies, that A. before any probate of the will died, and that the defendant proved the will, and the writ was abated, because fince the executor in this Page 239. case is residuary legatee, and died before probate, the executorship of his goods shall be committed to his executor as administrator of the first testator cum testamento annexo; and therefore he ought not to be charged as executor but as administrator de bonis non of the first testator.

If A. makes B. his executor, and dies, B. proves Vide Hob. 346. the will and makes C. an infant executor, and dies, Mulenuex & administration durante minor' atat' generally com- Ford, he may mitted to D. D. shall not be charged as adminif- be charged as trator duran' minor atat', as to the affairs of the of the first first testator; but if the first executor be refiduary Executor in a legatee, D. shall be charged as administrator de Covenant of the bonis non of the first testator.

But if the executor be not reliduary legatee, and Cro. El. 211. dies intestate, auministration de bonis non is to be

Secondly, What new laws are introduced by the fatute of additions in the time of H. 5. it was perceived that the christian and furname were not fufficient determinations of persons, and did not sufficiently avoid the confusion that might happen by the militake of persons; and an innocent person might upon process of excommunication be difrained, upon having the same name with the real defendant; and by I H. 5. it was * enacted, that * Page 240. in all personal actions, appeals, and indictments, 2 Inf 665.

committed to the next of kin to the first testator.

there should be added to the name of the defendant their estates, degrees, mystery, and place of abode; and so by this law the name of worship was made equally necessary in these actions, as the name of dignity was before.

First, It is to be known, that the first doth not extend to the names of the plaintiff, for they were

in no mischief or danger to be mistaken.

Cre. El. 312.

The plaintiff in the obligation was named J. Thornaigh of Fenton in com' Norfolk armig', and in debt he declares by the name of J. Thornaigh armig' only; this is well enough, being on the part of the plaintiff; but otherwise had it been on the

part of the defendant.

At common law, upon the demife of the king, all fuits depending in the king's courts were difcontinued, fo that the plaintiffs were obliged to commence new actions or to have refummons or reattachment, and the former process to bring the defendant in; to prevent the expence as well as the delay on these occasions, it is enacted 1 E. 6.c. 7. that all fuits pending between party and party, shall not on the demise of the king be discontinued, but that they shall stand good and effectual, the death of the king notwithstanding; but in all cases when the king is only party, or when the information is tam pro domino rege quam pro se ipso, and the king dies before the judgment, all the proceedings on the information are loft; because that king who was party is dead; but the information or indictment shall stand; for as there are several penal statutes which are to be profecuted within a limited time, which would be lost if the information which was brought in due time was abated, the law will not permit that the act of God should protect those from being punished, who had broken the laws, pro bono publico.

Thus flood the law until 7 Annæ, c. 8. which enacts, that no writ, plea, process, or any proceeding on any indicaments or information, or any

offence,

t

(

C

1

1

W

f

t

C

fa

ti

a

3

th

Cro Jac. 14-7 Co- 30, 31-Moor- 748. Page 241-

offence, or any writ or process, or any debt or account to the king concerning lands, tenements, or other revenue, shall be discontinued by the de-

mife of the queen, or her successors.

That no commission of assige, Oyer and Terminer, general gaol-delivery, in association, writ of admittance, writ of sinon ownes, writ of assistance or commission of the peace, shall be determined after any demise, for six months, unless superfeded.

That no original writ, writ of nift privs, commission, process, or proceeding in any * court of * Page 242.
equity, nor any process on any office, or inquisition, nor certiorari, nor habeas corpus, either civil or
criminal, nor attachment, process for contempt,
nor any delegacy, or review for any matters ecclesiastical, testamentary, or maritime process, shall be
abated by demise.

The act extends to Ireland, Jersey, and Quernsey, and to all his majesty's dominions in America

and elfewhere.

]_

of

ip

ne

to

re

gh

art he

ıg,

if-

to

10

the

as

. c.

ty, nuthe

or-

and

ed-

hat

ion

eral

in a

ma-

the

oro-

ken

hich

eed-

any

nce,

An abatement by the death of parties.

party happens pending the writ, and yet the plea is in the same condition as if such party were living, there such death makes no alteration; for where the death of the parties makes no change of proceeding, it would be unreasonable that the surviving parties should make any alteration in their writ; for if such writ and process were changed, it would lett rights, which were in the same condition they were at the death of the parties; and it would be absard that what made no alteration should change the writ and the process; and on this rule, all the diversities turn.

* The first difference is in real actions; where * Page 243. there are several pleadings, there is summons and 1 Inst. 139.

feverance,

severance, as there is in most real actions, there the death of one of the parties abates the fuit; but in personal and mixed actions, where one intire thing is to be recovered, there the death of the

parties does not abate the writ; and the reason of the difference is, where there are two jointenants, and the one goes on to recover his moiety, and the other will not proceed, there is no reason, that he who is willing to proceed, should not recover his right, fince fuch tenant has a diffinct moiety, and therefore should have an action to recover it: but no fummons and feverance lies in personal actions; as, if trespass be committed in such jointtenants, they must both join in the action, for as one may release the whole, so the other may refuse to go on, and the other cannot recover his part of the damage without him; fo in debt by an obligation to two, there can be no fummons and feverance, because one of the joint obligees may releafe the bond, and therefore may not go on in the action; but if a man appoints two men executors; there shall be summons and severance, because tho' one of the executors may release such a release is a devastavit in him; but if he will not proceed at law. * Page 244. * it is no devastavit; and therefore both executors being only trustees for the person deceased, they shall not both be compelled to go on together; but if one refuses, the other may bring his action in the name of both, and have fummons and feverance; for otherwife each co-executor might, by collusion with the debtor and not proceeding, keep the other from recovering the affets, and yet not create a devaslavit in himself; but after such summons and feverance he does not proceed for the moiety, as in the real actions; but he proceeds in that action as the whole representative of the teftator, and is intitled to the whole the testator was in his lite-time.

Co. Lit. 139.

From these premisses it follows, that if there be two jointenants or copartners, and they bring a

real

e

re

10 of

S,

bi

at

er

y,

t: al

t-

as fe

of

a-

e-

e-

he

rs;

0

a

W,

rs

ev

r;

on

re-

by

ep

ot

nhe

in

ef-

as

be

a

las

real action, and one is summoned and severed, the other shall proceed for his moiety; and if the perfon fevered dies, the writ abates, because he goes for the whole, in case of the death of the jointenant, or of the copartner without iffue; and it would be improper to do it on that writ, whereby the lummons and leverance went only for a molety before, and the writ cannot have a double effect to go on for a moiety in case of summons and leverance, and for the whole in case of survivorfhip ; and therefore fince the flate of * the things * Page 245. is changed by the death of one of the parties, there must be a new writ; and it is the same law, if fuch jointenants should proceed without summons or leverance, for fince both by the writ might by possibility recover their moiety, they shall not go on for the whole in case of survivorship, because the words and effects of the writ at the time of its first purchating, was that each might recover his moiety; and therefore a new writ must be purchased to enable one to proceed for the whole.

But in personal and mixed actions, where there is fummons and feverance, the plaintiff goes on for the whole; there if one of them dies, yet the writ shall not abate, because they go on for the whole after fummons and feverance; and if they were to have a writ, it would only give the court

authority to go on for the whole.

If an action be brought in an inferior court 1 Salk. 8. against a feme fole, and pending the fuit intermarries, and afterwards removes the cause by habeas corpus, and the plaintiff declares against her as a feme fole, the may plead coverture at the time of the fuing the habeas corpus, because the proceedings are here de novo, and the court takes no notice of what was precedent to the habeas corpus; but upon motion on the return of the habeas corpus the court * will grant a procedendo; for though this * Page 246. be a writ of right, yet where it is to abate a rightful fuit the court may refuse it, and the bail be-N . 2

low, to this fuit, which by this contrivance he is outled of, and possibly by the same means of the debt.

1 H. 4.1. 2 H. 4.7. Theol. 10. Bro. Baron & Feme 66. Co. Lit. 133. There is one case, where the feme covert shall sue and be sued as a feme sole, (viz.) where the husband has abjured the realm, or is banished; for then he is civiliter mortius, and the husband being disabled to sue for the wise, it would be unreasonable that she should be remediless.

And it would be equally on those, who had any demands on her, that not being able to have any redress from the husband, they should not have any against her.

Idem.

It feems likewise, that a feme covert, if she is a farmer to the king, may likewise sue without her husband, it being to preserve the publick treasure.

Co. Lit. 133.

But these cases of coverture are not to be extended to the queen; for she is of that dignity in law, that she may sue in her own name; for she has a † separate property diffinet from the king her husband, and the subject may have remedy against her without applying to the king; for he being employed about the ardua regni is not to be interrupted by any thing, that does not immediately relate to himself.

2 H. 6. 4. Dr. pl'it. 3. Page 247. * The next thing to be confidered, is when the writ is abated de facto, and where it is only abateble, and here the general rule is, when the writ de facto abated; as if an action be brought against a feme covert as fole, this makes another man's property liable, without giving him an opportunity of defending himfelf, which would be contrary to common justice; therefore the writ is de facto abated.

ge H. 6. 4. Dr. pl'it. 3. But when the writ is abateable, it should be abated by pleading in time, or the writ stands good; thus coverture after the writ purchased must

...

The auram regine is what is here alluded to.

must be pleaded post ult' continuationem: for the husband is attached with the action, and therefore must plead in time; as the wife cannot by her own act destroy another man's action, nor the husband, unless he comes in time, for the action was well commenced.

Thus, if a writ be brought to the damage of Palm: 270, 271.
401. and declares ad damn' 2001. the verdict gives
301. this is no error after verdict; for the writ is

not abated de facto, but only abateable.

Let us now confider what abates the writ in toto,

and what in part only.

And the general rule is, that whatever proves the Dr. pl'it, 4. writ false at the time of suing it out shall abate the 22. E. 4. Hob. 195, 217. writ intirely; as if it appears on the plaintiff's 242, 279. own shewing, that he has no cause of action for Page 248.

part.

t

t

le

d

ft

Thus, if an action of trespass be brought against two defendants, and the one plead that the other was dead Die impetrationis brevis, or that there is Bulft. 1. none such in rerum natura, the whole writ shall abate; for it is the plaintiff's fault to abuse the authority of the court to call in a man that was dead; and it was no less an abuse of the process to

issue it against a feigned person.

But if one of the defendants die, pending the 22 E. 4.4. writ, this shall not abate the action against the Dr. plit'. other defendant; for this is the act of God, &c. and no fault in the plaintiss; but this falsification of the writ must be in a material point; for in a pracipe quod reddat against two, if one pleads non-tenure, and the other takes the whole tenancy on himself, the writ shall not abate in the whole, but stand good against him that has accepted the tenancy, because he is a proper desendant to the action, and the non-tenure of the one does no way prejudice the other desendant.

But if there be two executors, and one is named Dr. pl'it' 7. of D. and fays he is of C. the writ shall abate 21 H. 5. 4. against both, because they are both the represen-

tatives

tatives of one person, and must both be legally fummoned; as they are both but one person in Page 249 the eye of the * law, the plaintiff cannot proceed against the one without the other; but in this case, the other defendant will be obliged to plead, though the defendant's plea in abatement shall be first determined; and if it be found for him, shall abate the writ in toto.

Co. Lit. 362, 363.

Antiently in real actions, there were no damages given where nothing but the freehold was in 3 Lev. 330, 331. question; and if the tenant pleaded non-tenure and disclaimer, the plaintiff could not aver his writ, and fay he was tenant; for by this plea the tenant disclaims all right to the land, so that he can never put up any pretentions or demands precedent to his disclaimer, and the demandant is immediately put into poffession of his lands, which was the only intent of his writ, & frustra fit per plura quod fieri potest per pauciora.

But where damages were to be recovered, nontenure with disclaimer was no plea, for he might in-3 Lev. 330, 331. jure the demandant, should he be arrested of his

damages which the law gives him.

Ibid.

But where the non-tenure was without disclaimer, the plaintiff could either aver his writ, or take. judgment at his election, for if the demandant would take upon him, that the tenant be tenant to the freehold, he might put it in judgment upon * Page 250 that * writ, and the entry is fun periculo habeat inde executionem.

> At common law non-tenure of parcel abated the whole writ, for this fallified the writ, which alledged the defendant to be tenant to the whole.

> But it was thought very hard that a writ, which was good in part, should be totally destroyed by this plea; and therefore 25 E 3, 16. the writ was abated only for that part of which non-tenure is alledged.

Booth 29. 36 H. 6, 7. 4 E. 3, 497. 8 E. 4. 6.

But at common law, when non-tenure of parcel was pleaded, the tenant was to flew who was te-

nant,

ſ

n

1

b

d

n

f

m

ti

th

fe

de

nant, for they would not fuffer a writ that was good in part to be wholly defroyed, except the tenant shewed the demandant how he might have a better writ.

But where non-tenure of the whole lands is 1 Mod. 191. pleaded, the tenant's plea will be good, without thewing who is tenant, for he is brought into court to answer a demand which he seems to be no way privy to, but utterly disclaims.

From this statute arose the distinctions in our books; but though a plaintiff cannot defiroy, yet

he may abridge his demand.

For fince the defendant's pleading non-tenure as to parcel was not to abate the whole writ, but to fland quond the other part; therefore if the plaintiff had entered * into part, and the defendant * Page 251. had pleaded this entry to abate the whole writ, it would not have been a good plea, for it amounted to no more than that which the defendant remained a tenant to; and when the plea was over-ruled it was of necellary confequence that the demandant ought to abridge; for fince the demandant could go on with the remainder of his writ, after fuch plea he may go on as originally.

Thus in formedon in the remainder for the ma- 4 E. 4. 32. nor of Dale, if the demandant enters into any part 2 H. 7. 16. of it, he revests the whole ireehold in himself, and Doct. pl'it. 5. confequently the tenant may plead a non-tenure in. the whole, which abates the writ lince, as well as

before the flatute.

0

n

C

h

15

15

el

- 5 it,

But if the formedon be for twenty acres, and the Doct. pl'it. 5. demandant enters into fix, this is but an abridg- 5 H. 7. 8. ment of his demand, and is no more than non-tenure of fix acres, so that the writ flands good; and formerly they made this diffinction, that if a demandant brings a writ for two feveral manors in two feveral Vills, and entered into one, this abated the whole writ; for they were looked upon as two leveral demands, and the destroying one entire demand was a defruction of the whole writ, being

not helped by the statute, but left as if it was at common law.

Page 252.

But if the demand was for two manors in the fame Vill, they looked upon them both to be but one demand, being both but parcel of the fame place of which the will was the total; and therefore the defendant could not plead the entry into one of the manors in abatement of the whole writ, so the plaintiff might abridge his demand quoad one of the manors, and proceed for that only.

Doct. pl'it. 5. Theol. 76. But the better opinion feems to be, that though the manors be in two feveral Vills, yet the plaintiff by entering into one does not abate the writ, because they took the demand of the writ as the total, and the several demands of the writ, not as so many independent demands in the writ, and then the entry into one created a non-tenure of parcel, which was no good plea; and therefore the plaintiff might well a bridge his writ.

Hence it is, that this abridgment does not extend to perforal demands; for this flatute, from whence the conflitution arose, extends only to real actions, and not to perforal; therefore if in debt the desendant pleads that, fince the purchasing the writ, the plaintiff has received part of the debt, the whole writ shall abate, because it appears the Page 253 whole money is not due, as by the writ is demanded, which he had already begun in a court

of justice.

Doct. pl'it. 6.
3 Cro. 253.
But if issue be that guard verdict, by the statute.
3 Cro. 266.

But if issue be that quart quart perdict, by the statute.

3 Saund. 182. Style 173. But if a debt be brought on an obligation to deliver twenty quarters of barley, it is no plea to fay, that pendente pl'ito the plaintiff had received fifteen quarters, for the delivery of the corn is collateral to the bond, the conditions not being fulfilled, the penalty is still in force.

The case of Duppa and Mayo, the plaintiff declared for arrears of a rent-charge, and demanded a larger sum than was due to him upon his own shewing, by 71. 10s. the defendant pleaded a bad

plea,

1

t

1

d

w

ti

1

n

11

ti

th

n

al

le

fr

h:

ar

11

he

de

it.

of the Court of Common Pleas.

ŧ

۳

t

e

.

è

d

at

h

1-

t,

ne

85

nd of

Tè

X-

m

al bt

he

bt,

he le-

urt

le-

ay,

en

ral

the

deled

wn

bad

ea,

plea, and the plaintiff had judgment for his whole demand; but, perceiving his mistake on the entry of the judgment, he releases the 71. 10s. and it seems to be a good release, and that it was not a falsification of his writ, but rather an affirmance; but in the argument of that case, if the desendant had taken advantage of it in due time, it would have abated the writ.

So if the demandant enters into any of the Doct. pl'it. 5. lands, pending the writ, he shall abate the writ in 4 E. 4. 32. Cro. El. 35, 143. toto.

When the defendant pleads a matter which for place of prices the plaintiff a better writ, he shall abate the otherwise if it other; as if trespass be brought by one tenant, the jury defendant may plead that he was tenant in common with a "stranger; for this sallifies the plaintiff's Page 254. demand, and shews that he has no right to the action he has commenced.

If there be two or more plaintiffs, a disability So if it be found in one of them shall stop the others proceedings on by verdel. their writ; for as they have made it a joint demand, the defendant, by disabiling one of them, shows the others have no right to proceed, for they cannot all recover, and the writ has supposed them all to have an equal right.

When the writ doth abate by the plea of one for 8 Co. 159. want of form, it is abateable quoad the reft, although

they have pleaded to ifine.

If a man pleads a joint tenancy, or feveral tenancies, or fole tenancy, this is a good plea in abatement; for though the dreehold is acknowledged to be in the detendant, wet if he has not the freehold in the fame manner as the is supposed to have it by the writ, it is a good plea to the writ, and the reason is, because he cannot dereign his title to the freehold in any other manner than as he then holds it; and therefore if the plaintist doth not implead him in the manner he then holds it, the plaintist used to purchase a new writ, which brought no great hardship in the old times when

The History and Practice

when the infeudations were publick, and every * Page 255 one either * knew or might know how the feudal tenant held.

Booth 32, 33, Lut. 11, 12.

Hence it is, that in fuch pleas the tenant must either shew a title, or vouch over as well as plead to the writ, because the defendant does not shew the necessity he has to use such plea unless he pleads over, and he shall not only answer the demands of the plaintiff to begin again his demand to lands which the defendant owns he holds, unless the defendant shews that he could not come to his title but in the manner fet forth in his plea.

H. 6. 12. Doct. pl'it. 10, 11.

The next thing to be confidered of is when a writ is abated by matter of record, and here the general rule is, that whenever it appears on the record, that the plaintiff has fued out two writs against the same d fendant for the same thing, the first not being determined, the second writ shall abate; for the law abhors multiplicity of actions, and will not allow that a man shall be twice arrested, or twice attached by his goods for the fame thing; for if fo, he might fuffer in infinitum.

And it is not necessary that both writs should be pending at the time of the defendant's pleading * Page 256. in abatement; for if there * was a writ in being at the time of fuing out the fecond, it is plain the fecond was vexatious and ill ab initio; and therefore could not be rectified by a subsequent deter-

mination of the first.

But then it must appear plainly to be for the 4 H. 6. 24. fame thing, for an affize of lands in one county Doct. pl'it. 10. shall not abate an affize in another county, for these cannot be the same lands.

But a formedon in rent may be pleaded in abate-3 H. 7. 3. 4. ment of a formedon of the fame manor whence the Doct. pl'it. 10. rent issues, & vice versa, because the plaintiff cannot have a manor and the rent isluing out of it; and therefore the second formedon is apparently vexatious.

In general writs, as covenants, detinue, and Dect. pl'it. 12. affize, where the special matter is not alledged,

fen mii tiff, iha chu

11

01

m

it

fe

fo

it

tif

fir

br

fui

far

no

pla

her

the

tre

wit

ped

ple

fore

ant

imp

to t

turl

of the Court of Common Pleas.

al

it

d

W

ne

e-

to

15

115

a

he

d,

the

ng

aw

OW

11-

he

uld

ing

ing

the

re-

er-

the

nty

for

ite-

the

not

and

ex-

and

ged,

and the plaintiff is nonfuited before he counts, though the fecond writ was fued pending the other, yet the formedon shall be pleaded in abatement, because it does not appear to the court that it was for the same thing, for the first writ being general, the plaintiff might have declared for a different thing from what he demands by the second writ.

But when the first writ is a special writ, and sets Eodem. forth the particular demand; as in a practice quod reddat, &c. there the court * can readily see that * Page 257. it is for the same thing; and therefore the plaintiff shall be nonfuited before he counts, yet the first shall abate the second writ, it being apparently brought for the same thing.

The law is fo watchful against all vexatious suits, that it will neither suffer two actions of the same nature to be pending for the same demand,

nor even two actions of different nature.

Therefore it is a good plea in trespass, that the 8 1. 6 27. plaintiss has brought replevin for the same thing, Doct. plat. 10. because in both cases damages are to be given for the caption.

But then there must not be more desendants in Endem. trespass than in replevia, or else it cannot square

with the averment that it is una eademy; captio.

So in affize of darreign presentment, a quare im- Hob. 184. pelit depending for the same presentation is a good plea.

In a quare impedit brought by the earl of Ecd-1bid. 137. ford against the bishop of Exeter & al', the defendant pleads the plaintist had brought another quare impedit against the same bishop for the same prefentation, which is still depending and undetermined, with an averment that it was the same plaintist, avoidance and disturbance; the earl replies, that since his former writ purchased, the same church being still void, he presented Henry Curis* Page 258, to the bishop, who resused him, which is the disturbance he now complains of, and traverses that

11

The History and Practice

(

tak

but

is p

app

for

whi

ano who

ter '

nue

pari I

the fuec

1

be b

con ther

the

the first

prev

pres

his

this

he h

fo it

that plea

Which then

it is the same disturbance on which both actions were brought; the defendant demurs; and ruled, the writ should abate, for though there must be a disturbance naturally to maintain the action, yet the principal effect of the fuit is to recover the presentation; for the nature of a quare impedit is be final on nonfuit or discontinuance, which his would defeat; for by this rule the plaintiff might bring a new one without leaving the former fuit.

And though in this case there was a new defendant, yet the writ abated, because there were two quare impedits against the same man; and therefore a fresh defendant could no more enable him to bring a fecond quare impedit, than a new disturbance

could.

Salk. 2.

Show. 169.

Nothing shall be pleaded in abatement of a fecond scire fac' upon a judgment, that was pleadable in the action; for it would be unreasonable he should disable the plaintiff from having execution, fince he admitted him able to have judgment; all matters in and before the writ must be pleaded in abatement, for no advantage can be taken of it by error.

* Page 259. Bre. Faux Latin 48. 4 H. 6, 3, 4. 41 E. 3. 13. 14.

But otherwise it is where it is after the writ. There is a difference between original and judicial writs, that in the former matter of form abates them, as well as substance; aliter in the later; for if the fubiliance be good, the want of form will be aided.

Salk. 6.

In pleas of abatement which relate to the perfon, there is a necessity of laying a venue, for all fuch pleas are to be tried where the action is laid.

Idem 220. Q. Kel. 77. where reply afdemurrer. Salk. 218.

If the defendant demurs in abatement, the court Moor's Cafe 198. will give a final judgment, because there can be no demurrer in abatement; for if the matter of ter judgment on abatement be debors, it must be pleaded; if intrinfick, the court will take notice of it themselves.

> If the plaintiff demurs in bar to a plea in abate ment, he discontinues the suit; because he does

not maintain the writ.

of the Court of Common Pleas.

On a plea in abatement no advantage can be taken of the errors in the declaration, for nothing but the writ is then in question; for nothing else

is pleaded to.

Ins"

ed,

ea

yet

the

tis

his

ght

t.

nd-

WO

re-

to o

nce

fe-

da-

ble

cu-

ent;

ded if it

rit. adiorm

the

t of

per-

r all

id.

ourt

e no

rin-

es.

does

01

When a writ is brought for two things, and it Godfrey's Cafe. appears the plaintiff cannot have any other action 11 Co. 45. for one of them, the writ shall stand, for the part which is good; but where it appears he can have another writ in another form for one, there the whole writ shall abate; when there can be no * bet- * Page 260-ter writ brought for that parcel, it ought to continue; but if another writ could be brought for the parcel, it is bad, and ought to abate in toto.

If a fecond writ be brought tefle the fame day, Allen 34. the former is abated; it shall be deemed to be

fued out after the abatement of the first.

The court will not allow two quare impedits to be brought for the same presentation, (viz.) a second by the desendant against the plaintiff, when there is one pending in court by the plaintiff against the desendant; et sic in breve de partitione, because the desendant can have the same remedy on the first writ as he could on the second.

CHAP. XVIII.

Of Cofts.

THERE was no such thing as costs of suit at common law; but if the plaintiff did not prevail he was amerced pro falso clamore; if he did Reeves v. prevail, then the defendant was in misericordia for Futler, his unjust detention of the plaintiff's right; but Gil. R. 195. this made the plaintiff no amends for the costs that he had laid out of pocket in obtaining his right; Page 261. so it stood till the statute of Glouc. cap. 1. but by 2 Inst. 288. that statute, if any person recovered damages in a plea personal or mixed, he should have his costs, which was the original of costs de incremento; for then damages were sound by the jury; and it was thought

thought no dishonour to the court, to tax the mo-

derate lees of counsel and attornies that attend the cause; so matters stood for the plaintiff till 43 El. cap. 6. by which it was enacted, that if upon action personal to be brought in any of her majesty's courts at Westminster, not being for any title, nor interest of lands, nor concerning the freehold or inheritance of any lands, nor for any battery, it should appear to the judges of the same court, and fo fignified or fet down by the jultices, before whom the same shall be tried, that the debt or damages to be recovered there in the same court, shall not amount to the fum of 40s. or above; that in every fuch case the judge and justices, before whom any fuch action shall be purfued, shall not award for colls to the party plaintiff any greater or more colls than the fum of the debt or damages fo recovered shall amount unto, but less at their diferetions. By this law no doubt they intended to bring back all personal actions into the courts baron, or county courts; but they did not effectually * do it; for as the law was worded it did not take away costs de incremento from the courts of Westminster, if the damages were under 40s. but they only gave a liberty to the judge, where damages were under 40s. to certify against the plaintiff having costs, unless in case of battery, or where title of freehold or inheritance came it question; but because it was hard, that when a man had afferted his right, he should pay costs for it; and that if one injured another under the value of 40s. that he should not be redressed in the King's Courts, they never used this power of certifying.

Thus it flood till the flatute of 22 & 23 Car. 2 cap. 9. whereby it was enacted for making the law of queen Elizabeth more effectual, that in all actions of trespass, affault and battery and other perfonal actions, wherein the judge at the trial of the cause shall not find and certify under his hand upon the back of the record, that an affault or battery

* Page 262.

f

Pil

fi

C

C

ca

to

uı

41

th

up

Or

no

go

ou

int

GI

tre tati

ger

Cer de i

the

cou fha

cou

0he

51.

ij-

y's

10

10

, It

rt, ore

10

ırt,

12; be-

nall

eal-

da-

s at in-

the

did

ded

the

nder

dge, ainit

terv,

ne 11

en a

cofts

r the

d in

er of

21. 2. e law

acti-

per-

f the

d upattery

Was

under fuch fum.

was fufficiently proved by the plaintiff against the defendant, or that the title or freehold of the land mentioned in the plaintill's declaration, was chiefly in question; the plaintists in such action, in case the jury shall find the damages to be under the value of 40s. fliall not recover * or obtain more costs of fuit * Page 263. than the damages fo found shall amount unto; and if any more costs shall be awarded, the judgment thall be void.

This flatute likewise did not repeal the statute of Glouc'; for a flatute cannot be repealed by implication; and therefore the judges confirued it, that the costs de incremento ought fill to arise in all fuch personal actions, where the judge's certificate was not necessary in order to the obtaining of costs, and that was not only by the statute in two cales, where trespass was done to the freehold, or to things fixed to the freehold, and the damages under 40s, and in battery, where the damages were

Therefore, if the defendant justified by any 1 Salk. 193. thing that brought the title of the land in question 2 Vent. 215. upon record, there the judge need not certify in Raym. 487. order to intitle the plaintiff to his costs; for it was Smith v. Latnot a case within the statute. Secondly, If it was an terron. action of trover, or trespais de bonis asportatis of Boms v. Edgard. goods and chattels not fixed to the freehold, it was Camberbach out of the flatute, and no certificate necessary to fornet and Talintitle the plaintiff to his costs; and therefore the boys. plaintiff had costs de incremento on the statute of Man. Rep. 1. So thirdly, If an action of trespass to the Lane v. Glouc'. freehold, and an action of trespass de bonis * aspor- Prowne. talis, were joined, and the plaintiff recovered in * Page 264. general upon both counts, he had no need of a certificate to obtain his costs; and therefore costs de incremento went upon the starute of Clouc'.

The flatute of 11 & 12 W. 3. cap. 9. maintains the flatute of king Charles, as extending only to the courts of Westminster; but farther enacle, that it shall be extended to the principality of Wales and counties Palatine.

2 Jones 288.

This

This confiruction of the Judges of the fiatute of King Charles feems to be very right from the 8 & 9 of W. 3. cap. 11. for the inconvenience was found, that the peopledid trefpass up on their neighbours; yet not so as to the value of 40s. and fo they could have no redrefs at the courts of Westminster, without losing their costs in such actions; and therefore by that statute a third manner of certificate was given, in these words; And for the preventing of wilful and malicious trespats, be it enacted, that in all actions of trespais to be commenced and projecuted from and after 25 March 1697, in any of his Majesty's courts of record at Westminster, wherein at the trial of the cause it shall appear and be certified by the judge under his hand upon the back of the record, that the trefpass upon which any defendant shall be found Page 265. guilty, was found wilful and malicious, the plaintiff shall recover not only his damages, but his full costs of fuit; any former law to the contrary

notwithstanding.

The intention of the flatute of Eliz. was to reduce all actions, where the debt or damage was 40s. into the court baron, or other county courts, whereby they thought the profits of landlords would be encreased, and the costs of defendants diminished; but the statute failed of effecting that purpose, because they do not put it merely upon the damages given by the jury under 40s. for indeed that would have been hard, where the ury gave too little damages, to have punished the plaintiff with the loss of his costs; and therefore they put it, that the judge must certify the damages proved were not above 40s. in approbation of the verdict; but the judges thought it extreamely hard to certify in order to make plaintiffs lose the costs where they had prevailed, unless the action were exceedingly impertment and vexatious.

Wide Dany. Tit. Cotta.

> There were no costs at common law given exprofesso under that title; but the plaintiff was punished in amerciament to the king pro falfo clamors,

> > and

t

ł

r

n t

a

f

a

C

ti

)

f

S

.

t F

Ĉ

h

16

it

75

d 1-

is

ry

e-

25 ts,

ds

its

at

nc

nry

n-

ey

es

he

ard fts

ere

x-

ure, nd

and the defendant in misericordia, where the judgment was against him, and therefore was not punished with the expence litis under that * title, * Page 266. because he would fuffer twice for the same fault; but it feems in the iters where the expences of the fuits began to encrease, they were wont to give their costs in the gross and unblended with the damages; and the Judges being in these iters assisted with the officers of the court, 2 lost. 488, 289, and not hurried or strained in their fittings, they could easily make a computation of fuch costs; but when Ed. 1. was changing his iters, and bringing in residentiary Justices to go the circuits and try the causes in their ecunties, that there might be the same uniform law; then it was necessary the costs should be taxed above, and not at the affizes; and thence by the flatute of Glouc', the 6 of Ed. 1. they introduced costs for the plaintiff, and the words are upon the affizes, writs of cozenage, &c. the demandant shall recover against the tenant the costs of his writ purchased, together with the damages aforefaid; and all this shall be holden in all causes, where a man recovers damages; this brought in costs in real actions, where there were no damages, and also in all personal actions; for even in action of debt there are damages for the unjust detention; and upon demurrer the damages are confessed, and therefore there is a fufficient authority for the court to affels the expence litis, or damage.

* From this law they began to make it a rule * Page 267. for the better execution of the statute, that the jury should tax the damages apart, and the coffs apart, that fo it might appear to the court that the cofts were not confidered in the damages; and when it was evident, that the costs taxed by the jury were too little to answer the costs of the suit, the plaintiff prayed, that the officer might tax the costs that were inserted in the judgment; and

therefore

The History and Practice

therefore said to be done ex affensu of the plaintiff,

because at his prayer.

The statute of Glouc' is universal, that he shall recover in all cases where damages are recoverable, and from hence these prepositions were formed.

First, That where damages were recoverable at the time of making the statute, there the plaintist shall recover his costs which is by the plain meaning of the statute, which says, the plaintist shall have costs wherever he has damages; but if there are several issues found for the plaintist, or against the defendant, intire costs are given upon the whole charge the plaintist was at.

Secondly, Where there are damages before the making of this statute, and as a subsequent statute.

Page 268. doubles or trebles these damages, * it likewise

That statute, that doubles or trebles the damages, does double or treble the costs, because they are looked upon as part of the damages.

Thirdly, But where the flatute gives either fingle, double, or treble damages subsequent to this law, and where there were no damages before, there no costs shall be allowed, because the party can have nothing more than such a new statute has already given, and that is damages only, and the statute of Glouc' cannot operate to add costs to what is given by a subsequent statute, because the new statute must be construed from itself, which gives damages only; and therefore for the court to give costs in such case, would be to go beyond the intention of the legislature in that statute.

Fourthly, Where a statute, (as in waste) which gives treble damages, the jury give single damages, which are afterwards trebled by the court; for it is the jury's part as matter of fact to ascertain the damages; and it is the business of the court to see the law executed, and consequently

to treble them.

Keil. 48.

3 Inft. 362. 1 Rol. Ab. 784. 2 Inft. 289. Compleat Insumbent 230.

Fifthly,

(

f

e

al

C

ar

th

CO

to

th

tio

pl

on

tre

to

of the Court of Common Pleas.

Fifthly, There are no costs in abatement upon 1 Salk. 1942 demurrer; because there are no * damages given, Thomas and Loyd, Garland but only a respondens ousler awarded.

201 and Exton.

N. B. The executor is within this statute, be- * Page 269. cause damages are recovered against him; and

therefore, when defendant, is to pay coils.

But the law has altered the statute of Glouc' in feveral particulars, to prevent frivolous and vexatious actions.

First, The statute of Eliz. did alter it in all 42 El. c. 6. personal actions, where debt and damages were to be recovered, and appointed that in such personal actions, where no more than 40s. is recovered, they should have no more costs than the sum recovered, unless the judge certified, that the trial concerned the title of the lands, or that a battery was proved; this statute was revived by 22 & 23 Car. 2. c. 9.

By 21 Jac. 16. that in actions for flanderous Cro. Car. 114. words, if the damages given are under 40s. there Ley. 82. shall be no more costs than damages; but this 1 Jones 196. extends only to words which affect the person, and not those which concern the title of lands.

The 22 & 23 Car. 2. cap. 9. gives no more costs than damages in action of trespass, affault and battery, and all other personal actions where there is not 40s. or more recovered, and if more costs, the judgment is ipso facto void.

* And this by 11 & 12 W. 3. c. 9. is extended * Page 270. to the principality of Wales and counties palatine.

But note, that the action being to be commenced in these courts, if they are commenced in the inserior, and removed by habeas corpus or certiorari, into the courts of Westminster, there the plaintiff shall have full costs.

By the statute of 8 & 9 W. 3. c. 10 in all actions of trespass where the judge certifies that the trespass was wilful and malicious, the plaintiff is

to recover his full cofts.

But

0 2

ly,

tly

Ó

٠,

y

e

0

ie

rt

nd

ch

a-

t;

rhe

. The History and Practice

N. Bendl. 10. Keil. 207. Cro. kl. 69, 503. Winch 10. 2 Danv. 234. 2. 16. 224.

But the words of the statute are confined to wrongs done, or debt, or damages due to the plaintiff or plaintiffs; and therefore an executor or administrator is not within this statute, and then the plaintiff pays no costs; for the testator is as it were plaintiff by him, andhe is not to recover to his own use, but is trustee for the creditor.

Cro. El. 23. 3 Bulft. 188.

The infant likewise commencing his suit by guardian, there can be no malice supposed in him.

2 Rol. Rep. 88. 1 Sid. 261. 2 Danv. 224.

There is a provision likewise in this act, that whoever fues in forma pauperis shall not pay costs, but fuffer punishment at the discretion of the court; but if he be difpaupered, the court gene-Page 271. rally order costs to be taxed; and for non-payment he shall be whipped.

By 24 H. 8. c 8. the defendant shall recover no costs on nonfuit, or verdict, where the plaintiff fues to the king's use.

Cro. El. 117. Savil. 50. 1 Saund. 116.

But by the statute of 18 El. c. 5. informers are to pay costs, that is, when they are to receive the whole benefit; and this statute being more general, viz. that the judgment be against him by judgment of law, it feems upon arrest of judgment he shall pay costs.

1 Lev. 116. Hutt. 35.

> The statute of 4 Jac. c. 3. extends to all actions where a plaintiff is nonfuit, or a verdict paffes against him, but extends not to infants or executors, being upon the model of 23 H. 8. c. 15.

Mobb. 250.

The 8 & 9 W. 3.c. 10. gives costs on all actions on demurrer to the defendant where the plaintiffs have costs; and therefore they recover none in abatement fince the plaintiffs have no costs, as we have already faid.

The costs on anonprofs are given by the statutes; and this is either before declaring, and then he is demandable; for he is not in court by attorney till he has declared; but fince he has put in his appearance by attorney, the court will vacate his

appearance,

1

of the Court of Common Pleas.

appearance, if he does not do as he ought to do in declaring; and this fort of nonfuit is as well ** Page 272. within the statutes, as when he is demandable at the nist prius; but because the King's Bench suffered them to be three terms without awarding nonpross, therefore by 3 Eliz. c. 2. if it sleep above three terms, it is enacted, that the defendant shall have his costs and damages.

After declaration put in by the plaintiff, and the defendant puts in a bar or a rejoinder and the plaintiff does not reply, there is judgment against him on the bar, &c. and costs awarded, because he does not prosecute his writ with

effect.

t

e

7

-

e

e

-

y

25

ne

er

5;

15

115

is e, But after issue joined, or a verdict given, the plaintiff cannot discontinue without leave of the court, which is never granted, but upon payment of costs.

But if the defendant arrests the plaintiff's judgment he has no costs, unless against an informer, as is aforesaid; for this is out of the words of the statute, and they did not intend to favour executors in arrest of judgment, where the defendant had obtained a verdict.

As there are feveral statutes in relation to costs in replevin, and error, we will here treat of costs, particularly in these two actions.

First, Costs in Replevin.

* Page 273.

IN Replevin the plaintiff had damages at com- 2 Danv. 226.

I mon law, and costs by the statute of Glouc. as a consequence of such damage; but the avowant or defendant in replevin had no costs; but the 7

H. 8. c. 14 gives damages and costs, if the plaintiff be nonsuited, or have a verdict against him, or be otherwise barred; for every avowant, or person

The Hiftory and Practice

person that makes conuzance, justifies as bailiss in replevin on second deliverance, for some rent, custom, or service: this not extending to damage seasant, the statute of the 21 H. 8 c. 19. extends to avowry, &c. for rents, customs, and services, &c. or for damages seasant, or for other rent or rents, so that a rent-charge is also within this statute.

Mard. 153. 2 Rol. Rep. 756. 1 Jones 424. 25, 436. Cro. Car. 432, 497.

But if the defendant by his justification claims property, as if he avows for relief, breach of a by-law, or nomine pana, he cannot recover damages by this statute; but it seems he may have costs by 4 Jac. because the plaintiff recovers damages in this action.

Vide 17 Car. 2. for the more speedy and effectual proceeding upon distress and avowries for

rent.

• Page 274.

* Secondly, Cofts in Error.

S there are no damages in this writ, but only A a reverfal or affirmance of the former judgment; fo it was necessary to make a statute to redrefs the mischief that would arise from writs of error in order to delay execution; and therefore the 3 H. 7. c. 10. enacts, that whereas plaintiffs and demandants have been delayed from the execution of the judgment by writs of error, that if any defendant, or other person bound by the judgment, brought a writ of error in delay of execution, if the judgment be affirmed, or writ of error be discontinued, or the plaintiff in error be nonfuit by default of the party, the party against whom the writ of error is brought shall recover his costs and damages, for the delay, by the discretion of the justices before whom the writ of error is.

Cro. El. 665:

This

of the Court of Common Pleas.

This statute is construed not to extend to a₂ D_{2nv}. 227. writ of error out of *Ireland*, because *Ireland* is not mentioned by name, nor to executors or administrators, because they are not bound by the judgment, but the assets; and being in auter droit they are not presumed to bring the writ of error for delay.

* There are no costs by this act, where ex- * Page 275. ecution is executed, because it is in delay of ex- 1 Ven. 88. ecution.

Nor costs on a writ of error in formedon, be-Raym. 134cause the plaintiff had no costs on the first judgment, and the intent of the statute is construed to prevent the delay of the execution for the first damages and costs.

There are no costs in a writ of error in eject- 1 Vent. 88. ment, where the damages and costs in the first are

levied for the same reason.

5

,

1

is

15

a

1-

re

1-

f-

70

ly

to

ts

e-

as

ed

of

nc

10

d,

iff

he

ht

y,

he

iis

In a quare impedit there are costs and damages given, because there are damages given, though not costs in the first judgment; and being in delay of execution for the damages, the court have thought it a point of discretion to give damages for the value of the time delayed; and the statute likewise authorises them to give costs, where they think it reasonable to give damages, because they cannot recover the mesne profits in the action of trespass.

So in affumpfit they give damages from the

time of bringing the writ of error.

It extends to a writ of error by a subsequent statute; this statute not extending to where a judgment was given for the defendant, and error brought by the plaintiss; this was remedied by 8 & 9 W. 3. cap. 10. which likewise gives a capias ad satisfaciend for the costs.

But more effectually to prevent defendants * Page 276. from bringing frivolous writs of error, by 13 Car. 2 flat. 6. 2. par. 2. gives double costs on a

The History and Practice, &c.

writ of error for reversal of any judgment after verdict in the courts of Westminster, Counties Palatine, or Grand Sessions of Wales; but by the statute of William gives only single costs to the defendants in error, when the former judgment is affirmed; for this shall not be presumed merely for delay, since the first judgment was obtained against the plaintist, and he keeps possession of nothing by his writ of error.

verscoils to a writ of early in primaries, but the the plan time are no college that the first plan and the mateur of the depote is condition or previous to the early of the exerction for the best

There are the coffs in a well of error in eloffe : Vasis se

ment, where are domages and cons in the link are

In a peace of the same control and damages given, I won the same dates; it given, though not control and the gruphes of a same being in a real theory of a same control and the same theory of a same of the distriction to give showing a first a same and the same and a same and the same and th

the database of with authorists them to give code, where they moved in restantially as give damages.

seconference cannot recover tite melae profile

S.S. o. H. Stand. For which Lightle curing order

contraction acres of the Health of the contract of the contrac

It extends to a writ of error by a

selfigo 5.14 del Trecest Salvas

THE Date of the particular and the state of the state of

T A B L E.

Abatement.

THE order of pleading in abatement. Page 49, 50 Pleas in abatement, when to be pleaded. Vide Imparlance.

- to the jurisdiction. Vide Court.

- to the person, there is a necessity of laying a venue, for it must be tried where action is laid. 259 to the person of the plaintiff. Vide Outlawry, Excommunication, Alienage, Pramunire, Attainder, and Recusancy.

— to the person of defendant. Vide Privilege. Plea in abatement to the writ, for misnomer therein.

Vide Misnomer.

e

ıt

d

At common law demise of the king abated all suits
240, 241

But this is altered by subsequent statutes. 241, 242
Death of any party abates not the writ, where the plea
remains in the same condition. 242

But in real actions the death of one jointenant, who was summoned and severed, abates the writ; for the other went on for a moiety only.

242 to 244

And where two jointenants proceed in a real action without fummons and severance, and one dies, this abates the writ.

243, 244
But

TABLE.

whole, and death of one does not abate the writ.	
So if one executor be summoned and severed, and die,	
this abates not the writ. ibid.	
Where executor may bring Scire Facias, notwithftand-	
ing abatement by death of plaintiff. 106	
Feme covert is sued as sole, writ is abated de facto.	
But if sued as sole, and takes husband after the writ,	
it is only abateable. 244	
So feme fole plaintiff takes husband, the writ is only abateable.	1
And so it is when plaintiff is made a knight. ibid.	1
What proves the writ false in a material point abates it in toto. 245, 246	1
As where one defendant was dead die impetrationis	
But death of one defendant, pending the writ, abates	V
it not against the other. ibid.	D
Neither thall non-tenure of one abate the writ against	P
him that takes the whole tenancy. 246	
One executor pleads in abatement, and it is found for him, the writ shall abate against both. ibid.	V
Non-tenure and disclaimer, where it may be pleaded.	D
Non-tenure of part abates the writ only for that part.	V
247-8	
Plea of non-tenure of the whole, he need not shew who is tenant, ibid,	N
Formedon for a manor, demandant enters into part,	119
this refifts the whole freehold, and abates the writ.	V
Formedon for twenty acres, demandant enters into	
fix, or for two manors, and he enters into one, this is only an abridgment of the writ. 248-9	
Plaintiff cannot abridge in personal actions. 249	In
If he demands more than appears due, writ abates.	
But receipt of a collected fatisfaction does not about	- 19
But receipt of a collateral fatisfaction does not abate the writ.	Aé
If writ be brought for two things, and plaintiff can	AC
have no other writ for one of them, writ shall fland	
for that which is good. 259	
But	1111

The T A B L E.

6

5

t,

ly

04

d.

CS

46

nis

49

tes

id.

nft

46

for

hid.

47

art.

7-8

lew

bid,

art,

nto

this

249

250.

bate 250

can

and

259 But But if he might have another writ, the whole writ shall abate. Page 259 When defendant's plea gives a better writ, the other shall abate. When writ abates by the plea of one, it is abateable quoad the reft. Joint or feveral tenancies, how they may be pleaded in abatement of the writ. Writ fued forth pending a former is ill ab initio. 208, 251, 252 Though they be actions of a different nature. But it must appear to the court that they are for the fame thing. 252 Nothing shall be pleaded in abatement of scire facias on a judgment, that was pleadable in action. Matters in and before scire facias must be pleaded in abatement. ibid. Want of substance or form abates original writ. ibid. Want of substance only abates judicial writs. ibid. Defendant cannot demur in abatement. 258, 259 Plaintiff demurs in bar to plea in abatement, he difcontinues the fuit. 259, 260 Writ may be tested the same day a former writ abated. Defendant cannot bring a writ, where he may have the same remedy on plaintiff's writ. Variance in form between count and original may be pleaded in abatement. 54, 144, 145 What judgment shall be given on plea in abatement. 53, 54, 186

Vide Plea Puis Darreign Continuance. Abzingment of Action.

In what actions plaintiff may abridge his demand.

Adion.

Actions real and personal defined. 4, 5. Vide Joinder in Action.

local and transitory, where to be laid. Vide Venue.

Action

The T A B L B.

Action on the case; the plaintist may declare on a general contract in the terms it was made.

But a special contract must be set forth precisely. 128
Actions on assumpsit the consideration must be averred.

138
The promise is the gist of the action.

Action on the case against three for conspiracy in imprisoning the plaintist, one only is found guilty, yet plaintist shall have judgment, for the conspiracy is only matter of aggravation.

124
Actions arising in counties palatine, or other franchise, in what court to be brought.

Vide Court.

T

M

B

In

W

Co

Pl

By

Im

Ple

Ap

Ple

1

Addition.

State defined by the Civilians.

By the common law, names of dignity given by public authority might not be omitted in pleadings.

236
Otherwise of names of popular use, as Esquire, &c.

236-7
But these are made equally necessary by the statute of additions.

Place of abode omitted or mistaken may be pleaded in abatement.

240
Inducive addition, as heir, &c. Vide Heir, Executor.

What addition should be used in debt on bond, where defendant is made a knight after obligation made.

Statute of additions extends not to plaintist's name.

Admeasurement of Pasture.

must be brought in the courts at Westminster.

Administrator. Vide Executor.

Alienage.

Alien enemy is disabled from maintaining any action real or personal.

205
Alien in league may maintain personal actions. ibid.
Alienage,

Alienage, how it must be pleaded, in abatement, or bar.

Page 205

Alien enemy that is prior may sue for the convent. 206

And he may sue as executor. ibid.

Amendment.

8

.

4

16

c.

of

40 ed

40

or.

re

de.

22

ne. 40

er. 94

ion

bid.

ge,

What was amendable by the common law. 108-9, 142 The construction of the several statutes of jeofails. 107 to 113 They extend not to the king. 113-14 Misprissons of the curlitor in the form of writs may be amended by his instructions. 114-15 But not in the fubstance. 115.16 In pleadings, matters of form, but not of substance, are amendable. What shall be accounted matter of substance. Vide Repugnancy. 117 to 131 Court may amend a letter or fyllable, while the record is before them. 100, 110, 115, 221, 223.

Plaintiff shall amend after joinder in demurrer, if the cause is still in the paper.

143

By what the amendment may be made. 115, 143 to 145, 165

Imparlance roll, how it may be amended by the paperbook. 143 to 145

Plea roll, how amendable.

Appeal and indictment are not amendable.

Vide Iffue, Jury, Process, Verdict, Judgment.

Amercement.

Amercement of pledges.

of plaintiff or defendant at common law;

Antient Demeine.

Plea of antient demesne may be pleaded after imparlance.

Appeal.

Appeal i n amendable. Sppearance.

The TABLE:

Appearance.

Appearance in real actions.	Pages 10, 11, 12
in personal actions.	12, 31, 32, 33, 40,
	41
on criminal process.	40, 41
Till appearance of defendant, pl	aintiff can have no
judgment.	to application 4t

Arreft of Judgment. Vide Judgment.

Mault and Battery.

Affault and battery brought by a mafter for battery of

his fervant, must be laid per quod	
en to characterist to some tendence	133, 139
Justification of affault and battery.	150, 154, 160
Affault and battery against two, a di	
to one of them is helped by verdict.	157

Affignment of Bail-Bond. Vide Bail-Bond.

amie.

Writ of affise, by whom invented. Several bars in affise.	58, 59
	58, 59
Teste of an affise mistaken is not amendable.	118, 119

Attachment.

Attachment of contempt lies for disobeying an order of court. 21, 22

Attainder.

Attainder of high treason or selony may be pleaded in disability of plaintiff in a civil action. 206, 207

Attaint.

I

P

F

N

B

A

T

Attaint.

Writ of attaint, where it lies against the jury for a false verdict. Pages 60, 61, 70, 122, 127 to 131, 140 What evidence may be given therein. Pages 71, 128

Attorney.

How he may be appointed, and his authority.

How he must sue and be sued.

3, 36, 48, 49

Attorney of the courts at Westminster need not sue in any other courts.

195

Plaintiff is not in court by attorney till he has declared.

Vide Privilege.

Audita Duerela.

For what this writ lies.

12

0,

I

1

of

39 60 as

57

il=

59

119

rder

ded 207

int.

103

Averment.

What certainty is necessary in averments in declarations. Vide Declaration.

Name omitted in grants may be supplied by averment.

But if the Christian name be wholly mistaken, it cannot be helped by averment, unless there be other sufficient marks of distinction.

215 to 218
A man binds himself by a wrong surname, he cannot aver against his own deed.

Bail.

THE original of bail in personal actions. 32, 33
A description of the pignora at the civil law. 33
Where bail is required before or after outlawry. 19
The sheriff is obliged to take bail on an arrest. No

For what sum special bail is required. Page 33 to 36 Special bail was required for any sum on an attachment of privilege.
and where the damages exceed 101.)
Nor on penal flatutes. ibid.
Nor against heir, executor, &c. unless there be a de-
On Habeas Corpus to remove a cause from an inferior court, defendant shall give special bail, where he was held to bail below. 37 Commissioners for taking bail, by whom appointed.
ibid.
Bails where to be filed.
Exception to bail and justification. ibid.
Bail=Bond.
Bail-bond, how affignable. 20, 21 Acceptance of affignment of bail-bond discharges the sherin. ibid.

Bailiffs.

Bailiffs of liberties are	amerciable for	infufficient re-
turns.	Deniaration.	02 1
Vide Liberties.	ad wassa agreement	o barrieras sous

Bar. Vice Plea. Baron and Feme.

그 사람이 하는 이 없는 것이 없는 것이다.	
Feme sole plaintiff takes husband, writ is o able.	nly abate
Feme fole impleaded in an inferior court me	arries and
beings below senters the manufact course	h
brings habeas corpus, the may plead cover	ture at th
time of bringing the hab as corpus, but	court wil
grant procedendo.	24
Where feme covert may fue without her	hufband
are section to a place at Real by Leading	245, 24
0 6 11 6 1 11 11	/

Queen	may fu	e and	be fued	without	king.	245, 246 246, 247
		t tem	e covert	as fole,	writ	is abated de
facto	· . Morra		fred bail		die a	247 Fame

Feme fole marries after writ brought, it is only abateable.

Page 247

Bill.

Bill against an attorney. Vide Attorney.

— in the King's Bench supplies the place of an original writ.

— how amendable.

44, 45, 115

Bilhop.

Bishop shall be tried by the country in capital cases.

Cape.

GRAND and petit cape.

-

7

F

ne 37

d.

he

id.

30

ite-104

the will

245

and. 246

247

d de

247

eme

petit cape.

Capias ad Respondendum.

By what statutes it was given
The manner of issuing the capias.

14

Capias ad Satisfaciendum-

Bail is not to be taken thereon.

How the sheriff should execute it.

Where the plaintiff may have a new execution, though defendant was taken.

Capias Utlagatum. Vide Dutlawry.

Thallenge.

Challenge of the jury facilitated by stat. 42 Ed. 3.
c. 11.
76, 77

There was no challenge in the feudal law.
93

Challenges where the jury are not qualified as the venire requires.
93 to 95

Challenges

TABLE: The

Challenges where it may be made for want of hun-

jeofail.

dredors.	Pages 70, 94
- to favour	301 3
to the in	eriff's return. 97, 98, 179, 180
w nat number a cri	minal may challenge. 99
Tommon	Pleas Vide Court.
	commitment.
Where it may be it the origin of fuc Commitment in cri	h commitment. 24, 25 minal cases. 40
	Common.
The manner of pre	scribing for common. 137, 142
Conspiracy.	Vide Action on the Cafe.
	n of England. Vide the introduction-
41	Contempt:
Contempt of an ord	der of court is punishable by attach-
	efs is punishable by commitment. 24, 25
den de leditores (Bontinuance.
be made.	ace of venire and distringus, how to 79 to 82 amendable by the common law.

- how far taken away by the flatutes of

wal februit carm sphalled non tac

at di persittat vari ant to e 182, 83

Conuzance.

Conugance. Vide Court.

Copyholder

Where and how he may prescribe for an easement, or must lay it as a custom Page 151

Corporation.

The name of corporation is the very being of it, without which they cannot implead, nor be impleaded, nor take, nor give. 224 to 225 If the meaning of the corporation appears, it is fufficiently named. From whence their names are usually taken. 225 to How they are fufficiently named in leafes and grants. The Christian name of fole corporation omitted or mistaken in grants does not vitiate. 219, 234, 235 - but it ought to be shewn in pleadings, for the death of the individual is a good plea in abatement. ibid. - if mistaken it is fatal. When a corporation is misnamed in an obligation, how they shall implead. If they have feveral names they may fue by any of them. 231, 232 So where leave is given by their charter to fue by another name. What mistake in the name of incorporation is bad in pleadings. If name be put right, but afterwards mistaken, it is error.

h-

22

nt.

25

to

82 w.

os of

83

e.

Colts.

There were no costs at common law.

By statute of Glouc. Plaintiff shall have costs, where damages were recoverable.

But where several issues are found for plaintiff, he shall have intire costs in the whole.

P 2

Where

Where subsequent statutes double the damages, costs likewise are doubled. Page 267

If new statutes give damages, where there were none	
before, plaintiff cannot have costs. 267-8	
There are no costs in abatement. 268	
Executor defendant shall pay costs. 269	
The construction of the several statutes that limit the	
costs in trespass, affault and battery. 261 to 269	
Cofts in actions for flanderous words. 269	
Action removed by habeas corpus is not within these	
flatutes. 270	
In what cases plaintiff thall pay costs. 270 to 272	
Executor plaintiff shall not pay costs. 270	
Nor infant, nor pauper. ibid.	
Defendant shall not have costs on judgment being ar-	
refted, unless against an informer. 271-2	
Where costs shall be paid in replevin. 273	
Where costs shall be paid on writ of error. 274-5	
Defendant in error shall not amend his judgment, but	
upon payment of costs. 171, 172	
Except plaintiff hath proceeded on other errors. ibid.	
to the second se	
Covenant-	
Action of covenant on an indenture, how the inden- ture should be expressly alledged. 125-6 Defendant must traverse the deed or the breach. 155	
County Palatine. Vide Court-	
Court. Vide the Constitution of England in the Introduction.	
Courts at Westminster, their jurisdiction. 188-9	
Their original conflitution as to the amendment of	
King's Beach, its authority over officers and prisoners	
Common Pleas, how stiled, and whence. 1,2	
its authority founded on original writ out	
its judges. 2, 49	
Common	
Common	

The T A B L E.

Common Pleas, its authority in pro against officers of the court. Vide	ceedings by or Attorney.
has the conuzance of affizes,	Se. Pages 58-0
The court is to judge of the matter of	
Courts palatinate are superior courts v	4, 57, 60-1, 70
Courts palatinate are superior courts v	vithin their ju-
risdiction.	189, 190, 191
- how they ought to certify a r	ecord on error
brought.	166-7
All courts of record within the late flat	
	112
Courts not of record.	190-1
What courts of franchifes may deman	d conuzance of
the courts at Westminster.	191-2
Of what actions they may demand con	uzance. 192-3
Not where there would be a failure	of justice, nor
where plaintiff is officer of a superior	court. 193-4-5
How and when conusance must be dem	anded. 195.6

Curfitoz.

How his misprissions are amendable. Vide Amendment.

Custom.

What things must be laid as a custom, and cannot be prescribed for by a copyholder. 151-2

Tuftos Brevium.

His office.

9

Damages.

INTIRE damages found, where part of the declaration is uncertain, plaintiff can have no judgment.

Vide Judgment arrefted and releafe.

Darreign

Darreign Prefentment.

A quare impedit depending for the same presentation is a good plea. Page 257

Day.

How formerly given on the venire.

No day was given on the distringus.

Day at nisi prius and in bank are the same in law.

82-3

Defendant must have a day given from time to time till the end of the suit.

Court may only give one day from term to term.

Debt.

What words amount to a sufficient averment in a declaration in debt. 125-6 Debt may be brought on a judgment. 33 Plaintiff demands more than appears to be due, it abates the writ. 253-4 Where he may release the furplus. 134, 135, 253-4 If the obligor in a bond is misnamed, there must be an alias dict'. 216, 217, 222 Debt on fingle bill, defendant cannot plead payment without acquittance. Defendant pleads acceptance of part of condition after the bringing the writ, it is not good. Defendant pleads payment before the day, and on iffue it is found for him, he shall have judgment. · but if found for plaintiff he should not have judgment. - plaintiff might have demurred specially. 140 Defendant pleads payment on a day impossible, and on iffue it is found for plaintiff, plaintiff shall have judgment. 151 Not guilty in debt is aided after verdict. 154

Declaration. Vide Pleadings.

Deed.

The folemnity of deed under feal. Pages 57, 140, 216-17

Default.

Default of tenant or defendant before or after appearance.

Defence.

Defence and half defence.

185 & feg.

Demile of the King. Vide Abatement.

Demurrer.

The court are to judge of matters of law. 54, 57, 6	I
Demurrer admits the fact. 54, 57, 6	7
Defendant cannot demur and plead to same iact. 6	7
Demurrer to part, iffue to the reft, court may deter mine which they please first.	
Where the tort is laid subsequent to the bringing of the action, defendant may demur specially. 13:	İ
Demurrer will not hold for omitting defendit vim &	9
injuriam, though shewn for special cause. 13. Where plaintiff may release part of his demand after	r
general demurrer. 136 Defendant cannot demur in abatement. 256	9
In debt defendant pleads payment before the day plaintiff may demur specially.	
Defendant justifies to the whole, and only pleads to part, plaintiff may demur. 157-	0
Incertain pleadings, as negative pregnant of affirmative	٠,
and e con', are bad on demurrer. Plaintiff may amend after joinder in demurrer, if the cause is still in the paper on payment of costs	e.
115-1	0

The T A B L E.

Departure. Vide Pleadings.
Devastavit. Vide Executor.
Discontinuance of Process.

What is a discontinuance. Page 61, 134, 155 to 161
What discontinuances are helped after verdict. 155
to 161
After iffue joined, or verdict, plaintiff cannot discontinue without leave of the court. 122, 272
Plaintiff demurs in bar to plea in abatement, he discontinues the suit. 259
Discontinuance by demise of the king. 240 to 242

V

H

V

J

A

0

B

V

E

Distringus. Vide Jury Process.
Docket. Vide Judgment.

Ejedment.

DEATH of lessor is not pleadable puis darreign continuance.

England.

The constitution of England. Vide the Introduction.

Entry.

Where demandant abates his writ by entry into part.

Erroz.

Writ of error must be brought in the courts at Westminster.

188

Plea is removed by error, the inferior court shall not
afterwards have conuzance.

194

Want

The T A B L E.

Want of an original is helped after verdict, so if original is mifrecited. Page 119 But a vitious original is not helped. ibid. A material variance between writ and count is error. 52-3 Variance in the damnum is not error. Writ against heir apparent, and plaintiff declares against him as heir, this is error. So declaration against heir, and plaintiff has judgment against heir apparent, it is error. Where a stranger's name is in the plea instead of defendant's, it is error. 146 Where diminution of record may be affigned for error. 161, 166, 167-8 How the record ought to be certified on error brought. 166, 167 What may be taken advantage of by error on Scine 258-9 . Judgment given upon error is amendable. 169 Vide Amendment.

Escape.

Action of escape, where it lies against the sheriff.

Elloin.

In real and personal actions. 10, 11, 12, 13
Clerk of the effoins, his office. 13
Misnomer in an effoin was amendable by the common law. 108

Epidence.

On non assumpsit pleaded, the plaintiss may give in evidence any promise that dissers not in substance. 51 But where desendant pleads a collateral matter, it must be proved in the same manner as pleaded. ibid. What matters the desendant may give in evidence on the general issue pleaded. 22 & seq. Evidence in writ of attaint. 71, 129

Excommunication.

Excommunication.

Excommunication cannot be pleaded after a general imparlance.

Page 202

In what cases it disables the plaintiff.

202-3

The manner of pleading it, and replication thereto.

198-9, 202 & seq.

Executor.

The addition of executor, how to be made in pleadings,
237 & feq.
Writ against an executor in the debet and detinet, it is not amendable.
Executor shall not be charged de jure proprio. ibid.
Executor or Administrator shall not be held to special bail. 37-8
One executor pleads in abatement, the writ shall abate against both. 248-9
Executor fails in any part of plea to cover affets, plain- tiff shall have judgment for his whole demand. 160
Executor is not difabled by outlawry from fuing
197, 203,
nor by alienage, 205
- but he is difabled by excommunication.
196-7-8
One executor releases, it is a devastavit in him. 243-4
That he will not proceed at law is no devastavit. ibid.
One executor dies after fummons and feverance, this abates not the suit, for the other goes on for the whole. 243-4
Where executor may have fire facias, notwithstanding
abatement by death of testator.
Where he should be charged as administrator de tonis
non, and not as executor. 239
Administrator durante minore ætate, where he shall be
charged as administrator de bonis non. 239,

F

The T A B L E.

Erigent. Vide Dutlawry.

Falle-Judgment.

WRIT of false judgment,

Page 188

feud.

Feuds not chargeable during minority. Feudal duties.

54 to 56

fines.

Fines to the king on original writs.

2. 7. 8

Fine acknowledged in an inferior court is removed by error, the inferior court shall not demand conuzance.

104

Franchife.

Franchise.

1

8

e

g.

n. -8

id.

his he

-4 ng

07 nis

39 be 39,

nt.

25 to 30

Courts of Franchises. V

Vide Courts.

Gift.

GIST of the action, its fignification.

64-5

Buardian.

Guardian.

54-5

Habcas Toppus.

Where defendant must give special bail on bringing habeas corpus to remove a cause.

The T A B L E.

It is quase the first proceeding, and court takes notice of nothing precedent. Page 245

habeas Corpora Juratorum. Vide Jury Process.

1

I

J

L

I

J

A

N

heir.

He may not be held to special bail.

The inducive addition of heir where it is necessary and is mistaken, it is satal to the action.

Otherwise if it be surplusage.

Writ against heir apparent, and plaintist declares against him as heir, this is error.

237

So declaration against heir, and plaintist has judgment against heir apparent, it is error.

239

hundred. Vide Franchise. Hundredors.

Where there should be hundredors in the venire.

Jeofail. Vide Amendment.

Imparlance.

WHENCE it arose, and where tis allowed

42-3

Imparlance should be given the desendant from term to
term till plea is pleaded.

Plaintiss may enter an imparlance for desendant to a
term subsequent to the plea pleaded.

159, 160

Desendant imparls by a wrong name, but pleads by a
right name, it is not a material fault.

146

Imparlance roll, how it may be amended.

144 to 146

The form of general and special imparlances.

182-3

210-11
Plea

The TABLE.

Plea of tender must be pleaded before imparlance. 183
What may be pleaded before or after general or special
imparlance. Pages 183-4, 186, 208-9, &c.

Imprisonment.

Imprisonment for contempt of process. 25

Indiaments.

Indictments are not amendable.

Defendant cannot plead misnomer.

217

Infant.

37 d.

aft 37

nt

39

94

red

2.3

IOI

0 a

y a 146

146

2-3

o-11 Plea Where he shall have his age or not. 54 to 56, 59
Non-age may be given in evidence on the general issue.
64-5-6
Defendant pleads non-age in assumpsit, plaintiss may reply for necessaries.
152
Judgment against an infant, quod sit in misericordia, it is error.
167
Infant plaintiss appears by attorney, verdict for him

Information.

shall not be arrested.

Informations on penal statutes are not amendable. 115

Inquilition.

Judgment shall be arrested after inquisition in the same manner as after verdict.

Joinder in Action.

Any actions of the same nature may be joined in one writ.

5, 6, 7

IAue.

Where a misnomer in joining issue shall be amended.

171

Vide

Vide Judgment arrefted and Pleadings.

Issue how to be entered, Vide Rolls and Nifi Prius.

Judgment.
Where demandant may have judgment in real actions before appearance. Pages 10, 11 In personal actions plaintiff cannot have judgment till defendant appears. What judgment is given on pleas in abatement. 52-3 186, 200, 201 Process ad audiendum judicium. 68-0
Process ad audiendum judicium. When matter is shewn before judgment, which would make the judgment erroneous when given, Court should arrest judgment. 67, 179-80 Motion in arrest of judgment should not be made till verdict is entered of record. 46-7
If there be a variance in substance between writ and count, judgment should be arrested. 50, 53-4, 144 But matter of form shall not arrest it. 107, 112 Nor the omission of collateral matter. 141-2 Infant plaintiff appears by attorney, and his verdict,
judgment shall not be arrested. Where the Gist of the Action is not certainly alledged, fo that there is not sufficient soundation to give judgment, it shall be arrested. So where part of the demand is uncertainly alledged and entire damages sound. 122 to 127, 130, 134
Vide Releafe. Defendant shall not have judgment on a bad plea, though found for him. 140-1, 154, 157-8 But the plaintiff shall have judgment thereon. judgment shall be arrested where no iffue is joined. 125-6, 133
where an effential part of the action is not put in iffue. 126 to 142 Where the iffue is immaterial. 147 Where plaintiff does not traverse the same
matter in the bar. Where the issue is impossible. 148-9, 150 151-2 Judgment

V

T

G

ns 11 till

41 2-3 201 8-9 suld ourt 0-80 till 46-7 and

144 112 41-2 dict, 113 lged, give

134

plea, 157-8 ibid. oined 6, 133 is not

to 142

147, 149-50 e fame

-9, 150 151-2 dgment

Judgment where the verdict does not determine the
whole matter in issue. Pages 155-6, 160-1
Informal iffue is helped by verdict. 147 to 153-4
Iffue as to part, the refidue omitted, though it is a
discontinuance, it is helped by verdict. 155, 160-1 Judgment may be arrested after an inquisition in the
fame manner as after verdict.
Judgment against an infant, quod sit in misericordia, it
is error.
how to be docketed. 164
false docket binds not lands. 165
Judgments may be amended in any part the same term.
108-9, 142, 167-8
There can be no amendment to defeat a judgment.
Misprission of the clerk in entering may be amended by
any other part of the record. 113-14, 165-6, 221
Judgment may be amended by the docket. 164
But the error of the court is only amendable the same term. 108-9, 165-6, 221
But a repugnancy that is furplufage shall be amended.
Vide Repugnancy. 168
Interlocutory judgment may be amended as well as final judgment. 169
Unless where the judgment is contradictory to the rea-
fons of given it.
Plaintiff amending his judgment after error brought
mult pay colts.
Otherwise where defendant proceeded on another er-
ror. ibid.
Judgment given upon a writ of error may be amend-
ed, being for the benefit of both parties. 170-1
Jurisdicion. Vide Franchise and Court.
Jury.
What matters belong to the jury to try. 57, 59.
61-2, 64-5, 70
They ought not to take conuzance of any thing fub-
fequent to the bringing of the action. 132
Grand jury whence introduced.
Vide Attaint and Challenge.

Jury

Jury Process.

The history of jury process derived do	wn. Page 70
Venire, to what place to be awarded.	
How to be returned and filed.	76, 77
The qualifications required by it. Vi	de Challenge.
How to be continued.	78 & feg.
How amendable by the common law.	100
What mistakes in awarding are amend tutes of jeofail.	lable by the sta-
What shall be construed a null venire,	172 to 176
the statutes.	
What returns shall be error.	39, 41 73 & Jeg.
	117, 155-6, 161
Venire by proviso.	11/, 133-0, 101
Habeas corpora jur'; the present method	d of fummoning
the jury by it.	78 8 feg.
Distringas, how to be issued.	ibid.
Where amendable.	176-7, 223-4
Omiffion of distringus is aided where	there is a good
venire.	177
	1

King Vide Prerogative.

THE statutes of jeofail extend not to the king.

Demise of the King. Vide Abatement. King's Bench. Vide Court.

LATITAT.

29, 42

0

C

C

De

De

M

De

Libel.

Libel at the civil law.

Liberty.

Liberty.

Liberties and franchises.

I

)2

eq.

-4

od

ng.

-18

t.

42

tp.

Page 25 to 30

Limitation.

The statute of limitations must be pleaded.

66

Memorandums.

WHERE the Common Pleas use a memorandum.

Wilnomer.

The names of men are only founds for distinction fake. 223-4 Where a name omitted in declaration makes it bad. Omission of name in grants, &c. may be helped by averment. Christian name wholly mistaken is fatal in all legal 216, 222 Christian name wholly mistaken is fatal except in an indictment for felony. -Except in grants and devises, where there are sufficient marks of distinction without any name. 217-18, Defendant is misnamed in a bond, he may plead non est factum. Defendant imparls by a wrong christian name, but pleads right, it is not a material fault. Milnomer of attorney is amendable by the warrant. Defendant pleads in the name of a stranger, it is error. -But if he pleads in the name of plaintiff, it should be amended. Q.

So where he joins issue in plaintiss's name, it is amendable.
Defendant is impleaded by a wrong name, and has judgment, he may plead it in bar of another action.
210
Christian name truly put at first, and afterwards varied does not avoid a grant. ibid.
Nor vitiate pleadings, unless it be a material variance.
Mistake in the surname does not vitiate grants. 221 A literal mistake in the surname in pleadings may be amended, while the record is before the court. 222 & feq.
Surname rightly put, and varied in pleadings shall be amended. 222 & feq. Vide Addition and Corporation.

Diff Prius.

TRIAL by nise prius, by whom constituted. 7	2 & Jeg.
L Judge of nifi prius, his duty.	129-30
Nisi prius roll, how to be made up. 45-6. 80, 6	9c. 145
If plaintiff be nonfuited for variance between n	
roll and plea roll, the nonfuit ought not to	be en-
tered.	172
Nisi prius roll should be preserved to warrant the	
ment.	162
If it varies from the plea roll in a matter tha	t alters
the iffue, it shall not be amended.	ibid.
Otherwise where the iffue is not altered.	ibid.
Nisi prius by proviso.	91
The state of the s	

Monage. Vide Infant.

Mon Dmittas.

Nos	omittas	capias.
Non	omittas	latitat.

Mon=Profs.

When the plaintiff may be non-prosed.

Page 41

Monsuit.

Nonfuit for variance between niss prius roll and plea roll ought not to be entered. 161 Nonfuit in error. 169

Mon-Tenure. Vide Abatement.

Dfficers of Courts. Vide Privilege.

Dziginal Mrit. Vide Mirit.

n-72 g-62 ers id. id.

32 29

11:

DROCESS, to the ou	tlawry before	judgment in the
I action.		15, 16
-After judgment.		17
Warrant of attorney, ho	w to be filed,	18
Proclamation how to be		19
Appearance and Superfea		
Judgment of outlawry,		
Execution thereon gene	ral and special	, and feire facias
against the credits.		16, 17
Process in outlawry is n	ot amendable.	
Where bail is require	d before and	after outlawry.
	1.0	19, 20
Where outlawry may b	e pleaded in a	
		197 to 201.
'Tis no difability where	plaintiff fues	
-Nor in a writ of e	rror to reverse	outlawry. ibid.
When, and how to be p	leaded, and re	plication thereto.
		198 to 201
Outlawry in a county	palatine, how	w far it disable
plaintiff.		200, 201
	Q_2	Defendan

Defendant pleads outlawry, which is afterwards reverfed, this plea shall not be peremptory. Page 201-2 Outlawry may be pleaded puis darr. contin'. 103

Dyer.

Oyer must be demanded before imparlance. 184
Variance between bond and oyer may be pleaded. 52

Paper Book.

PAPER book, how it is delivered. 44, 45

—It regulates, and may amend the subsequent proceedings. 45-6, 144, 170

Parol Demur. Vide Infant.

Partition.

Defendant in partition cannot bring a new writ, for he may have the same remedy on plaintiff's writ. 260

Peer. Vide Trial.

Philazer.

His manner of issuing writs.

Of recording appearances.

7, 14, 15

I

I

Dlaint.

Plaint in an inferior court is in the nature of a writ.

Plea and Pleading.

In what language pleadings ought to be.

Declaration, whatever may be comprized in one writ,
may be in one declaration.

46, 126

But

But declaration cannot be extended beyond the writ.
ibid. Page 105-6
In what time plaintiff should declare on civil and cri-
minal process. 40 & seq.
The old method of declaring ore tenus. 44 46
How the recital of fum. fuit is warranted. 121
Mifrecital of the original was amendable by the com-
mon law.
Varies from the original in the damnum, it is abateable.
The conclusion of declaration. 247 48
How to be delivered and entered. 43 & feq
What are proper affirmatives to express the certainty
of the action. 121 & feq. 132-3
Defendant is misnamed in an obligation, plaintiff
should insert an alias diet' in the declaration.
216, 222
Pleas, when to be pleaded. Vide imparlance.
The order of pleading. 49, 50
-In abatement. Vide abatement.
-Temporary bars or pleas of suspension. Vide
infant.
Outlawry is only a temporary impediment. 201
Outlawry in bar. 51-2
General iffues. 51-2, 57, 58, 60 to 63. Vide evidence.
Defendant shall not plead special matter amounting to
the general iffue 65
Bars in affizes. 58, 59, 61-2
Defendant is impleaded by a wrong name, and has
judgment, he may plead it in bar of another action.
219-20
Defendant cannot demur and plead to the same point.
67-8
Defendant demurs to part, and pleads to the rest, court
may determine which iffue they please firft. 6.
Defendant pleads to part only, it is good for that part,
and plaintiff should take judgment for residue.
155-6-7-8-9
-Justifies to whole, and pleads only to part, the
plea is bad.
In what term plea should be entered. 158
What is a sufficient affirmative to be traversed. 125 6
132-3, & feg.
. Defendant

The TABLE.

Defendant may traverse any material part of the declaration.

Pages 51-2, 60-1, 139, 140

Traverse cannot be taken on traverse.

66-7

Traverse to declaration, bar or replication; how it avoids them.
Replication must not falsify declaration. 136
Should confess or deny the bar.
Replication should traverse the same matter that is in
the bar. 133, 140, 148-9, 150, 153
Negative pregnant is helped after verdict. 153-4
So is affirmative pregnant of negative. ibid.
Where new matter is shewn in pleading, the other party should have an opportunity of answering it.
152-3
Rejoinder should not falsify the bar. 136
What defects in pleading are helped by verdict. Vide Judgment.
Plea Puis darreign Continuance.
When to be pleaded. 102, 106
How to be pleaded in abatement or bar, with its dif-
ferent conclusions. 105-6
What may be pleaded puis darr'. contin. 82 to 105-6,
Whether there may be two pleas puis darr. contin.
Pledges.
Pledges of the profecution. 7, 8, 38
Popish Recusancy.
Where it may be pleaded in disability of plaintiff.
Posse Comitatus. 23-4
Posten, 138. Vide Disseprius.
Premunire.

Where judgment in pramunire may be pleaded in difability of plaintiff.

Prero=

The TABLE.

Prerogative.

In actions at the fuit of the king, it is usua	1 4. 3.4.
one process.	Page 72
Nullum tempus occurrit regi.	103, 106
Writs brought by the king are amendable	e by the
common law.	109
King is not within the statutes of jeofail.	115-16

Prescription.

106 dif-5-6, 248 vin. 5-6

38

iff. 206

dif-206 TO=

How defendant should Replication thereto.	prescribe	for	common.	141
How a copyholder may	prescribe.			137

Privilege.

Attendants on courts of Justice how protecte	d. 206-7
Plaintiff or defendant going with leave of	
evidences shall have privilege.	206
Witnesses protected eundo & redeundo.	207
Officer impleaded out of his own court may	
But he shall not, if plaintiff cannot have the	
medy against him in his court.	
Nor where he is fued as executor, &c.	210
Nor where he is fued by an officer of anoth	er court.
	211
Nor in a joint action with others not privilege	ed. ibid.
Where attorney of Common Pleas being impl	leaded in
custod. mar. may have his privilege.	212
How Servants of officers are privileged.	ibid.
How and when plea of privilege must be	
54, 184,	208-9-10
-How it may be traversed.	212
Dooredendo	216

Procedendo.	246
Prohibition.	

	To be digitaling.	
Where it lies.		188-9,203
	Prothonotary.	

His office.	2,000,000,000,000	43-4-5
		Provito

Proviso.

Nifi prius by provifo.

91

Duare Impedit.

THIS Writ must be brought in the Courts at Westminster.

Page 194

It is final on nonsuit or discontinuance.

257-8

Defendant shall not bring another writ for the same presentation, for he may have the same remedy on plaintiss.

258

Ducen.

MAY fue and be fued without the king. 246

Record.

THE credit given to a record.

222-3

—If vitiated by rafure, it shall be amended.

171-2

How to be certified by inferior court on error.

167-8

Reculancy. Vide Popish Reculancy. Resoinder. Vide Pleading.

Releafe.

Where it may be given in evidence on the general iffue.

Where it must be pleaded.

How it may be pleaded puis darrein continuance.

to 106

Where plaintiff may release part of his demand. 135-6,

One joint obligee may release a bond.

252-3-4

So may co-executor, but it is a devastavit in him, ibid.

Remainder.

Remainder.

Remainder may be limited to a natural person before he comes into being.

Pages 125-6
But not to a corporation before created.

ibid.

Repleader.

171

Replication. Vide Pleading.

Repugnancy.

Repugnancy in the count, where it is furplufage, does not vitiate after verdict.

Nor in grants nor devises.

Nor in the entry of a judgment.

But if the repugnancy be in a point material, it is fatal, unless the verdict appears to be given on a different part of the decl ration.

Vide Amendment and Error.

Refcue.

Where the Sheriff may return a refeue. 22-3
Remedy against the rescuers. ibid.

Rolls.

How rolls are entered. 47-8-9, 160 How amendable. 103, 142 to 145-6

Scandalum Wagnatum.

THIS action may be laid in any county.

90

Scire Facias.

How it may be iffued against the debtor of an ou	
law. Pages 16,	17
It is not necessary to revive by scire facias against	an
outlaw.	17
Scire facias to revive after year and day	33
Where executor of plaintiff (after his death) m	ay
have scire facias on interlocutory judgment.	07
To scire facias, nothing shall be pleadable in t	he
action.	58
Matters in and before the writ must be pleaded	in
abatement. 2	59
After the writ may be taken advantage of	by
writ of error.	id

Sheriff.

How he used to take pledges of prosecution.	8,9
How he used to execute a writ of summons.	11, 12
He is obliged to take bail on an arrest.	20
Where amerciable for not bringing in the bod	
	0 to 22
He must return the writ.	21
Action against him for a false return.	22
Where he may return a rescue.	22-3
Action for an escape against him.	ibid.
Where he may enter a liberty.	3 to 31
Where there are two sheriffs, and one dies, the is at an end till another is chosen.	e office
Sheriffwick of London and Middlesex, in whom.	180
Vide Challenge.	100-1

Summons and Severance.

Where	:.	1:00				
vv nere	11	nes.		-		

242 & Seq.

Supersedeas.

Sufersedeas of outlawry.

19

Surplusage. Vide Repugnancy.

TALES.

TALES.
Terms and return-days.

r

2

l.

I

q.

19

S.

Pages 173, 93

Ton. Vide the Introduction. Traverse. Vide Pleading.

Trespass.

Trespass is expressed generally in the writ.

Plaintist may count of any trespass before the writ. 3/4

What certainty of number or measure is necessary.

121-2, 12-9

Plaintist declares quod cum, it is bad.

16-6

Desendant should only justify for so much as he plads to.

Replevin brought for the same thing may be pladed to an action of trespass.

257

Desendant pleads a special justification, the replication should traverse that very matter.

Vide Assault.

Trial.

25, 70 Trial of every freeman must be per pares. By whom a peer shall be tried in capital cases. 97 His cause with commoner shall be tried by the 87-8-9 country. He cannot challenge his peers. 100 :bid. A bishop shall be tried by the country. 73-4 Nifi trius by whom constituted. If defendant does not appear, inquest may be taken by 75 6, 101 default. 129-30 The duty of judge of nisi prius. 46 When a new trial may be moved for. Vide Challenge, Jury, and Nifi Prius.

Troper.

For what trover lies

Pages 122-3

Menire. Vide Jury Process.

Menue.

HERE the venue must be laid in local actions.

83, 86

—— In transitory actions.

83 & feq.

Actions arising in the counties palatine.

Where the court will change the venue.

91 & feq.

Merdid.

How o be entered on record.

Verdic being entered on record cannot be altered but by rile of court.

144

Verdic which does not determine the whole matter in iffue is imperfect.

155, 156 & feq.

Entry of a special verdict may be amended by the minute or judge's memory.

163-4

What desects are helped by verdict. Vide Judgment.

WAGER of law. 48-9, 56, 63, and in the Introduction.

Warrant of Attorney.

How to be filed on process to the outlawry. 18
Where the want of warrant is helped by verdict. 10

Maste.

Mafte.

Writ of waste must be brought in the courts at Westminster. Page 196

Mitness.

Witnesses are protected by the court eundo & redeundo.

Mrit.

Original writs, from whence they issue.

What actions may be comprized in one writ. 3 to 7-8

Teste and Return.

Original returnable in the term of appearance, warrants the recital sum. fuit.

A second writ may be sued out teste the same day the former abated.

Tide other writs in the order of their names.

FINIS.